Introduction

Bone tissue, like any other biological tissue (e.g., thyroid, breast or liver tissue), has mechanical properties that can be altered by several pathological conditions (1,2). Ultrasound is widely used as a suitable imaging modality to assess these mechanical properties of biological tissues. Conventional techniques of ultrasound, such as B-mode, are the most widely used in diagnostic imaging, having an exceptional capacity to evaluate soft tissues. Currently, it is well known that tissues that have high acoustic impedance are an obstacle for conventional ultrasonography (3).
therefore a comprehensive ultrasound evaluation of bone tissue encounters significant limitations because of the high acoustic impedance of cortical bone, which prevents the propagation of ultrasound waves (4-6). Nevertheless, several papers have introduced and highlighted the usefulness of conventional ultrasound techniques to assess bone abnormalities, mainly by addressing the study of its surface (7-11) or by emphasizing the evaluation of epiphyseal or apophyseal cartilaginous tissues in the pediatric population (12,13). In addition, recent developments in ultrasound imaging technologies, such as elastography, are changing this scenario.

Elastography is an imaging modality that can be used for medical purposes, as it can measure tissue’s elastic parameters (“stiffness”), resembling manual palpation, with the advantage of being a quantitative method (14,15). There are numerous elastographic techniques, mainly differing in how the target is excited and/or how the response is acquired. Some of these techniques are in developmental stages and others have already reached clinical practice (16-21). Some elastographic techniques are based on ultrasound radiation force interacting with a target and producing low frequencies vibrations, called acoustic response (AR), which carries mechanical properties of the target. Fatemi and Greenleaf proposed one such technique: vibro-acoustography (VA) (22,23). The VA technique consists of focusing two acoustic primary beams emitted at close frequencies, which creates a localized low frequency radiation beam on the studied object and using a hydrophone to register the low frequency acoustic emissions. Silva and Mitri demonstrated that, in VA, in addition to the radiation force, the two beams interact nonlinearly, giving rise to harmonics of the primary waves (24). Due to the interaction of focused and modulated beams, VA is very sensitive to morphology and internal structure of the target.

Osteoporosis is a highly prevalent multifactorial osteometabolic disease, characterized by a reduction in bone mass and associated with changes in bone microarchitecture (25-27). The most important consequence of osteoporosis is a reduction in the mechanical strength of bones, which increases the risk of fractures. Since 1994, the World Health Organization (WHO) has defined the operational diagnosis of osteoporosis based on the measurement of bone mineral density (BMD) (28-30). Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is the gold standard method for BMD measurement in vivo (31). The DXA methodology consists of estimating the attenuation of two X-ray beams (with high and low energy) in bones and soft tissues. DXA predicts the susceptibility to fracture based only on low bone mass, but fragility fractures may occur in subjects showing a large spectrum of BMD values, including individuals with BMD within normal values. Alternatively, several methods are available to evaluate detailed characteristics of the bone microarchitecture, but they are either invasive and time-consuming (bone histomorphometry) or expensive and involve X-ray radiation (high resolution peripheral computed tomography).

Micro-computed tomography (microCT), on the other hand, is the gold standard for measurement and visualization of bone structure in vitro and in preclinical animal studies. It allows visualization of the three-dimensional (3D) nature of the bone structure and also highly accurate quantification of bone structural parameters. The most important microCT parameters are bone volume fraction (BV/TV), trabecular thickness (Tb. Th), trabecular separation (Tb. Sp), trabecular number (Tb. N), connectivity (Conn.) and connectivity density (Conn. D) for cancellous bone, and cortical bone volume and thickness for cortical bone (32). Conn. is a 3D property that describes the various connections between the so-called nodes (the structural units that represent the confluence of three or more trabeculae) and the connecting segments. Conn. is an index developed to characterize the redundancy of trabecular connections, and it is derived from the Euler number (33), which is a fundamental topologic measure counting the number of objects, the number of marrow cavities fully surrounded by bone, and the number of connections that must be broken to split the structure in two parts. Because it depends on structure size, it can also be expressed as density (Conn. D), when divided by the total volume (34,35). MicroCT has a limited role for in vivo studies due to the small field of view and high dose of radiation (36).

The goal of our study was to assess the diagnostic potential of VA in osteoporosis and for this purpose, we have evaluated bone tissue ex vivo using microCT as the reference standard.

Methods

This study was conducted using mice femurs obtained from a previous experimental study conducted in our laboratory (37) and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Ribeirão Preto Medical School, University of São Paulo (protocol no. 111/2011). New committee approval was waived because no new experiment with animals was necessary.
Study design and animals

The study design included femurs from three groups of mice: (I) a control group (CG), with six specimens, (II) a group with induced osteoporosis (OG), with six specimens submitted to intraperitoneal injections of carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄-Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, Missouri, United States) and (III) a group with induced osteoporosis treated with pamidronate (TOG), with five specimens submitted to intraperitoneal injections of CCl₄ followed by treatment with pamidronate (Eurofarma, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil), an antiresorptive drug.

