Introduction

Fetal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) on 1.5T clinical scanners has increasingly been performed to detect the brain abnormalities and potential neurodevelopmental disabilities since its first introduction in early 1980s (1-9). Due to fetal motion, multiecho ultrafast MRI techniques such as single-shot fast spin-echo (ssFSE) and half-Fourier acquired ssFSE are primarily used but at the price of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) degradation. Parallel acquisition (10-12) and excitation, as a fast imaging technique, are feasible for fetal MRI with less focal SAR hot spots, higher SNR and reductions in scan time (13,14). However, since there are no dedicated fetal phased arrays available, commercial torso or cardiac phased arrays are routinely used instead, which are not optimized in SNR, safety and parallel imaging performance for fetal MRI, due to the limited coil elements, filling factor and B1 field coverage. This poses a demand for investigation and development of dedicated radiofrequency (RF) hardware for efficient MR signal excitation and reception in fetal imaging.

Previous work demonstrates that well designed flexible transceiver arrays using microstrip elements (15-21) are feasible for various subjects with different sizes (22,23), which suggests the possibility of utilizing flexible phased array in fetal MRI. Current research has shown the significant SNR improvement in the region near the coil array as well as the deep region of a maternal body model by increasing the number of coil elements (24,25). By optimizing coil configuration and increasing coil elements, the filling factor and imaging coverage can be improved to achieve high SNR,
therefore, higher spatial resolution, sensitivity, and image homogeneity, and reduce scanning time in clinical fetal MRI.

Numerical calculation of RF electromagnetic fields in human models with realistic geometry and tissue properties using finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) algorithm is an efficient means in evaluating and optimizing coil configuration for better transmit/receive performance in MR imaging (26,27). The numerical calculation results lead to prospective insight into the coil performance for fetal MRI such as SNR, specific absorption rate (SAR) and parallel imaging feasibility, which provides important guideline for fetal array design and fabricating prototype coil arrays (28-32).

In this work, we propose a flexible 32-channel fetal phased array design to increase SNR, imaging coverage, parallel imaging performance and imaging safety in the whole uterus region. The performance of the proposed flexible array is investigated numerically and compared with the commercial 8-channel torso array at 1.5T. The B1 field distribution of the proposed fetal array is analyzed by using FDTD method. In addition, GRAPPA reconstructed images with different acceleration factors are generated based on simulation results. Artifact power is measured to quantitatively evaluate parallel imaging performance.

Materials and methods

In order to improve imaging coverage and filling factor, the element number of dedicated fetal array increased to 32 while the size of each element was reduced correspondingly to cover the abdomen of mother. As shown in Figure 1, the fetal array consisted of 4×2 square surface coils with 110 mm width and 160 mm length on the bottom and 8×3 coils with 60 mm width and 70 mm length at the top except the four trapezoidal coil indicated by yellow arrows. By increasing the number of coil elements and the relative small size of each element, the array is more flexible, suitable for patients with different abdomen sizes and shapes. Compared with the 8-channel commercial torso array, which consisted of 4 square surface coils with 160 mm width and 160 mm length on the bottom and the other four with 110 mm width and 110 mm length at the top as shown in Figure 1, the coverage and filling factor were improved along with the increased flexibility.

The simulations of the two arrays were carried out using commercial FDTD software XFDTD 6.5 (REMCOM Inc., State College, PA) to compare array performance. The conductors (red region) were copper tapes (σ=5.8×10^7 S/m, μr=1 and 3 mm in width). The phantom (green region, μr=0.7 S/m and μ=72) was ellipse cylinder with 800 mm length, 205 mm long axel and 120 mm short axel, combined with a sphere with 140 mm radius. In order to achieve better coverage and filling factor, the coil elements at the top of the torso array were rotated 15° along the anterior-posterior direction. All the elements of the proposed fetal array were placed close to the phantom. A three-dimension FDTD simulation was performed at 64 MHz, corresponding to the proton Larmor frequency at 1.5T. Each element of the two arrays was excited by sinusoidal current source with RMS value of 1A and the same phase. Outer boundaries were absorbing perfectly matched layer (PML) with 7 layers. The meshing cells of the two models were 3 mm × 3 mm × 5 mm.

To evaluate the parallel imaging performance of the fetal array, GRAPPA (12,33-35) algorithm was utilized for image reconstruction. The electromagnetic field distribution of each element coil was simulated separately. The images of each element were calculated pixel by pixel based on simulation results. Ignoring relaxation and susceptibility effects, the gradient echo image intensity SI is given by (36,37).

\[
SI = C \sin((B_1^+ \gamma \tau)(B_1^-)) \quad [1]
\]

where C is constant proportional to resonance frequency and initial magnetization, γ is the magnetogyric ratio, τ is the RF pulse duration, \(B_1^+\) and \(B_1^-\) denote the positive and negative circularly polarized component respectively and the asterisk denotes a complex conjugate operation (38,39).

As the phantom is assumed to be uniformly excited, SI is proportional to \(1 \cdot B_1^+\) according to equation [1]. A second order polynomial fit is performed to smooth the images.

