Analysis of three-dimensional imaging findings and clinical symptoms in patients with temporomandibular joint disorders
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Background: The purpose of this study was to analyze cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings in temporomandibular joint disorder (TMD) patients and to comprehensively assess the relationships between these imaging findings and clinical symptoms.

Methods: A total of 754 temporomandibular joints (TMJs) in 377 patients with clinical symptoms of TMD who underwent both CBCT and MRI examinations were retrospectively reviewed. Clinical symptoms included TMJ pain, TMJ sound, and limitation of mouth opening. Oral radiologists evaluated osseous changes of the condylar head on CBCT, as well as the disc configuration, internal derangement, and joint effusion on MRI. The frequency of CBCT and MRI findings and the mean and standard deviation of age were analyzed. Logistic regression analysis was used to identify associations between these imaging findings and clinical symptoms using SPSS version 25.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The model fit was evaluated using the Hosmer and Lemeshow test.

Results: TMD patients consisted of 294 females and 83 males, and the age group of 20-39 accounted for 47.2% of the patients. Normal findings regarding osseous changes of the condylar head on CBCT were found in 65.1% of the patients. On MRI, a change in disc configuration was found in 54.9% of the patients, internal derangement in 62.6%, and joint effusion in 46.0%. TMJ pain was significantly associated with sclerosis [odds ratio (OR): 3.81], disc displacement without reduction (DDWOR) (OR: 3.22), grade 2 joint effusion (OR: 2.33), and grade 3 joint effusion (OR: 5.54). TMJ sound was significantly associated with disc displacement with reduction (DDWR) (OR: 2.37), DDWOR (OR: 2.50), grade 2 joint effusion (OR: 2.33), and grade 3 joint effusion (OR: 3.23). Limitation of mouth opening was significantly associated with flattened disc configuration (OR: 2.08), folded disc configuration (OR: 2.30), and grade 3 joint effusion (OR: 2.85).

Conclusions: CBCT findings had little to do with clinical symptoms. In contrast, MRI findings, including disc configuration, internal derangement, and joint effusion, were associated with clinical symptoms. These results suggest that MRI should be recommended over CBCT for the proper diagnosis of TMD patients.
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Introduction

The temporomandibular joint (TMJ), where the mandible articulates with the temporal bone of the cranium, is one of the most complex parts of the body. It must be biomechanically sound to withstand the high mechanical loads that occur during functioning, and it is constantly loaded even when not functioning (1). When the TMJ cannot tolerate these loads, a temporomandibular joint disorder (TMD) occurs. TMD is a major cause of non-dental pain in the orofacial area (2). The TMJ consists of extracapsular components (such as capsules, ligaments, vessels, and nerves) and intracapsular components. Its intracapsular components can be divided into osseous components such as the mandibular condyle, glenoid (mandibular) fossa, and articular eminence, and soft tissue components such as the TMJ disc and disc attachment (1). Conventional radiography is insufficient for the diagnosis of TMD; therefore, the use of specialized imaging modalities such as cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has gradually increased. CBCT is the standard imaging modality of choice for assessing degenerative bone changes of the TMJ, such as flattening, erosion, osteophytes, subchondral sclerosis, and subchondral cysts (3–6). CT can be used for the same purpose, but since the radiation dose is higher, CBCT should be used, which gives the patient the same information with less radiation exposure (1). MRI is known to be useful for evaluating discs and soft tissues (7). Conventional MRI has limitations in obtaining optimal bone images, and a study using the zero-TE sequence to compensate for these limitations has been reported (8). MRI is absolutely contraindicated in patients with ferromagnetic vascular clips and pacemakers, and imaging may be difficult if the patient experiences claustrophobia, which occurs in 1-15% of patients undergoing MRI (9).

TMD exhibits a variety of clinical signs and symptoms, the most important of which are pain or tenderness in the TMJ area, sounds such as clicking, popping, or crepitus during jaw movement, and lock or limitation in mouth opening. Minor symptoms may include headache, ear pain, tinnitus, depression, and vertigo. Previous research has investigated associations between clinical symptoms and degenerative changes on CBCT (10–13). According to Arayasantiparb et al., crepitation had a statistically significant correlation with CBCT findings such as sclerosis, subchondral cyst, erosion, and osteophyte formation (10). Palconet et al. reported that there was no correlation between osseous changes and clinical symptoms (12). Several studies have explored the relationships between MRI findings and clinical symptoms, especially pain (14–23). Murakami et al. evaluated the presence of bilateral effusion in patients with unilateral TMJ pain and reported that effusion was not correlated with pain (22). Takahara et al. reported that disc displacement without reduction (DDWOR) and increased joint effusion were associated with pain (23). Hosgor et al. concluded that marked joint effusion showed a statistically significant association with pain (21). Most previous studies in patients with TMD used one imaging modality (CBCT or MRI), and clinical symptoms have mostly focused on pain.

