Background

Iron deposition in the heart due to repeated blood transfusion can cause a progressive cardiomyopathy, resulting in cardiovascular complications which remain the cause of the majority of deaths in patients with thalassemia major (TM) (1-3). The clinical manifestations of myocardial siderosis often occur late and, once heart failure develops, the outcome is usually poor despite intensive chelation (4). This iron-induced cardiomyopathy, however, can be reversed if intensive chelation is instituted at an early stage. Myocardial iron measurement can therefore play an important role in assessing the prevalence of myocardial siderosis (5), predicting the risk of cardiac complications (6), and the tailoring of cardiac optimized iron-chelating treatment (7-9).

Although serum ferritin is clinically used to estimate body iron stores, it reflects approximately 1% of the total iron storage pool and its measurement can be confounded by a number of conditions such as inflammation, abnormal liver function, and ascorbate deficiency (10). In contrast to serum ferritin, liver iron can serve as a better indicator of whole body iron; however, liver iron does not reflect heart iron. Significant cardiac iron overload and toxicity can occur despite low liver iron concentrations (11). The measurement of cardiac iron posed a great challenge to the society. Not only is endomyocardial biopsy highly risky, but the measurement taken is also potentially inaccurate due to the small size of the sample obtained and heterogeneous deposition of cardiac iron. The introduction of cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) provided a reliable measure of tissue iron and revolutionized our understanding and management of iron induced cardiomyopathy.

Development of CMR T2* for tissue iron assessment

Tissue iron can be detected indirectly by measuring the relaxation times of hydrogen nuclei affected by ferritin and hemosiderin iron. The presence of this iron results in the shortening of proton relaxation times, particularly T2, an effect termed susceptibility-induced relaxation (12).
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Early CMR techniques employing T2 measurements by the spin-echo (SE) sequence (or its variant) were useful in quantifying liver iron as compared with liver biopsy (13,14), but unsatisfactory for measurement of iron in the heart due to hardware constraints, flow, motion and noise (15,16).

Knowing the limitations of the myocardial T2 measurement sequence for myocardial iron assessment, Anderson et al. investigated an alternative T2* technique using a gradient-echo sequence with multiple breath-hold for the same purpose (17). This has been demonstrated to be reliable for detecting and evaluating the extent of cardiac iron deposition early. Clinically important iron loading is defined by T2* values of less than 20 ms (17), and severe cardiac iron loading is considered present if cardiac T2* is less than 10 ms (6). A single breath-hold multi-echo technique was subsequently developed, which has the combined advantages of sensitivity, ease of registration, and improved rapidity (18).

Table 1 shows typical imaging parameters for the breath-hold T2* using 1.5T scanner. It should be noted that these parameters (particularly TE and TR) may vary from scanner to scanner, but if kept similar to those described in Table 1, the small variations are not expected to affect the T2* measurement in any significant way.

Despite the success of the breath-hold T2* technique, myocardial T2* measurements are subject to artifacts generated from myocardial motion, and those from blood such as ghosting artifacts and partial volume effects (18). Images acquired at late diastole in bright-blood imaging appear more suitable for T2* measurement as there are few motion artifacts in this cardiac phase, however, the blood signal may still spoil the myocardium and blur its borders. To address these issues, a black-blood sequence using a double inversion recovery (DIR) pulse (19) to null the signal from blood and with acquisition of the multiecho T2* images in late diastole, when cardiac motion is negligible, was developed (20). Compared with bright-blood images, the black-blood images have superior contrast and improve myocardial border definition. The black-blood technique produced less bias and reduced interobserver variability. These initial findings were subsequently confirmed on a large patient population (21).

**T2* measurement techniques**

This T2* technique was originally developed with the aim of minimizing imaging artifacts, e.g., flow compensation was used and the respiration motioned was suppressed by breath-holding. For the measurement of myocardial T2* in vivo, a mid-ventricular short axis slice is acquired and a homogeneous region of interest (ROI) is defined encompassing both epicardial and endocardial regions as iron is preferentially laid down in the epicardium compared with the endocardium. The analysis is restricted to the septum to avoid susceptibility artifacts which arise from the anterior and posterior cardiac vessels veins and the lung (Figure 1). In addition, T2* in the septum has proven to be a good indicator of the global iron in the heart (22). Aiming at addressing heterogeneity in iron distribution in the myocardium, a multi-slice T2* acquisition has also been proposed, but it is time-consuming and the analysis is confounded by inclusion of susceptibility artifacts. To date, no significant clinical advantage has been demonstrated using the multi-slice technique. The single-slice T2* technique remains the preferred protocol in the practice (23).