Five-week-old male mice weighing approximately 18 g were used in our experiments. The animals were housed in cages in a room with controlled humidity and temperature (23±1 °C) conditions and with an artificial light/dark cycle of 12 hours (lights on: 06:00 am–06:00 pm). The animals had free access to tap water and pellet chow. To induce hepatic osteodystrophy (HO), which was our model of induced osteoporosis, the mice were treated with CCl₄ (1 mL/kg body weight) dissolved in olive oil 1:4 (v:v) administered via intraperitoneal injection twice per week, as adapted from previous studies in this line of investigation (38,39). At the end of the 8-week period of CCl₄ injections, a 2-week interval was allowed for the consolidation of hepatic disease. Mice in the TOG group were then treated with an intraperitoneal injection pamidronate dissolved in 0.9% NaCl at 1.25 mg/kg at the end of the fourth week. Mortality rate was 25% in the groups of mice receiving the intraperitoneal injection of CCl₄, and this rate was not influenced by the additional administration of pamidronate. At the end of the tenth week, the mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, following the guidelines of the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Experiments and VA

The experiments with VA were conducted in an acoustic tank with degassed water under controlled temperature (23 °C) (Figure 1). In the VA technique, performed in the tone burst mode, a confocal ultrasonic transducer (two piezoelectric elements; focus of 5 cm; lateral resolution of 0.7 mm and focal zone of 1 cm) generates two high frequency (3.200 and 3.265 MHz) focused beams, with a frequency difference of 65 kHz between them (beating frequency). The transducer crystals were excited by function generators (Model 33220A, Keysight, Santa Rosa, California, United States). The two beams interact with each other at focus on the bone sample, producing a low frequency AR that is registered by a hydrophone (Model ITC-6050C, International Transducer Corporation, Santa Barbara, California, United States) (Figure 2). The AR signals were windowed and processed with the fast Fourier
transform (Figure 3). In order to obtain numerical values carrying information about the mechanical properties of the samples, the area under the curve (AUC) was estimated in an interval centered at 65 kHz with a width of 5 kHz (VA-AUC). The experiments were repeated three times in order to test reproducibility.

**MicroCT**

Mice femurs were scanned using a microCT instrument (Model 1172, SkyScan, Kontich, Antwerp, Belgium). The morphometric parameters selected for the analysis of bone microarchitecture are described in Table 1. All the parameters were named according to the Parfitt’s system (40).

These parameters were determined in the distal portion of the femurs, starting 0.25 mm proximal to the distal growth plate and covering a total length of 1 mm. Cortical bone was manually excluded, separating only the trabecular bone, since osteoporosis affects primarily this region of the bone in the early stages of the disease (41). The bones were scanned with an energy level of 55 kVp, tube current of 145 mA and a voxel of 5.0 μm$^3$ (32,36). The equipment underwent a weekly programmed calibration, with phantoms presenting with densities of 0.25 and 0.75 mg/cm$^3$. CT-analyzer software (version 1.13.2.1) was used for the quantitative assessment and CTVol (version 2.2.3.0) and Dataview (version 1.4.4.0) software were used to reconstruct images of the bones. The results are expressed according to the standard nomenclature (36).

**Statistical analysis**

The results obtained for the three groups were compared using ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test. The reproducibility of the experiments was assessed using the interclass correlation coefficient (ICC), and Spearman’s coefficient was used to determine the correlation between two parameters. The statistical analysis was performed using SAS (version 9.2; SAS, Cary, North Carolina; United States) and R (version 3.4.1; The R Project for Statistical Computing; General Public License) software. A P value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**VA spectral analysis and group differentiation**

The VA spectral analysis of the mean of each group is shown in Figure 3, while numerical values of VA-AUC, are
shown in Table 2. A box plot of the experimental results is shown in Figure 4. ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test demonstrated that VA was able to differentiate between the three experimental groups (P<0.01), and the results were reproducible [ICC: 0.43 (95% CI: 0.15–0.71)].

MicroCT

MicroCT assessment of the three experimental groups are depicted in Table 3, and 3D render volumetric reconstructions of the trabecular anatomy, associated with corresponding axial slice of a specimen of each experimental group are shown in Figure 5.

Correlation between VA measurements and microCT parameters

The VA/microCT correlations are listed in Table 4. There was a strong correlation between VA and microCT Conn. (r=0.80; P<0.01) and Conn. D (r=0.76; P<0.01), as shown in Figure 6.

