Knowledge framework and emerging trends in intracranial aneurysm magnetic resonance angiography: a scientometric analysis from 2004 to 2020
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Background: As magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) has been increasingly used in the follow-up of intracranial aneurysms (IAs) as a non-invasive technique, the knowledge framework and areas of research interest in intracranial aneurysms magnetic resonance angiography (IAMRA) change approximately every 10 years. However, few studies have quantitatively analyzed the published literature in this field. In the present study, we used scientometrics to survey the knowledge field, development trends, and research focus of IAMRA with the aim of providing a reference for further study.

Methods: We collected articles on IAMRA published from 2004 (Jan 1, 2004) to 2020 (May 24, 2020). Web of Science Core Collection databases (WoSCCd) including the Science Citation Index Expanded were searched. An experienced staff member from the Department of Radiology at Southern Medical University, assisted in screening articles for relevant articles. We used ArcGIS (a mapping and location analytics platform) to perform geographic visualization. Excel 2016 was used to analyze the literature data, including number of publications, impact factor (IF), and publication year. CiteSpace V was used to conduct a series of literature feature clustering, including author co-citation analysis, reference co-citation analysis (RCA), and burst keywords analysis.

Results: A total of 1,272 articles on IAMRA published between 2004 and 2020 were included. Of 257 journals, American Journal of Neuroradiology (IF 2018: 3.256) published the most IAMRA articles (109 publications, 8.57%), followed by Journal of Neurosurgery (IF 2018: 4.131, 51 publications, 4.16%), and Neuroradiology (IF 2018: 2.504, 51 publications, 4.01%). Of 56 countries, the USA published the most, with 347 articles [27.28%, IF: 3.14 (average IF of all journals in the country)], followed by Japan (242 articles, 19.03%, IF: 2.38), Germany (135 articles, 10.61%, IF: 3.21), and China (101 articles, 7.94%, IF: 2.86). A total of 1387 institutions published articles, with the Mayo Clinic publishing the most (33 articles, 2.59%), followed by Shanghai Jiao Tong University (25 article, 1.97%), Seoul National University (23 articles, 1.81%), and University Medical Center Utrecht (19 articles, 1.49%). Of 399 authors, Rinkel ranked first with 19 articles, followed by Li MH (18 articles), Uchino A (15 articles), and Saito N (13 articles). Cluster RCA showed that the first cluster was “#0 growth”, followed by “#1 Guglielmi detachable coils”. Timeline views showed that the time span of “#0 growth” was the closest to today. The modularity value was 0.6971, and the mean silhouette value was 0.5477. According to the burst keyword analysis, “risk factors associated to rupture” was the topic with the strongest burst since 2017. Studies conducted in several countries suggested that age is inversely related to the risk of rupture, which implies the importance of MRA follow-up for patients of different age.

Conclusions: From 2004 to 2020, the number of published IAMRA-related articles gradually increased.
The USA and Western Europe lead in the field, with a concentration of cutting-edge talents and high-level scientific research institutions. A synthesis of the clustering results of RCA and burst keyword analysis indicated that unruptured IA growth, stent-assisted coil embolization, and risk factors associated to rupture were the current hotspots in IAMRA research.
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Introduction
Intracranial aneurysm (IA), a vascular disorder that involves a cystic protuberance caused by local thinning of the vascular wall, mainly manifests as localized dilations of the cerebral artery wall that are prone to rupture and subsequent bleeding (1). IAs are commonly acquired lesions that occur in 2–3.2% of the general population and account for about 85% of subarachnoid hemorrhages (SAH), making IAs the leading cause of hemorrhagic stroke (2,3). Approximately 12% of patients with ruptured IAs die before reaching hospital, and about 30% of hospitalized patients die within 1 month after their first rupture and bleeding event (4-6). Of those who survive, 30% will be left severely impaired and suffer from permanent neurological deficits (7). Residual and recurrent IAs have a rebleeding incidence as high as 30%, which results in significant rates of morbidity and mortality (8,9). Therefore, early diagnosis and periodic reexamination are critical.

