Assessment of morphological differences of the proximal tibia in healthy knees: analysis of the 3-dimensional mathematical model
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Background: High tibial osteotomy and many orthopedic surgical procedures around the knee joint requires precise preoperative planning. In-depth knowledge of the tibial plateau morphology is necessary to limit intraoperative complications like lateral hinge fracture. No studies were exploring the differences in proximal tibia surface geometry, in regards to gender and laterality, using a mathematical model. The aim of our study was to assess morphological differences in healthy knees using a three-dimensional mathematical model.

Methods: Eighty-seven computed tomography examinations collected from 52 patients were selected for the study. The inclusion criteria were: age between 20 and 40 years, knee joint without visible deformities, no history of significant trauma to index knee, no history of systemic and chronic disorders. The average age of the included patients was 32.5±8.9 years old. For the calculation and comparisons, 45 right knee joints (18 females and 27 males) and 42 left knee joints (17 females and 25 males) were used.

Results: The male tibial plateau was much larger than the female one, for the right (P=0.001) and left knees (P=0.001). Male knees showed much bigger variability in two-dimensional tibial plateau dimensions especially for the left knees (P=0.001), and there was also a marked difference in variability between sides in males. Three-dimensional variability was significant for medial condyles for both genders. Male knees had a statistically bigger (P=0.04) tibial plateau surface area for all measured condyles.

Conclusions: The proximal tibial plateau showed in the designed mathematical models high variability in the two-dimensional and three-dimensional analysis. The males' knees presented great variability between sides and condyles. This finding must be considered during preoperative planning.
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Introduction

There has been a long-standing interest in the geometric bilateral symmetry of the bones forming the knee joint (1-3). This interest is partly due to the symmetry postulation that is frequently made in clinical assessments and research studies. A common clinical practice is to use the contralateral unaffected side as a template for planning corrective osteotomy (4,5).

Many templates designed for surgical planning of the procedures around the knee are using the contralateral limb as a reference, considering that the left and right extremities are not significantly different. Moreover, these templates assume that there are insignificant differences between males’ and females’ proximal tibia morphology.

Little is known, how chronic, degenerative diseases may alter the geometry of the articular surfaces of the knee joint. Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is often a cause of considerable deformation of the proximal tibia and previous studies have shown that this joint is more commonly affected by degenerative changes that any other in the human motor system (6).

Since the first Jackson report (7) in 1958 high tibial osteotomy (HTO) has been recognized for its value for the treatment of symptomatic arthritis of the knee, especially in a younger, more active patient (6). The success of this procedure is based on detailed preoperative planning of axial deformity of the lower extremity and congruency of the knee joint. HTO is predominantly scheduled for patients with unicompartmental medial OA, and varus knees. Since HTO changes the slope of the tibial plateau a better insight into the anatomical variations of the tibia plateau between genders and sides is mandatory for proper surgical planning. Lack of adequate, anatomical—based preparation for HTO may result in an intra-operative lateral hinge or intraarticular fracture and treatment failure (8).

The precise model of the proximal tibial epiphysis is required for more morphological and biomechanical studies.

The first aim of this study was to design a mathematical model for 3D reconstruction of average proximal tibia separately for males and females, as well as for right and left limbs. The second purpose was to find differences between created models concerning gender and dominant and non-dominant limbs.

Methods

Data collection

Computed tomography (CT) scans of patients who had undergone CT scanning between 2015 to 2019 due to unrelated orthopedic reasons to the index knee joint (i.e., vascular indications, injuries to the opposite leg) were collected from the university hospital database. Because our study did not disclose any private patient data, individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived. The inclusion criteria were: age between 20 and 40 years, knee joint without visible deformations, no history of significant trauma to index knee, no history of systemic and chronic disorders. Each CT scan was evaluated by a trained medical doctor for signs of radiological OA in the knee joint (such as joint space narrowing, subchondral sclerosis, subchondral cyst formation and osteophytes). Any CT scan exhibiting signs of OA was excluded from the study. All inclusion criteria fulfilled 87 CT examinations collected from 52 patients out of 454 individuals screened for inclusion/exclusion criteria.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). An approval from the Local University Ethical Committee was obtained for the study design and data acquisition from CT scans. Because our study did not disclose any private patient data, individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived.