The purpose of this study was to analyze the relationships between three-dimensional imaging findings (CBCT and MRI) and clinical symptoms in patients with TMD.

Methods

Subjects

This study included 754 joints in 377 patients (age range, 10–82 years; mean age, 33.3±16.23 years) with clinical symptoms of TMD who underwent both CBCT and MRI examinations from January 2019 to December 2019 at Yonsei University Dental Hospital. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Yonsei University Dental Hospital (IRB no. 2-2019-0077) and was performed in accordance with all relevant guidelines and regulations. The requirement for patient consent was waived by the IRB of Yonsei University Dental Hospital because of the retrospective nature of the study.

Patients with both CBCT and MRI taken within a month were included. Patients who had received TMD treatment for more than 2 months and those with a TMJ fracture, cyst, tumor, or odontogenic inflammation such as osteomyelitis that could affect the TMJ were excluded (Figure 1).

Clinical diagnoses were made according to the Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) (24). Clinical symptoms were classified into TMJ pain, TMJ sound (clicking, popping, or crepitus), and limitation of mouth opening (assisted opening <40 mm), as these are the three symptoms that TMD patients most frequently complain of (25). The category of TMJ pain excluded pain of muscular origin, such as pain in response to palpation of the temporalis or masseter muscle, and pain...
occurring upon provocation testing of masticatory muscles. If one patient had multiple symptoms of pain, sound, and limitation of mouth opening, all symptoms were recorded. Four oral and maxillofacial radiologists with over 10 years of experience evaluated the CBCT and MRI scans on monitors (Totoku Electric Co., Nagano, Japan). All imaging diagnoses were confirmed by at least two radiologists.

**CBCT evaluation**

The CBCT examinations were performed using an Alphard 3030 device (Asahi Roentgen Ind., Co. Ltd, Kyoto, Japan) with the following parameters: 80 kVp, 8 mA, 17 seconds, FOV 154×154 mm, voxel size 0.3 mm. Using the OnDemand 3D software (Cybermed, Seoul, Korea), sagittal views and coronal views reconstructed with a 1 mm slice thickness along the long axis of the mandibular condyle were obtained.

Osseous changes of the condyle were classified according to Alexiou et al. (26) as normal, subchondral sclerosis (increased bony density including generalized sclerosis), flattening (flat bony contour), erosion (an interruption or absence of the cortical lining), osteophyte (marginal bony outgrowth), or combined (combination of two or more osseous changes) (Figure 2). Subcondral cysts (round or oval shaped osteolytic areas beneath the cortical bone) were not included.

**MRI evaluation**

MRI scans of the TMJ were acquired using a 3.0 T scanner (Pioneer; GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA) with a 16-channel flex large coil. Sagittal proton density-weighted images in the closed-mouth and open-mouth positions were obtained with the following parameters: TE/TR, 50/2084 ms; bandwidth, 41.67 kHz; NEX, 1.5; FOV, 130×130 mm; slice thickness, 2.5 mm; and scan time, 2 min 14 sec. Coronal proton density-weighted images in the closed-mouth position were obtained with the following parameters: TE/TR, 50/1500 ms; bandwidth, 41.67 kHz; NEX, 2; FOV, 130×130 mm; slice thickness, 2.5 mm; and scan time, 2 min 3 sec. Sagittal T2-weighted images in the closed-mouth and open-mouth positions were obtained with the following parameters: TE/TR, 80/3088 ms; bandwidth, 25.00 kHz; NEX, 1.5; FOV, 130×130 mm; slice thickness, 2.5 mm; and scan time, 2 min 16 sec.