In clinical practice, digital subtraction angiography (DSA) remains the gold standard test for the diagnosis of aneurysm recurrence. DSA is an invasive imaging technique with associated risks, including ionizing radiation exposure, nephrotoxicity from iodine-based contrast agents, cerebral thromboembolism, iatrogenic arterial damage, and neurological complications (10,11). Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) has been used in the follow-up of IAs, as it is a non-invasive technique and reduces some of the risks associated with serial DSA examinations (12-15). In their research, van Amerongen et al. and Menke et al. discovered that MRA has a moderate-to-high diagnostic performance when compared with DSA (16,17). The diagnostic performance of MRA techniques have been assessed in previous meta-analyses, demonstrating its reliability, especially for aneurysms treated using endovascular techniques (18). In addition, MRA has the advantages of not requiring intravenous contrast agent administration and being relatively less costly (19).

Despite the vast number of articles being published on MRA application for cerebral aneurysm examinations over the past decade, the systematic collation and scientific analysis of this literature are lacking. CiteSpace is a useful tool for bibliometric visualization (20), which focuses on finding critical points in the development of a field or domain, especially turning points and pivotal points. Using CiteSpace, any aspect of the literature can be visualized, including geospatial patterns of collaboration and co-citation (20,21).

As intracranial aneurysms magnetic resonance angiography (IAMRA) is a technique that is increasingly being used by neurointerventionalists, we aimed to construct the knowledge framework of IAMRA and explore the research areas of interest in this domain by systematically analyzing the relevant research published from 2004 to 2020.

Methods
Data collection
Web of Science Core Collection databases (WoSCCd) is a complete scientific literature database that is used by researchers in various domains, including bibliometrics (22-27). On May 24, 2020, we retrieved all the literature on IAMRA published on WoSCCd between 2004 and 2020 through. To avoid publication date bias, we performed all searches on the same day.

The Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE) was selected for the search. The search statements used to search the literature from 2004 to 2020 were as follows: TS=((“cerebral aneurysm*” OR “intracranial aneurysm*” OR “berry aneurysm*” OR “basilar artery aneurysm*”)) OR (“aneurysm*” AND (“cerebr*” OR “subarachnoid*” OR “brain*” OR “intracranial*”)) AND TS=(“MRA*” OR “magnetic resonance angiography**) AND TS=(**MRA*” OR “magnetic resonance angiography**)

OR “magnetic resonance angiography*” OR “MR angiography*”) AND Language= English AND Document type= (Article OR Review).

As performed in a previous study, the literature feature clustering in the present study included the title, abstract, keywords, source publication, authors’ names, institutions and countries, year of publication, and references (22). Raw data were downloaded from WoSCCd in full-record, plain-text format. In the present study, 2 reviewers assessed the downloaded documents and excluded those unrelated to IAMRA; a third reviewer was used if a consensus between the 2 reviewers could not be reached. The process of inclusion and exclusion is shown in Figure 1. Ethical approval and informed consent were not applicable in the present study.

**Statistical and plotting process**

The included literatures were systematically analyzed by Excel 2016 and CiteSpace V (Drexel University, Philadelphia, USA). We used Excel 2016 and GraphPad Prism 8 (University of California San Diego, San Diego, USA) to display the trend in the number of articles published by year and the distribution of articles by journal. ArcGIS was used to draw the heatmap of the top 10 countries’ total number of published articles between 2004 and 2020 and their average impact factor (IF). CiteSpace V was used to perform a co-occurrence analysis and visualize the collaboration networks of the authors/institutes/countries/keywords. Author co-citation analysis (ACA) and reference co-citation analysis (RCA) were also performed using CiteSpace V, and a related knowledge framework was constructed. Burst keyword detection was also performed to investigate recurrent new keywords. The 50 most cited or found articles were selected to create the individual network in a 1-year interval. Moreover, log-likelihood ratio weighting was used to analyze the contents of each cluster (20,21).

