Decoupling and matching network for monopole antenna arrays in ultrahigh field MRI
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Background: Radiative coil arrays, e.g., dipole or monopole arrays, are increasingly used in MR signal excitation and reception for ultrahigh field MRI. Technically, it is challenging to suppress the electromagnetic (EM) coupling of radiative array elements due to their unique structures.

Methods: In this study, we proposed a combined decoupling and matching network (DMN) for monopole arrays for MRI applications. Compared with separate decoupling network and matching network, the combined network proposed here needs less components and rather suitable for decoupling radiative arrays in MRI.

Results: Our study shows that the transmission coefficient between two coupled monopoles can be reduced from −5 dB to −24.8 dB by using the combined DMN. It is also clearly demonstrated in this study that this decoupling method is a port decoupling method rather than an element decoupling method.

Conclusions: With the proposed DMN, the monopole coil provides locally strong and spatially diverse B1 fields, which is essential to the improvement of MR sensitivity and parallel imaging performance.
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Introduction

Ultrahigh field (i.e., 7 T and higher) MRI could provide a higher signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), better image resolution, and improved image contrast (1-3). As the Larmor frequency increases with magnetic field strength, the resultant high frequency at ultrahigh magnetic fields makes the design of large-sized radio-frequency (RF) coils challenging. To address this problem, a variety of RF coil arrays have been proposed and developed, including the L/C loop arrays (4-6), microstrip transmission line arrays (7-12) and radiative arrays (13-18). Among them, radiative coil arrays, e.g., dipole or monopole arrays, demonstrate their unique performance in design simplicity and large imaging penetration and coverage, and are increasingly used for ultrahigh field MRI.

Minimizing the electromagnetic (EM) coupling among coil elements is critical to RF coil array designs, given that better decoupling could lead to higher SNR and better parallel imaging performance. In telecommunication applications, a large amount of studies have been focused on reducing the coupling of monopole and dipole antennas. In references (19,20), different kinds of metamaterials were applied to decouple two nearby monopoles. Above from that, hybrid couplers using L/C network or microstrip line approach (21,22) have been used to achieve port decoupling for monopole or dipole antennas. All these methods could suppress the coupling effect, but suffer from complicated
structures and might not be suitable for MRI applications.

In reference (23), a decoupling structure using a phase shifter and a bridge capacitor was successfully implemented to diminish the coupling between two printed monopoles. In MRI applications, tunable decoupling networks are preferred since different imaging samples or loads might cause the change of mutual coupling, consequently the fine adjustment of decoupling circuit is often needed. Since phase shifters are not easy to adjust in practice, this approach might not be suitable in MRI applications. In this study, we proposed a combined decoupling and matching network (DMN) for radiative coil arrays in ultrahigh field MRI, providing a convenient approach to feed and decouple radiative RF arrays.

**Methods**

*Figure 1* shows the circuit diagram of the proposed DMN for antenna arrays in MRI applications. The DMN was accomplished by an interconnecting reactive element ($X_c$), two parallel reactive elements ($X_p$), and two series reactive element ($X_s$). The reactive element is a capacitor or inductor in practice.

Since $X_s$ affects the odd but not the even mode, it can be used to make the odd mode impedance approach to the even mode impedance. In some special cases where the coupling is totally reactive, the even and odd mode impedance at the new ports can be equal to each other, which means that the new ports are decoupled. In general cases, a more complex network, e.g., T-shaped or π-shaped network, is needed for decoupling (24). In this study, $X_p$ was partly used to form a π-shaped network with $X_c$ for port decoupling, and partly used to form an L-shaped network with $X_s$ for port matching.

In order to verify the proposed design, a 2-channel monopole array with DMN is numerically computed using ANSYS HFSS, as shown in *Figure 2*. The width and length of each monopole element are 1 cm and 25 cm, respectively. The distance of the two monopoles is about 6 cm. A cylindrical water phantom with an outer diameter of 16 cm and a length of 30 cm is placed 2 cm below the monopole elements. The EM parameters of the water phantom are set as follows: conductivity $\sigma = 0.59$ s/m; relative permittivity; $\varepsilon_r = 78$.

For comparison, we also simulated a 2-channel monopole array without decoupling treatments and a single monopole. Values of all reactive components were obtained by RF circuit co-simulation method (25,26). The operate frequency is 297.2 MHz, which is the Larmor frequency of our unitized 7T MRI scanner.

**Results**

**Simulated $S$-parameter**

*Figure 3* depicts the frequency response of the magnitudes of the $S$-parameters, with $S_{11}$ and $S_{21}$ representing reflection and mutual coupling, respectively. The values of reactive
components used are as follows: $X_c = 6.6$ pF, $X_s = 13.5$ pF, $X_p = 71.9$ nH. Figure 3A,C show the S-parameter of two coupled monopoles. As can be noticed, mutual coupling between the monopoles is nearly −5 dB at desired frequency when both monopoles are matched. With the proposed DMNs, ports 1 and 2 are well decoupled (~24.8 dB) and matched to 50 ohm ($\Omega$) simultaneously, as shown in Figure 3B,D.