Disc configuration, internal derangement, and joint effusion were evaluated on MRI scans. Disc configuration was classified according to Arayasantiparb et al. (27) as biconcave (disc with thick anterior and posterior bands and...
a thin intermediate zone), flattened (disc with the same thickness of the anterior band, intermediate zone, and posterior band), folded (disc with any folded portion), or convex (disc with convex upper and lower surfaces) in the closed-mouth position (Figure 3).

Internal derangement was classified according to Koh et al. (28) as normal (posterior band located above the apex of the condylar head in the closed-mouth position and thin intermediate zone located between the condyle and the articular eminence in the open-mouth position), disc displacement with reduction (DDWR; posterior band located anterior to the condylar head in the closed-mouth position, but with a normal disc-condyle relationship in the open-mouth position), or disc displacement without reduction (DDWOR; posterior band positioned anterior to the condyle in both the closed-mouth and open-mouth positions).

Joint effusion was classified into four degrees based on previous reports (29,30) as follows: grade 0 (no bright T2 signal intensity in the joint space), grade 1 (dots or lines of bright T2 signal intensity along the articular surface), grade 2 (bands of bright T2 signal intensity), and grade 3 (collection with pooling of bright T2 signal intensity in the joint space) (Figure 4).

Data analysis

The frequency of CBCT and MRI findings and the mean and standard deviation of age were analyzed. We conducted inferential statistical analyses of the relationships of CBCT and MRI findings with clinical symptoms using logistic regression analysis. Statistical analyses were performed...
with SPSS version 25.0 for Windows (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Osseous changes, disc configuration, internal derangement, and joint effusion were adjusted, and the model fit of the logistic regression analysis was evaluated using the Hosmer and Lemeshow test.

## Results

The 377 TMD patients comprised 294 females and 83 males. The most common age group was 20–29 years (28.6%), followed by 30–39 years (18.6%) (Table 1). There were 177 patients (46.9%) with bilateral clinical symptoms and 200 patients (53.1%) with unilateral clinical symptoms. The frequency of clinical symptoms in the 754 joints was as follows: TMJ pain (57.8%), TMJ sound (53.2%), and limitation of mouth opening (22.9%).

Table 2 shows osseous changes of the condylar head on CBCT. Normal findings were present regarding osseous changes of the condylar head in 65.1% of patients. Table 3 shows the MRI findings, including disc configuration, internal derangement, and joint effusion. Changes in disc configuration (flattened, folded, or convex) were present in 54.9% of patients, internal derangement (DDWR or DDWOR) in 62.6%, and joint effusion (grade 1, 2, 3) in 46.0%.

Table 4 shows odds ratios (ORs) of CBCT findings and MRI findings according to TMD symptoms. TMJ pain was significantly associated with sclerosis (OR: 3.81, \( P=0.001 \)), DDWOR (OR: 3.22, \( P=0.001 \)), grade 2 joint effusion (OR: 2.33, \( P=0.001 \)), and grade 3 joint effusion (OR: 5.54, \( P<0.001 \)). However, a convex disc configuration was negatively associated with TMJ pain (OR: 0.43, \( P=0.04 \)). TMJ sound was significantly associated with DDWR (OR: 3.04, \( P<0.001 \)), DDWOR (OR: 2.50, \( P=0.005 \)), grade 2 joint effusion (OR: 2.37, \( P<0.001 \)), and grade 3 joint effusion (OR: 3.23, \( P<0.001 \)). A convex disc configuration was negatively associated with TMJ sound (OR: 0.36, \( P=0.008 \)). Limitation of mouth opening was significantly associated with a flattened disc configuration (OR: 2.08, \( P=0.029 \)), folded disc configuration (OR: 2.30, \( P=0.032 \)), and grade 3 joint effusion (OR: 2.85, \( P<0.001 \)).

## Discussion

TMD is known to occur commonly in women 20 to 40 years old (31-33), but it is gradually becoming more common and occurring at younger ages. This study found that female patients accounted for a 3.5 times higher proportion of TMD patients than male patients. The most common age group of TMD patients was 20–39 years old, followed by 10–19 years old.