**Results**

**Publication year**

From Jan 1, 2004 to May 24, 2020, 1,272 articles met the criteria for inclusion. The number of articles published in different years is shown in Figure 2, which indicates a consistently increasing trend from 2004 (52 articles) to 2014 (101 articles) before the number appears to plateau.

**Analysis of journals**

A total of 257 academic journals published articles on IAMRA. The top 10 most prolific journals are listed in Table 1. Of the top 10 journals, 6 were from the USA. *American Journal of Neuroradiology* (IF 2018: 3.256) published the most (109 publications, 8.57%), followed by *Journal of Neurosurgery* (IF 2018: 4.131, 51 publications, 4.01%) and *Neuroradiology* (IF 2018: 2.504, 50 publications, 3.93%).

**Analysis of countries and institutes**

From Jan 1, 2004 to May 24, 2020, a total of 1,272 papers were published in 56 countries. The top 10 countries are shown in Table 2 and Figure 3. The USA ranked first with 347 articles (27.28%, IF: 3.14), followed by Japan.
(242 articles, 19.03%, IF: 2.38), Germany (135 articles, 10.61%, IF: 3.21), and China (101 articles, 7.94%, IF: 2.86), accounting for 64.86% of the total. Articles published in the Netherlands had the highest average IF (IF 2018: 5.07), followed by the UK (IF 2018: 4.09), Canada (IF 2018: 3.95), and France (IF 2018: 3.54). Centrality and cited half-life are also shown in Table 2.

A total of 1387 institutes published articles in the field of IAMRA. The Mayo Clinic published the most (33 articles, 2.59%), followed by Shanghai Jiao Tong University (25 articles, 1.97%), Seoul National University (23 articles, 1.81%), and University Medical Center Utrecht (19 articles, 1.49%). The average IF of the articles published by the University Medical Center Utrecht was the highest at 8.437. The second highest was that of the University of Cincinnati (IF 2018: 5.608), followed by the Mayo Clinic (IF 2018: 4.302).

### Analysis of authors

More than 399 authors published articles in the field of IAMRA. Rinkel GJE ranks first with 19 articles, followed by Li MH (18 articles), Uchino A (15 articles), Saito N (13 articles), etc. (Table 3). The co-authorship among these representative authors is shown in Figure 4.

ACA is the frequency of authors’ co-citation (when articles from 2 different authors are each cited by a third author in a single work) to explain the academic relationship between core authors (Figure 5). More than 372 authors were co-cited in articles on IAMRA. Of the top 10 co-cited authors, Wiebers D (175 times) was co-cited the most, followed by White PM (148 times), Raymond J (148 times), and Molyneux A (144 times) (Table 3).

### Analysis of reference citations and co-citations

RCA uses literature as the element of analysis, and can reflect the relationship between the literature by analyzing patterns and trends in co-citation. The top 10 cited articles are listed in Table 3. The mapping of knowledge domains and timeline views constructed by RCA are shown in Figures 6 and 7. In Figure 6, literature on IAMRA published from 2004 to 2020 can be clustered into 8 research areas of interest. Clusters are ordered by numbers from small...
Table 2  Top 10 countries and institutions according to the number of articles published in the IAMRA field from 2004 to 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Counts (%)</th>
<th>Average IF</th>
<th>Centrality¹</th>
<th>Half-life</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Counts (%)</th>
<th>Average IF</th>
<th>Centrality</th>
<th>Half-life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>347 (27.28)</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mayo Clinic</td>
<td>33 (2.59)</td>
<td>4.302</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>242 (19.03)</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Shanghai Jiao Tong University</td>
<td>25 (1.97)</td>
<td>3.964</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>135 (10.61)</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Seoul Natl Univ</td>
<td>23 (1.81)</td>
<td>2.892</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>101 (7.94)</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Univ Med Ctr Utrecht</td>
<td>19 (1.49)</td>
<td>8.437</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>96 (7.55)</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Univ Amsterdam</td>
<td>17 (1.34)</td>
<td>4.072</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>74 (5.82)</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Univ Calif San Francisco</td>
<td>17 (1.34)</td>
<td>3.781</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>56 (4.40)</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Saitama Med Univ</td>
<td>16 (1.26)</td>
<td>1.538</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>38 (2.99)</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Univ Hosp Essen</td>
<td>16 (1.26)</td>
<td>3.438</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>38 (2.99)</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Univ Calif Los Angeles</td>
<td>15 (1.18)</td>
<td>4.227</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>UK</td>
<td>36 (2.83)</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Univ Cincinnati</td>
<td>14 (1.10)</td>
<td>5.608</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹, an index used to quantify the importance of points in the network. Value of centrality is proportional to the mediating effect half-life; that is, the number of years in which an organization’s forward calculated citations from the current year account for 50% of the total citations. IF, impactor factor (Journal Citation Reports 2018, released on June 6, 2019). IAMRA, intracranial aneurysms magnetic resonance angiography.