The average age of the included patients was 32.5±8.9 years old. There were 33 men and 19 women in the study group. For the calculation and comparisons, 18 females and 27 males right knee joints, and 17 females and 25 males left knee joints, were used.

Imaging

The CT scans were made using a Siemens SOMATOM Sensation 64 CT scanner. The acquisition parameters were the following: tube voltage 120 kVp, with automatic selection of exposure conditions-CARE DOSE, slice thickness 1 mm, a matrix size of 512x512 pixels, and a pixel size of 1.52344 mm, rotation time 1 s, Pitch 0,55.

The first part of the work was transforming 2D CT images into 3D geometry by the image segmentation process. This action was intended to obtain a virtual 3D model named later as CAD body (CAD-computer-aided design). Later, the models were edited in 3D modeling software to obtain a closed model needed for numerical calculations.

Then with GOM Inspect V8 SR1 (Braunschweig, Germany) and ANSYS 2019 R3 software (by ANSYS Inc. company), 3D models were analyzed. Right and left tibial bones as well as the medial and lateral tibial condyle plateau were analyzed separately.
Bone width
First, the bone width was measured in the longest line in the cross-section view at the midplane at the coronal view (Figure 1). The width was calculated separately for each bone using GOM Inspect software.

2D dimensions analysis
3D models were compared to the CAD body of most average bone (selected using geometry pre-adjustment). Then cross-section plane was created in the measured center of base bone in the Y-axis view. Differences in the shape in Z-axis were measured by comparing other bones to the base one at the line of the created cross-section.

Models alignment was automatically calculated by the software, according to the middle line. For every measurement, the result was a set of points at equal distance (0.50 mm) in the X-axis (Figure 2). All measured points were in the same position according to gender and side of the body (right/left leg).

A colorful map of deviations was created for better visualization (legend on the right side in Figure 2).
3D dimensions analysis
The differences in dimension over the entire surface of the plateau were measured by generating a deformation map on whole geometry. Again, in this case, all 3D models were compared to the basic one. Then the set of measurement points was generated and calculated separately for the medial and lateral plateau. In this case measurement points were created at an equal distance of 1.20 mm (Figure 3).

Plateau surface area
The surface area for each plateau separately was measured using the SpaceClaim module for ANSYS software. The surface area was determined according to the highest points of geometry as compared to the lowest points in the plateau area (Figure 4).

The angle of inclination of the plateau
To measure the angle of inclination of the plateau at first bones were aligned like in section “2D dimensions analysis” and “3D dimensions analysis”. The orientation of the reference plane is based on the center axis of the bone. Two reference planes were added—one in ZY-axis (Figure 5A) and second—in ZX-axis (Figure 5B,C). The last step was the measurement of the angle between the reference plane and planes of the plateau. An example of the measured angles is shown in Figure 6. The procedure was the same for every single 3D mesh. Every comparison used the same reference plane.

Statistical analysis
Data obtained by measurements were tested using a single factor ANOVA test statistical significance assumed at a level of P<0.05. Statistical analysis was the determination of mean and median and standard deviation (SD). The file area limits are the minimum and maximum values.

Results
Bone width
The male tibial plateau was much larger than the female one. There was a significant difference between genders for the right (P=0.001) and left knees (P=0.001). An average measured width for the females was: 73.60±3.83 mm (range, 68–81) for left knees and 73.10±3.23 mm (range, 67.5–79.4) for right knees, and this difference was not statistically significant (P=0.62). A mean width value for males was 83.28±4.01 mm (range, 74.8–92.6) for left knees and 82.32±3.62 mm (range, 74.7–91.7) for right knees, and this difference was not statistically significant. Results of all our calculations are presented in Table 1.

Measured average bone width is shown in Figure 7.