The GRAPPA reconstruction was carried out by using PULSAR toolbox (40). 32 Auto-Calibration Signal (ACS) lines in the center of the k-space were used to estimate the missing lines. The block size was 2. All the coils were used for GRAPPA reconstruction. The coil distribution was set to linear. 90% of k-space along frequency-encoding direction was employed for fitting. The GRAPPA reconstruction with subsampling factors of 2, 4, 6 and 8, corresponding to acceleration factors of 1.7, 2.6, 3.2 and 3.5 respectively, was performed to A/P direction in axial plane. Sum-of-square (SoS) images were calculated as reference (41).

Results

The \(B_1\) field distributions in the transversal and sagittal planes of the two arrays, which was scaled to 2×10^-7 W input power of each element, was shown in Figure 2 and 3. The mean \(B_1\) in 3 cm × 3 cm region at different location in the whole uterus was shown in the black boxes. As shown in Figure 2, \(B_1\) was increased 20% in the surface region at the center of transversal plane, whilst that on left and right sides increased 40% to 180% due to the better coverage of the
Figure 1 Configurations of coils and phantoms, 32 channel fetal array (A) and 8 channel torso array (B)

Figure 2 $B_1$ map of (A) 32 channel fetal array and (B) 8 channel torso array in the central transversal plane of the phantom calculated by XFDTD. The numbers in the boxes indicated the mean $B_1$ ($10^{-8}$T) in the 3 cm x 3 cm region.
**Figure 3** B1 map of (A) 32 channel fetal array and (B) 8 channel torso array in the central sagittal plane of the phantom calculated by XFDTD. The numbers in the boxes indicated the mean B1 (10⁻⁸T) in the 3 cm × 3 cm region.

**Figure 4** GRAPPA reconstructed images. 32 Auto-Calibration Signal (ACS) lines in the center of the k-space were used to estimate the missing lines. The GRAPPA reconstruction with acceleration factors of 1.7, 2.6, 3.2 and 3.5 was performed to A/P direction in axial plane.
Figure 5 Artifact power comparison between fetal array and torso array with subsampling factors of 2, 4, 6, and 8.

Figure 6 The image intensity of SoS and GRAPPA reconstructed images with subsampling factor 8 at the center lines (blue dash lines in Figure 4). The right figure is zoom-in image, showing image intensity in deeper region in uterus.
32 channel fetal array. As shown in Figure 3, $B_1$ increased 50% in the center of surface region as well as that on the anterior and posterior sides was increased 28% due to better filling factor of the fetal array. $B_1$ in the center of uterus in deeper region such as the center of the patient was increased 87% and 79% respectively because of increasing the number of element. Besides the improvement of $B_1$ field strength, the sensitivity homogeneity also increased substantially which is important for fetal MRI due to the possibility of fetus head location in the whole uterus.

The GRAPPA and sum-of-square reconstructed images were shown in Figure 4. The first row was the images of eight-channel torso array and the second row was of 32-channel fetal array. Artifact power was calculated to quantitatively evaluate the parallel imaging performance of two arrays. The artifact power (AP) was defined as (40).

$$AP = \sum_{x,y} \left( \frac{|I^{\text{SoS}}(x,y)| - c|I^{\text{GRAAPA}}(x,y)|}{|I^{\text{SoS}}(x,y)|^2} \right)^2$$  \[2\]

where $I^{\text{SoS}}$ and $I^{\text{GRAAPA}}$ were the image intensity of sum-of-square images and GRAPPA reconstructed images. As shown in Figure 5, the fetal array dramatically reduced the artifact power compared with the torso array. The artifact power of fetal array with subsampling factor 8 was diminished to 7.8% of that of torso array. Figure 6 showed the image intensity of SoS and GRAPPA reconstructed images with subsampling factor 8 at the center line, which demonstrated the image intensity of the fetal array increased 5-fold in surface region. The zoom-in image in Figure 6 showed 50% improvement in the deeper region compared with that of torso array, although the sizes of each element of the fetal array were smaller than torso array (42).

**Conclusions and Discussions**

This study indicates the proposed 32-channel fetal array improves SNR, sensitivity homogeneity and imaging coverage by increasing the number of array element. The artifact of parallel reconstructed images is reduced dramatically by using the proposed flexible fetal array. These results demonstrate the feasibility of the 32 channel flexible array and the performance improvement over the torso or cardiac array, providing a more sensitive, faster and safer imaging method for fetal MR imaging at 1.5T.

Some $B_1$ drop-off near the surface of maternal body model as shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3 can be observed. This field distribution can be further improved by performing $B_2$ with the fetal array or fine adjusting the phase and amplitude on the array elements, or even by simple post-processing during the image reconstruction. This certainly deserves a further study.

With the use of multichannel RF transmitter, the flexible fetal array can be also used as a transmit/receive array to perform regularly transmitting or parallel excitation for $B_1$ filed shimming and fast selective excitation. Since the region of interest is relatively smaller than the maternal abdomen, the excitation power can be reduced by using transmit array instead of regular body coil. Therefore, the average SAR and resulting temperature rise will decrease which improves patient safety.
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