Figure 3  Heat map of the total number of articles on IAMRA published by the top 10 countries between 2004 and 2020 and their average impact factor (IF). The map also shows the top 10 institutions according to articles published. IAMRA, intracranial aneurysms magnetic resonance angiography.
Table 3  Top 10 most published authors and most co-cited references in the IAMRA field from 2004 to 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Co-cited author</th>
<th>Counts</th>
<th>Co-cited reference</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

IAMRA, intracranial aneurysms magnetic resonance angiography.

Figure 4 Map of active authors and their co-authorship in the IAMRA field since 2004. Links between authors represent their co-authorship, the size of circles represents the counts of author articles, and the color of circles and the links between them reflect the occurrence time. The brighter they are, the more recently they occurred. Only authors with more than 5 articles are shown. IAMRA, intracranial aneurysms magnetic resonance angiography.

to large according to the number of article co-citations. The first cluster is “#0 growth”, followed by “#1 Guglielmi detachable coils (GDCs)”. Timeline view shows that the time span of “#0 growth” is the closest to today. The modularity value (Q) is 0.6971, and the mean silhouette value (S) is 0.5477. Q >0.3 means that the community structure is significant; when the S >0.5, clustering is efficient and convincing.

Analysis of keyword burst

A total of 292 keywords were detected in the analysis of the cited literature. The top 50 keywords are presented in Figure 8, which are sorted by the year beginning the burst. The appearance time points, burst strength, and time span of the burst keywords varied. From 2004 to 2020, the highest-strength burst keyword was
**Figure 5** Map of author co-citation networks in the IAMRA field since 2004. The size of circles represents the co-citation number of authors. Links between nodes reflect the co-citation of authors, and the thickness of the links represent the intensity of the co-citation. Only authors with more than 95 co-citations are shown. IAMRA, intracranial aneurysms magnetic resonance angiography.

**Figure 6** Cluster analysis chart of RCA in the IAMRA field since 2004. The network has been divided into 8 co-citation clusters, and red letters represent the name of clusters. The number of nodes covered by each convex hull represents the number of cited articles in this field. Links between nodes reflect the co-citation of the articles. The brighter the convex hull and links are, the more recently they appeared. IAMRA, intracranial aneurysms magnetic resonance angiography.

“International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial (ISAT)” (7.739). “Risk factor”, “association”, and “rupture” were the keywords with the 3 highest burst strengths within the past 3 years, with burst strengths of 5.32, 4.33, and 4.21, respectively.

**Discussion**

**General information**

We found a growing trend in the number of IAMRA articles published from 2004 to 2020, with an increase from
52 articles in 2004 to 101 articles in 2014, followed by a plateau, and a climb to 86 articles in 2019. This gradual increase may be a result of the emergence of new and advanced MRA technologies in the past decade, which have increased the reliability in the detection of residual aneurysms after endovascular treatment. In addition, MRA has lower risk of radiation exposure, contrast material–induced complications, hematoma on the puncture site, and risk of neurologic complications due to thromboembolism or arterial dissection compared with DSA examinations (15).

The top 10 journals published 458 articles, accounting for 37.3% of the total, which indicates a significant contribution in the IAMRA domain. In addition, a large proportion of IAMRA-related literature was published by journals in the neuroradiology and neurosurgery domains. These data can be used as a reference for future researchers to quote and publish articles in the IAMRA domain.