2D dimensions analysis
Graphical illustrations of calculated 2D deviations at cross-section analysis are shown in Figure 8. Male knees showed much bigger variability in tibial plateau dimensions then female ones but these differences were significant only for the left knees (P=0.001). There was also a marked difference in 2D dimension variability between sides in males (Table 1).

3D dimensions analysis
Variability in 3D dimensions was statistically significant for medial condyles between sides for both genders, as right knees showed greater changes for both sexes (Table 1). Inside the male population, this difference was also significant for lateral condyles. Between sexes, there was a much bigger
variability (P=0.001) in male knees for both sides and both condyles. In Figure 9 are shown diagram results for each gender. Results of the created map of deviations show average differences in the whole area of the plateau (Figure 10).

**Plateau surface area**

There were no significant differences in the measured surface area of each plateau between sides for either condyle (Table 1). Male knees had a statistically bigger (P=0.04)
Table 1 Results of the calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2D dimensions analysis (mm)</th>
<th>3D dimensions analysis (mm²)</th>
<th>Plateau surface area (mm²)</th>
<th>Angle of inclination (degrees)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD Min/max</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Mean ± SD Min/max</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Left</td>
<td>−0.01±0.43 −1.2/0.7 0.24 Medial</td>
<td>−0.26±0.35 −0.9/0.8 0.01**</td>
<td>858±121 627/1076 0.28</td>
<td>82±3.1 75/88 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>0.18±0.47 −1.5/1.2 0.68</td>
<td>638±89 512/840 0.90</td>
<td>91±3.1 87/99 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Right</td>
<td>−0.19±0.88 −2.3/1.7 Medial</td>
<td>−0.32±0.6 −2.1/0.8 −</td>
<td>813±119 631/1088 −</td>
<td>81±3.3 76/87 −</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>0.17±0.3 −0.7/1.2 −</td>
<td>634±103 446/815 −</td>
<td>90±3.5 85/98 −</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Left</td>
<td>0.71±0.87 −1.6/2.6 0.01* Medial</td>
<td>0.9±0.65 −0.8/2.1 0.001**</td>
<td>952±147 709/1230 0.53</td>
<td>83±2.1 79/88 0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>0.66±0.56 −0.6/1.6 0.001**</td>
<td>733±127 544/1091 0.18</td>
<td>89±3.7 82/96 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Right</td>
<td>0.01±0.94 −1.3/1.9 Medial</td>
<td>−0.11±0.76 −1.9/1.3 −</td>
<td>928±127 642/1184 −</td>
<td>79±2.8 75/88 −</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>0.04±0.76 −1.5/1.6 −</td>
<td>691±98 487/845 −</td>
<td>89±3.5 80/97 −</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value of Manna-Whitney U test, significance P<0.05 marked with * when tested between sides within same gender; marked ** when tested between single-name condyles within same gender. N, number of cases; SD, standard deviation; min, minimum; max, maximum.

Figure 7 Average bone width.

surface area for all measured condyles.

The final results of measurement of the surface area of each plateau are shown in Figure 11.

The angle of inclination of the plateau

Angles of inclination of the medial condyle plateau differ statistically in the male population (Table 1). A significant difference between sexes was observed only for medial condyles of the right knees (P=0.02), as males had lower angle values.

Results of calculated average angles of inclination of a plateau concerning the central axis are shown in Figure 12.

Discussion

The contralateral bone is often used as a reference field in clinical practice. This approach assumes that there are
Figure 8 Graphical illustrations of 2D deviations of the tibial plateau.

Figure 9 The average dimensional difference for each plateau for each gender.
Figure 10 Graphical illustrations of 3D deviations of the tibial plateau.

Figure 11 Results of measurements of the average surface area of each plateau.
no significant differences in bones according to side of the body, even though previous studies have reported bilateral asymmetries in long bones (8,9). Defining anatomical variations of the tibia is of importance not just for surgeons, but also for researchers and commercial partners.

In this study we aimed to evaluate anatomical variations of proximal tibia plateau, by creating and analyzing three-dimensional mathematical model. The male knees were much larger, and showed higher variabilities in dimensions especially for the left knees and medial condyles. Described differences may be even bigger in osteoarthritic knees and it will be analyzed in the future basing on the described model.