The causes of TMD are very diverse and complex, and include disc, masticatory muscle, bone disease (osteoarthritis), TMJ-related ligament, retrodisclal tissue, and synovial membrane problems. The clinical symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (in years)</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>56 (14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>108 (28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>70 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>48 (12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>53 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>377 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Osseous changes</th>
<th>Male (mean age ± SD)</th>
<th>Female (mean age ± SD)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>123 (30.07±14.16)</td>
<td>368 (35.96±15.92)</td>
<td>491 (65.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sclerosis</td>
<td>12 (26.33±12.77)</td>
<td>35 (32.51±14.15)</td>
<td>47 (6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flattening</td>
<td>7 (25.57±14.73)</td>
<td>46 (41.89±15.19)</td>
<td>53 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>12 (26.00±16.26)</td>
<td>43 (39.67±16.03)</td>
<td>55 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteophyte</td>
<td>1 (73.00)</td>
<td>10 (52.40±14.30)</td>
<td>11 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>11 (40.82±18.17)</td>
<td>86 (46.74±16.36)</td>
<td>97 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBCT, cone-beam computed tomography; SD, standard deviation.
of TMD are likewise quite diverse, with pain, sound, and limitation of mouth opening predominating. In TMD, pain can be divided into TMJ pain (arthritic pain, capsular pain, retrodiscal pain, ligamentous pain) and/or masticatory muscle pain (myalgia, myofascial pain, local muscle soreness, myospasms) (24). In some patients with TMD, peripheral mechanisms contribute to pain, but there is a poor correlation between the severity of pain and evidence of definite tissue pathology (34). It is thought that psychosocial stress contributes to pain. Sound is caused by disc displacement and osteoarthritis (5). Limited mouth opening is caused by disc displacement, muscle contracture, and pain.

In the past, plain images such as panoramic radiography and transcranial, Towne, submentovertex, and lateral tomographic projections were used, but the demand for special modalities such as CBCT, CT, and MRI is increasing in order to evaluate tissue morphology and its spatial relationships more accurately (1). CBCT and CT show the osseous component comprehensively and can be used to analyze asymmetry, growth change (1). MRI shows the location and condition of soft tissue components, including the disc and its attachments, as well as the presence of joint effusion, soft tissue neoplasms, or tumor-like lesions (1).

On CBCT, 65.1% of TMD patients had no osseous changes of the condylar head. This is because they had no problems involving bone disease, or because osseous changes occur in the late stage even in cases with internal derangement (35). In the patients with osseous changes, a combination of two or more changes was the most common finding, while osteophytes, which occur at a later stage of bone change, were the least common. On MRI, changes in disc configuration and internal derangement appeared in 50% or more of cases, and joint effusion also appeared in almost half of the patients. Some joints may not have shown changes on MRI because the initial changes in the synovial membrane can only be detected by biopsy and arthroscopy, and are only displayed on radiographs after changes in the articular tissue (36,37). In some joints without osseous changes on CBCT and abnormal MRI findings, the TMD is considered to be of muscular origin. Pain of muscular origin was excluded according to the DC/TMD, but it was clinically difficult to definitively distinguish muscle pain from TMJ pain.

In the analysis of the clinical symptoms of TMD and three-dimensional imaging findings, CBCT findings were associated only with TMJ pain, and MRI findings were associated with all clinical symptoms.

Sclerosis was the only osseous change on CBCT that showed an association with TMJ pain. Pain was
Table 4 Odds ratios for CBCT and MRI findings according to temporomandibular joint symptoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBCT finding</th>
<th>TMJ pain</th>
<th>TMJ sound</th>
<th>Limitation of mouth opening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osseous change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sclerosis</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>1.76–8.24</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flattening</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.53–1.87</td>
<td>0.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.97–4.08</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteophyte</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.40–6.93</td>
<td>0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.88–2.97</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI finding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disc configuration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biconcave</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flattened</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.43–1.23</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folded</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.27–1.04</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convex</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.19–0.97</td>
<td>0.040*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal derangement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDWR</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.75–2.03</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDWOR</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>1.61–6.44</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint effusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.69–1.66</td>
<td>0.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.45–3.75</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>2.61–11.76</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; DDWR, disc displacement with reduction; DDWOR, disc displacement without reduction; OR, odds ratio analyzed by logistic regression analysis; *P<0.05. Adjusted for osseous change, disc configuration, internal derangement and joint effusion. Pain (Hosmer and Lemeshow test; P=0.797); sound (Hosmer and Lemeshow test; P=0.888); limitation (Hosmer and Lemeshow test; P=0.562).