**Distribution information**

Among the top 10 contributing countries or regions, the USA was the main collaborator with other countries (centrality: 0.75), and published the most articles in the domain of IAMRA, followed by Western Europe and East Asia. A multitude of neuroradiology research centers and multicenter medical centers distributed throughout the USA provide the bulk of aneurysm image samples for imaging research. Journals from the Netherlands had the highest average IF (5.07), which indicates its high level of IAMRA research. This result can be attributed to the well-developed medical device industry of the Netherlands, with IMRA-related equipment such as the angiography suite Azurion (Philips, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) being used to integrate angiography simulations (28).

The distribution of literature by institution is similar to that by country. The Mayo Clinic, where neuroradiology originated as a subspecialty 90 years ago, ranks high in the number of published articles, centrality, and IF. After a long-term development, the Mayo Clinic has gradually attracted the top talents in the neuroradiology field and achieved numerous technological breakthroughs, especially in specialized MRA radiographic equipment (29).

From 2004 to 2020, all of the top 10 most prolific authors published more than 9 articles, and the top 10 cited authors were co-cited in IAMRA domain at least 98 times. However, as shown in Table 3, none of the top 10 most prolific authors placed in the most co-cited authors list, suggesting that articles published by the most productive authors are not necessarily articles of high quality, as the number of article co-citations is generally considered as an indicator of academic merit. Based on a cohort with 2,143 patients with ruptured IAs, Molyneux et al. published an article on the International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial (ISAT) in *Lancet*, which was the most co-cited paper on IAMRA (69 times) from 2004 to 2020, providing an informative and credible reference to the IAMRA knowledge network (30).

For co-authorship, core authors in the IAMRA domain can be divided into 5 academic groups (Figure 4), in which authors work hand in hand and frequently participate in academic exchange. Of the 5 academic groups, 3 have a clear central member, with Huston J (from the Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Mayo Clinic and Foundation), Li MH (from the Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional...
Figure 8 The top 50 keywords with the strongest citation bursts in the IAMRA field. The green line represents time period from 2004 to 2019, and the red line represents the time span of the burst. IAMRA, intracranial aneurysms magnetic resonance angiography.
Radiology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University), and Rinkel GJE (Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht University) being the key researchers in their respective groups. The 3 authors are well known in the IAMRA domain and all have conducted research that relates to the assessment of the diagnostic value of MRA in patients with IAs at different ages and with different physiological conditions (31-33).

The extent of co-citation between authors has a positive correlation with the similarity of authors’ research domains, and ACA is a useful way to analyze this relationship. According to this method, we classified and represented author co-citations graphically to identify the core authors and to analyze the relationship between authors in a specific field. The map of the author co-citation networks revealed that the highly co-cited authors can be divided into 2 groups (Figure 5). Researchers can identify authors with a similar specialism in the IAMRA domain by using the ACA map.

**Research areas of interest**

CiteSpace software includes RCA (20), which offers an informative snapshot of the network of articles and the professional domain that numerous co-cited articles converge in. The cluster analysis of various co-citation areas can accurately identify research areas of interest (34). The key to identifying the central issue in the academic domain is to analyze the numeric value of cluster names by synthesizing the information of the cluster analysis chart and the timeline view (Figures 6 and 7). Using this, it was reasonable to conclude that “#0 growth”, “#1 GDCs”, and “#2 stent” were the research areas of interest in recent years, as their clusters contain relatively more nodes and have a comparatively more recent occurrence time.