Many previous research known to us (10,11) were based on cadavers study. The above works concerned information about the whole length of the bone. The biggest difference was noted in the length of the bone according to the gender. Our control group was constituted of CT scans of the knees. In our study parameter adequate to the length, connected with the size of the bone, is epiphysis width and plateau surface area which were most variable according to gender. There was no statistically significant difference in our study in plateau surface area according to the side of the body, what is interesting for the sake of many of our specimens came from different patients (in many cases in posttraumatic patients one of the bones was excluded).

There are some anthropological studies, which have reported that the left bone is larger than right in lower limb, though there is less bilateral variations in the lower compared to the upper limb (8). Plochocki in his survey proved directional asymmetry, which is probably due to differential mechanical loading during bone growth related to handedness. Further research is needed to establish the exact relationship between joint size and the mechanical environment.

There are also some studies, which confirm that bone shape of the tibia and femur differ by sex and the knee bone shape is associated with incident knee radiographic OA (12). What is more they observed that some distal femur and proximal tibia shape appear to protect women from developing OA.

In 2D dimensions analysis, we obtained greater variability in measurements in men group with statistically significant importance for the left side of the body. Ingelmark (13) observed that a right-handed person is likely to have a more developed contralateral left lower limb due to an increase in its muscle in order to compensate and support the right upper limb. And as Peters et al. (14) reported more than
77% of population shows a right hand preference. That made us thinking that above differences may come from dominant and non-dominant limbs variations.

In 3D dimensions’ analysis of models, there was statistically significant importance in the area of medial condyle for both genders and sides, wherein right-sided bones in both sexes revealed greater variation.

In men’s population statistically, significant differences in the area of lateral condyle were observed.

Quintens et al. (11) reported statistically significant difference in mid diaphyseal region and medial tibia plateau, but the limitation of their study was no division according to sex or age.

In our study holistically the epiphyses of the tibia in the group of men in 3D model analysis revealed greater volatility compares to the group of women.

Angles of inclination of medial condyle plateau differ statistically in the male population. A significant difference between sexes was observed only for medial condyles of the right knees males had lower angle values. As Ng et al. proved there is also correlation between proximal tibial joint line obliquity and distal femoral rotational geometry— the more varus the tibial plateau inclination is in the coronal plane, the more internally rotated distal femur is (15).

Advantages of our study is division according to gender and sides of the body in group of patients between 20–40 years old. From hospital database CT scans of healthy knees were collected and we studied both, 2D and 3D measurements. Besides being able to quantify the surface deviations, the use of 3D models allow a better understanding a detailed representation and accuracy of the complexity of their anatomical bony structure.

Limitation of the study, without a doubt, is a field of the research given the fact that we were analyzing proximal tibia epiphysis, so we couldn’t correlate results with the rest part of the bone. It would be very interesting to correlate our results with height or weight, but as we used clinical database and CT scans of patients mostly form emergency room, we could not obtain this data. However as Sharma et al. proved body mass index (BMI) is related to severity of OA in varus alignment but not in valgus alignment (16) and it is dependent on specifics of malalignment in the knee, which differ by sex.

Our study showed that parameters that result from the size of the bone are not the only variable value. We suppose that differences according to the side of the body may be the result of dominant and non-dominant limb, but to prove it further research is needed.

Finally, the size of the bone is not the only differential parameter according to gender. 2D and 3D dimension analysis of the plateau area is more variable in the male group.

The above data shed some light on orthopedic operation planning.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the accurate quantification of bilateral asymmetries of the tibia requires both 2D and 3D measurements. We believe that this large anatomical variability of the tibia needs to be taken into consideration during preoperative planning to avoid complications such as intraoperative fractures or inadequate reductions and/or fixations, which in result lead to biomechanical and functional problems.

We suspect that those asymmetries may be even more pronounced in OA knees and complications such as lateral hinge fracture may be an effect of overloading the lateral compartment. We believe second part of our study will bring useful information in this regard.
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