more likely to occur when erosion was present, but this tendency was not statistically significant. Some previous studies have reported a relationship between erosion and pain (11,38,39), while other studies have failed to find a relationship between pain and osseous changes (12,40-42). However, in our study, sclerosis was associated with pain. This discrepancy can be explained by the fact that sclerosis occurs during the remodeling process, which is an adaptive response of the joints (43). In other words, TMD is a chronic disease, and the osseous change shown in a single image does not directly reflect the patient's status over time. In order to establish accurate correlations between clinical symptoms and osseous changes on CBCT, studies that track bony change patterns over time, rather than at one time point, are needed. Among the MRI findings, TMJ pain was associated with DDWOR, grade 2 joint effusion, and grade 3 joint effusion, and was negatively associated with a convex disc configuration. A relationship between DDWOR and pain was reported by previous studies (20,23). DDWOR and pain are related because anterior displacement of the
disc causes an overload of the retrodiscal tissue, which in turn leads to increased degeneration and inflammation of the joints due to an increase in free radicals and nitric oxide production in this area (44,45). Since pain is caused by posterior ligament injury, DDWR was more likely to cause pain, but this tendency was not statistically significant. Joint effusion has been proposed as fluid that shows intra-articular inflammation (46). The exact cause of TMJ effusion is somewhat unclear, but it appears to be related to a dysfunctional relationship between the mandibular condyle and disc (46). This misalignment results in deterioration and wear of the articular cartilage and disc surface, leading in turn to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines including tumor necrosis factor-alpha, nitric oxide, bradykinin, interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1, IL-8, and leukotriene B4 (47,48). These inflammatory cytokines promote joint destruction and produce a painful inflammatory exudate around the joint. Some studies have reported that joint effusion was statistically significantly associated with pain (20,21,23). However, Murakami et al. reported that effusion was not correlated with pain (22). It is thought that moderate to severe effusion is associated with pain. The convex disc configuration appears mainly in DDWOR, and pain and DDWOR showed positive correlations, whereas pain and the convex disc form showed a negative correlation; additional research is needed to clarify these relationships.

Sound was not associated with CBCT findings. Among the MRI findings, sound was associated with DDWR, DDWOR, grade 2 joint effusion, and grade 3 joint effusion, and was negatively associated with convex disc configuration. A previous study reported that clicking occurs in joints with DDWR, DDWOR, and arthritis, and that crepitation occurs in joints with perforation and arthritis (49). DDWOR is a late stage of internal derangement, and it is thought to be related to sound due to an increased risk of osteoarthritis. Consistent with our findings, Matsubara et al. reported that grade 2 and 3 joint effusion was associated with sound (20). The inflammatory cytokines present in effusion promote joint destruction and induce arthritis, which is thought to produce sound. A convex form was negatively associated with TMJ sound. This is thought to be because a convex disc configuration appears in the late stage of internal derangement, and it is difficult for the condyle to make a sound beyond the clustered disc.

Limitation of mouth opening was not associated with any CBCT findings. Among the MRI findings, limitation of mouth opening was associated with a flattened and folded disc configuration and grade 3 joint effusion. Regarding the CBCT findings, the likelihood of limitation of mouth opening increased in the presence of osseous changes (including sclerosis, erosion, osteophytes, or combined); however, these relationships were not statistically significant. Regarding the fact that a flattened and folded disc configuration is associated with limited mouth opening, it is considered that the mandibular condyle locks into the deformed disc with the mouth open, resulting in limitation of mouth opening. A possible explanation for the lack of statistical significance may be that the convex disc configuration occurs in the late stage of internal derangement, when the disc may have more anterior displacement than at the time of 40-mm mouth opening. The relationship between joint effusion and limitation of mouth opening is mediated by the pain caused by effusion.

The main limitation of this study is that since it was a retrospective study, it was difficult to clearly classify complex clinical symptoms, and subchondral cysts were not included in osseous changes. Further studies require planned and comprehensive prospective research and time tracking studies, and analyses of the relationships between a greater number of convex discs and pain are needed.

Conclusions

Clinicians should evaluate the clinical symptoms of patients with TMD and perform CBCT or MRI depending on their symptoms. MRI findings including disc configuration, disc position, and joint effusion were more closely related to clinical symptoms than CBCT findings. If one is not sure which imaging technique is suitable, MRI is recommended as a higher-priority imaging modality over CBCT for the proper diagnosis of TMD.
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