Growth refers to unruptured IA growth. Previous studies have reported that approximately 10% of unruptured IAs grow during the process of follow-up angiographic imaging (35). Due to the instability in growing aneurysms (36), aneurysm growth is closely related to the risk of rupture and is known to cause severe socioeconomic burden (37-42). As MRA is safe, non-invasive, and accurate, it plays an important role in morphological follow-up examinations of unruptured IA growth. As reported in Jin et al.’s meta-analysis (43), MRA was used in 14 of 23 studies of aneurysm growth. The meta-analysis questions if it is reasonable to conduct serial MRA from a pathophysiological angle. Small unruptured IAs take time to grow, and the incidence of growth is higher than that of rupture in this specific time (31,44), suggesting there may be a therapeutic time window to detect enlargement before rupture; therefore, serial MRA followed-up is necessary in the treatment of unruptured IAs. This was demonstrated in Matsumoto et al’s study, in which MRA was found to reduce the risk of mortality of growing unruptured IAs, especially within 1 year and in patients with multiple aneurysms (45). Although progress been made in the research of MRA application in growing unruptured IAs, several important obstacles remain. First, treatment decisions are not currently based on recognized criteria or parameters, but rather an individual appreciation of anatomical features, such as size and location. These provide an incomplete assessment of rupture risk (46). After reviewing the literature, we found that the majority of studies defined IA growth as an increase of ≥1 mm (45,47-55), although some studies used a definition of either a 1.5x increase of diameter (56,57), or ≥2 mm increase (58-60). This variability between studies inevitably leads to a serious risk of bias. We thus recommend a subgroup analysis be performed to identify differences based on the definition of IA growth. Second, IA growth may occur before rupture. However, this may be difficult detect due to the long interval between follow-up examinations, leading to an underestimation of the rupture risk in patients with aneurysm growth. Therefore, it is important to have an optimum interval between MRA sessions to balance accuracy and economic burden, and research into finding this balance would be of considerable value. Third, more accurate measurements can be obtained with 3D rotational angiography by virtue of the high-resolution and signal-to-noise ratios (61). However, 3D rotational angiography is seldom performed in follow-up imaging because of its high cost and invasiveness. Accordingly, focusing further research on reducing the cost and invasiveness of 3D rotational angiography, so as to increase its applicability, will greatly increase the reliability of follow-up imaging.

Synthesizing the cluster result of “#1 Guglielmi detachable coils” and “#2 stent”, we can deduce that “stent” refers to “stent-assisted coil embolization (SACE)”, which is the second most popular area of interest. The application of SACE to treat aneurysms has markedly increased following the publication of the results from ISAT (30,62) and the International Study of Unruptured Intracranial Aneurysms (63). Importantly, owing to its better curative effect compared to that of aneurysm clipping (30,62,63), SACE has been performed in more than 50% patients in need of aneurysm treatment, as reported in European countries in the 1990s,
Zheng et al. Scientometric analysis of intracranial aneurysm MRA

in the USA in 2004 (64,65), and in Korea in 2013 (66). Although SACE has been proven to be effective, there is approximately 20% risk of aneurysm recurrence after SACE treatment, with 9% of patients requiring retreatment (67,68). Therefore, it is essential to periodically review and identify residual and recurrent aneurysms (69), which is typically done with MRA. Three main types of MRA are used in screening: non-contrast time-of-flight (ncTOF) MRA, contrast-enhanced time-of-flight (cTOF) MRA, and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRA (CEMRA). Initially, ncTOF was used to assess the embolization effect of aneurysms (70-74). Later, cTOF was tested in a few studies and was found to overcome many shortcomings associated with ncTOF (75). However, a consensus has not been reached concerning which of the two has a better comprehensive assessment result (76-78). CEMRA was then introduced to assess the curative effect of SACE (75). CEMRA performs better in the visualization of in-stent signals compared to TOF, and it has been found to have high consistency with DSA for the detection of aneurysms treated by SACE (79). CEMRA is now recommended as the first-line non-invasive imaging screening modality. Therefore, the subject area of “CEMRA&SACE” should be given more attention. The limitations of the current research listed should provide valuable research direction. First, only 3 studies evaluated distally placed stents with MRA (80-82). The role of MRA in the depiction of aneurysm occlusion and stent visualization in the distal circulation, where small intracranial stents are utilized, remains unknown. Second, coil type is an important influencing factor of susceptibility artifacts, and are likely to have an indirect impact on luminal visibility. Therefore, designing an MRA screening assessment study with a unified coil type can more effectively control bias, which previous studies have failed to manage. Finally, venous contamination and contrast enhancement of the vessel wall can result in false-positive findings with regards to recurrent aneurysms. Aneurysms can be mistaken for residual aneurysm filling due to T1 shortening (83). These issues may provide a valuable research direction for neuroradiology researchers.