Discussion

Our results show that VA differentiated the OG from the CG and also the TOG from OG with statistical significance (P<0.01). The TOG and the CG showed a similar mechanical behavior, without statistical difference, which is in agreement with microCT parameters. The VA results were reproducible and moreover, there was a strong correlation between VA-AUC and the microarchitectural parameters measured by microCT (42), such as Conn. (r=0.80; P<0.01) and Conn. D (r=0.76; P<0.01).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>CG Mean</th>
<th>St. dev.</th>
<th>OG Mean</th>
<th>St. dev.</th>
<th>TOG Mean</th>
<th>St. dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BV/TV (%)</td>
<td>11.961</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>10.398</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>12.886</td>
<td>4.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb. Th (mm)</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb. N (1/mm)</td>
<td>2.287</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.679</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.532</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb. Sp (mm)</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conn.</td>
<td>598.00</td>
<td>175.11</td>
<td>358.00</td>
<td>136.54</td>
<td>3,086.83</td>
<td>1,109.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conn. D (1/mm³)</td>
<td>264.84</td>
<td>79.13</td>
<td>172.66</td>
<td>51.50</td>
<td>1,452.19</td>
<td>504.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MicroCT, micro-computed tomography; CG, control group; OG, osteoporosis group; TOG, treated osteoporosis group; BV/TV, bone volume fraction; Tb. Th, trabecular thickness; Tb. N, trabecular number; Tb. Sp, trabecular separation; Conn., connectivity; Conn. D, connectivity density.

**Table 4** Correlations between VA-AUC and microCT parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Spearman's coefficient (r)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BV/TV (%)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb. Th (mm)</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb. N (1/mm)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb. Sp (mm)</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conn.</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conn. D</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VA-AUC, vibro-acoustography area under the curve estimation; microCT, micro-computed tomography; BV/TV, bone volume fraction; Tb. Th, trabecular thickness; Tb. N, trabecular number; Tb. Sp, trabecular separation; Conn., connectivity; Conn. D, connectivity density.
The acquisition time for the quantitative measurements was approximately 5 minutes per specimen, but this could be shortened with the implementation of VA in clinical systems (43) and by using a multielement transducer (44). The most important potential benefit of using VA for the diagnosis of osteoporosis in vivo would be to prevent patient exposure to ionizing radiation, which is inherent to X-ray techniques such as DXA. As previously demonstrated by our group (45), VA can also be used to produce images of bone tissue. As an addendum to this study, we have imaged one of the femurs in the CG, and this image is shown in Figure 7, along with the corresponding specimen. The mean acquisition time for these images was approximately 1 hour, using the same experimental setup. Taken together, these results show the potential use of VA not only as a diagnostic tool for osteoporosis but also as a test to monitor post-treatment improvement.

HO was our experimental model for studying osteoporosis. HO is an osteometabolic disease that presents with low BMD and changes in bone microarchitecture, and it constitutes a reasonable experimental model for evaluating osteoporosis (46). In this model, hepatic impairment leads to metabolic disturbances that causes loss of bone mass (37,38,46,47), however the pathogenesis is not fully
understood (48). Some studies have shown that decreased bone formation is the predominant mechanism (47), while others point to increased bone resorption as the main cause of bone loss (49). Bilateral oophorectomy, spinal cord lesion, immobilization and dietary manipulation are the most common methods used to induce experimental osteoporosis (50-56). While we did not use the most common models of experimental osteoporosis described in the literature, our trabecular bone loss was equivalent to these classical models, as depicted by our microCT results, that showed severe bone loss in the OG.

Quantitative ultrasonometry (QUS) is another ultrasound technique used to evaluate bone tissue, based on velocity and amplitude attenuation of ultrasound waves (57-59). QUS is not used to obtain images, but to measure and assess tissue properties (60). This modality may be used to evaluate osteoporosis (61,62) and fracture healing (63-65). QUS is a low-cost technique that has been applied at different anatomical sites, but according to the International Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD) official guideline (66) the only validated skeletal site is the calcaneus.

The VA technique has already been used to evaluate bone tissue (45,67-69), however most of these studies focused on qualitative aspects of bone tissue. In contrast, our study is the first successful attempt to show quantitative correlations between VA and microCT parameters, which is the gold standard for assessment and quantification of bone structure in experimental studies. Recently, Ghavami et al. (70) used VA to discriminate normal and osteoporotic bone in vivo in humans, illustrating the potential of this technique to reach clinical practice and to become an alternative diagnostic test to DXA, in the context of osteoporosis.

Our study has some noteworthy limitations. Firstly, we have tested a relatively limited number of specimens. In addition, the current setup is not suitable for clinical use. Furthermore, to the present moment, there is not a complete physical understanding of the VA interaction with bone tissue, and therefore, there is no certainty about what is really being measured, though it is probably related to the internal structure of the bone. Our group is working in physical models to better understand these phenomena. Nevertheless, our preliminary results encourage further research and development to investigate the possible use of VA in vivo for the diagnosis of osteoporosis.

Our results show that VA can detect bone impairment in mice femurs with induced osteoporosis and might be useful to monitor post-treatment improvement of bone tissue. A positive correlation was observed between VA and microCT assessments. These results encourage further studies aimed at evaluating the potential use of VA for the diagnosis of osteoporosis as a relatively low-cost and radiation-free alternative to DXA.
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