It is worth noting that the $S_{11}$ bandwidth of decoupled monopoles is rather small. This is partly due to the changing self-impedance, and partly due to the changing radiation pattern of the monopoles.

We also evaluated the normalized noise matrixes ($\Psi$) of the two arrays by calculating Eq. [1] and [2] (27), where $E_{km}$ is the local electric field of voxel $k$ from channel $m$, $\sigma_k$ is the local conductivity of voxel $k$, $\Delta x$, $\Delta y$ and $\Delta z$ are the voxel size in $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions. In this study, the voxel size for noise matrix calculation in $x$, $y$, $z$ directions is 2, 2 and 5 mm, respectively. The noise correlation of the monopole arrays without decoupling treatments and with the proposed DMN are 0.41 and 0.15, respectively.

$$\Psi_{mn} = \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z \sum_{k} \sigma_k (E_{km} E_{kn}^*) (m, n = 1, 2) \quad [1]$$

$$\Psi_{mn} = -\frac{\Psi_{mn}}{\sqrt{\Psi_{mm} \Psi_{nn}}} (m, n = 1, 2, m \neq n) \quad [2]$$

**Current distribution**

Figure 4 shows the current distribution of two monopole elements when only port 1 was excited with 1W power. Figure 4A shows the current distribution of the two close-spaced monopoles without decoupling treatments. Arrows in red color indicate the current directions along the monopole elements. It is obvious that the current flows into port 2 and the right monopole, leading to strong EM coupling between two ports. When the decoupling network was added, however, the induced current becomes almost zero at the feed-point of the right monopole, as shown in Figure 4B. This has also been validated by S-parameter results as described above.

From Figure 4B, it is also seen that not the monopole elements but the ports are decoupled. That means both monopole elements are excited when only one port is fed. The current distribution of the two-element array is characterized by “large” currents flowing in opposite directions. Similar results have also been observed in previous study (28).

**Electronic and magnetic field distribution**

Figure 5 shows the H field, E field and transmit field ($B_1^*$)
Figure 4 Current distribution of two monopoles without decoupling treatments (A) and with the proposed DMN (B). In simulation, only port 1 is excited with 1W power. In Figure 4A, obvious induced current is observed in port 2 due to the strong coupling. In Figure 4B, almost no current flows into port 2 by using the decoupling and matching network. EM, electromagnetic; DMN, decoupling and matching network.

Figure 5 H field, E field and transmit field (B₁') on the water phantom in the transverse plane (X-Y plane) of single monopole antennas (A1-A6), two coupled monopole antennas (B1-B6) and two decoupled monopole antennas (C1-C6).
on the water phantom in the transverse plane of single monopole antennas (A1-A6), two coupled monopole antennas (B1-B6) and two decoupled monopole antennas (C1-C6). In the simulation, one port was excited with 1W with the other port terminated with 50 \( \Omega \). \( B_1^+ \) field was extracted from simulation by Eq. [3] (29).

\[
B_1^+ = \frac{B_x + iB_y}{2}
\]  

As expected, part of the power was transferred to the other monopole element when no decoupling treatments were used, as shown in Figure 5A,B. The field result is consistent with the \( S_{21} \) result as described above (about −5 dB). It is worth noting that the electromagnetic fields of decoupled monopole elements are still different from that of single monopole even though the \( S_{21} \) is as low as −24.8 dB, as shown in Figure 5A,C. This also indicates that the proposed method is a port decoupling method rather than an element decoupling method.

**Discussion and conclusions**

In summary, by applying the proposed L/C network, the port isolation between two monopoles can be improved from −5 dB to −25 dB meanwhile excellent matching performance can also be attained. In the conventional approaches, decoupling network and matching network are separated which increases the circuit complexity. In this study, we utilized a combined DMN and thus the number of required components can be minimized. Since less number of tuning components is required, this design is advantageous to MRI applications.

It is noted that the proposed method is a port decoupling approach rather than an element decoupling approach. Therefore, the electric and magnetic fields of the decoupled monopole are different from those of single monopoles. This feature can be seen from Figure 4 that the two monopole elements with decoupling network have a similar current distribution as the loop coil, with currents on two conductors flow in different directions. This makes the H field and \( B_1 \) field strong at the peripheral area on the phantom, as shown in Figures 5C1-C6.

Although this concept was exclusively presented for the particular case of a 2-channel monopole array, it can be generalized to monopole arrays with more channels, i.e., eight channels. Also, the idea can be extended to dipole arrays in MRI. In the case of the dipole antenna, the DMN might be different from the monopole antenna at certain level because of its enlarged self-impedance over that of the monopole antenna.
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