Burst keyword is the keyword co-occurrence analysis function of CiteSpace. The analysis object is the co-occurring author keyword (DE) and keywords plus (ID) fields in the literature, and the result is the burst keyword strength list, which can reflect the main research stream of a given field within a specified period. As shown in Figure 8, “risk factor”, “association”, and “rupture” were the keywords with the top 3 burst strengths within the past 3 years (5.32, 4.33, and 4.21, respectively). “Risk factors associated to rupture” has been the strongest burst topic since 2017. Rupture often leads to death or severe disability, and poses a considerable clinical and socioeconomic burden (42). Taking the risk factors of rupture into account when deciding upon treatment and follow-up examinations can enhance the specificity and accuracy of diagnosis and treatment, while at the same time decreasing the redundant examination and the risks posed by aneurysm surgery (84-86). The risk correlation between age and rupture is a question of fundamental importance, with many related published articles (87-91). A descriptive study on 8,144 cases in mainland China conducted by Wáng et al. concluded that elderly patients may be at a reduced risk of rupture compared with younger patients who have other similar risk factors (87). Studies conducted in populations in Finland, the USA, and Japan have also demonstrated that advanced age is a small but significant protective effect for rupture (89-91). A study on 945 Canadian patients with aneurysms by Weir et al. suggested that elderly patients may have reduced risk of rupture compared with patients who are younger with the same-sized aneurysms (88). Collectively, this research indicates that age appears to be inversely related to the risk of rupture, and reflects the importance of MRA follow-up for patients at different ages. As keywords represent the core of an article and the burst keyword reflects the research front (24,92), how MRA can be applied to the follow-up of IA patients to assess the risk of rupture, especially in relatively young patients, would be an important research topic in the IAMRA field.

Study limitations

The present study has some limitations. First, all the documents were downloaded from the WoS database. As a result, the literature included in this study may not represent the full IAMRA domain. The main reason we choose WoS is that CiteSpace was developed based on the data format of WoS. Data retrieved from the WoS, which includes information on author, institution, country, journal, etc., especially with complete references, are suitable as input data to CiteSpace for ACA and RCA, which could not be performed with the data retrieved from PubMed, Embase, or Ovid databases without complete references. WoS is the most useful database for obtaining global academic information, as it consists of nearly 9,000 of the world’s most well-known high-impact research journals. Furthermore, we can obtain complete literature
information (e.g., author, institution, country, journal) from WoS, convert this information into plain text format, and then input this into CiteSpace for analysis. Second, all the literature analyzed in the present study was in English, which might have led to language bias to some extent. However, we believe that the literature included was representative, as English is the most widely used language in academia. Finally, the MRA performed in an aneurysm after endovascular coiling and the MRA performed in untreated aneurysm are different. The former is more focused on the better visualization under the metal artifact, whereas the latter is more focused on the visualization of a small or irregular shaped aneurysm with complex flow turbulence. We were unable to carry out subcategory analysis or incorporate the differences between these two types of MRA into a more accurate interpretation. This may be a drawback of CiteSpace software when conducting a comprehensive bibliometric analysis in a specific field. Therefore, the results of the data might oversimplify the interpretation of why and how MRA is used for the evaluation of patients with aneurysms. As the present study targeted a large amount of literature, the conceptual trends in the study could be most appropriately interpreted in a broad context, which would provide quantitative evidence for neurointerventionalists searching for the best imaging solutions for patients with aneurysms.

Conclusions

The IAMRA field has been growing gradually over the past decade. The USA and Western Europe are at the forefront of IAMRA, possessing the greater portion of the leading talent and the high-level scientific research institutions in this field. Currently, the main research areas of interest are unruptured IA growth, SACE, and risk factors associated to rupture, which warrant further research.
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