The signal intensity of the ROI is measured for each of the T2* images, and the data is plotted against the echo time to form an exponential decay curve. Initially, the decay rate T2* was derived by fitting a mono-exponential trend line to the exponential decay curve (17); subsequent studies suggested that a more complex nonlinear algorithm should be used for improved curve fitting and more accurate T2* measurement (24,25). In the presence of severe myocardial iron overload, a rapid decay in myocardial signal intensity can lead to a plateau in the later echo time images. In this scenario, the truncation model (24-26) can provide more accurate and reproducible...
measurement than the alternative offset model (27). This particular problem is less pronounced in T2* measurement using the black-blood technique as the main source of error is largely removed (Figure 2).

Reproducibility and transferability of CMR T2*

In order for the T2* sequence to be suitable for the clinical assessment of tissue iron, good reproducibility of the technique is necessary and both methods have demonstrated good interstudy reproducibility where T2* has been measured on two separate occasions (17,18). In addition, for maximal healthcare impact, transferability between scanners of different manufacture and between site

Figure 1 A typical image shows the full-thickness ROI in the interventricular septum for T2* measurement. ROI, region of interest.

Figure 2 A typical case showing T2* measurement from the bright-blood and black-blood techniques. The left panel shows exemplar bright-blood and black-blood images from the same patient. The right panel shows the exponential decay curves and the T2* values derived from them. The Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm for nonlinear curve fitting is used (24). By fitting only the first six data points (the truncation model) the bright-blood technique produces a T2* value close to that obtained using the black-blood technique. Statistically, there is no difference between T2* values derived from the bright-blood and black-blood images (20,21).
must be established. It was initially demonstrated that the multiple breath-hold technique was transferable between two scanners in the same site (28) with good reproducibility. Supported by Thalassemia International Federation (TIF), the initial finding was further confirmed through a multicenter study, in which patient scans were performed locally in six different countries and subsequently rescanned in the standardized center in London within one month (29). The single breath-hold T2* technique was also validated but the initial attempt was limited with only three scanners in Italy and UK and on a small patient population (30). With support and funding from National Institutes of Health (NIH), a study assessing the reproducibility and transferability of the breath-hold T2* technique was conducted in five international centers using standardized acquisition and analysis techniques (31).

**Current status and future directions**

Unlike T2*, both T2 and T1 are not affected by extrinsic magnetic field inhomogeneity. There have been attempts to develop T2 and T1 techniques and the interest in a comparison of these relaxation parameters to identify if additional useful information can be gleaned. The attempt for a single breath-hold T2 technique for tissue iron assessment succeeded with technical advances (32). The investigation of the relationship between myocardial T2 and T2* measurements in a substantial patient population was conducted (33). The pilot data demonstrated that iron deposition is the dominant factor in determining T2* and T2 relaxation of the myocardium, and that both T2* and T2 can provide noninvasive and reproducible measures for myocardial iron assessment in transfusion dependent patients. With the recent development of the modified Look-Locker Inversion recovery (MOLLI) sequence (34), T1 changes in response to myocardial iron deposition was investigated and the study further demonstrated that there is a linear correlation between T1 and T2 in the human heart, and that T1 can also be used to assess myocardial iron (35). The exact manner in which tissue iron affects CMR relaxometry remains unclear. A more comprehensive and detailed study investigating the relationship between iron deposition and T1, T2, and T2* may shed light on this fundamental issue. From a clinical perspective, it would be useful if CMR relaxometry could be used to distinguish between different forms of storage iron. A novel method has been developed to separate the two principal forms of tissue storage iron: ferritin and hemosiderin (36); further studies are needed to demonstrate its clinical benefit. Studies integrating multiple CMR relaxation parameters can offer potential to improve our undemanding in this regard.

T2* value is dependent on field strength. To date, nearly all validation and calibration work relating to T2* and iron assessment has been conducted at 1.5T. With the growing popularity of 3T magnets, a calibration of T2* between 3T and 1.5T is needed in order to establish the ranges for normal, mild, and severe iron overload to help diagnosis, treatment and patient follow-up.

Tissue iron overload is a global disease, and it is important to expand patient access to cardiac iron assessment. Ideally therefore any such measurement needs to be simple, robust and preferably automated to ensure accurate and reproducible measurements. Currently, T2* analysis is based on manual delineation of the septum, which is time-consuming and introduces human error. A fully automated T2* analysis software integrated with a standardized acquisition protocol is therefore crucial to improve global healthcare.

**Conclusions**

After a decade of efforts, CMR T2* is currently recognized as the method of choice for the assessment of tissue iron. This T2* technique has been validated in more than 100 international centers by different research groups, and presents itself as one of the most successful examples demonstrating the ability of imaging to alter patient outcome. With the introduction of T2*, advancement of new chelation drugs, and personalized patient management, the mortality rate attributed to tissue iron overload has been decreasing significantly worldwide. Further development is required to improve patient access to reliable T2* measurement.

**Disclosure**: The author declares no conflict of interest.

**References**


Cite this article as: He T. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance T2* for tissue iron assessment in the heart. Quant Imaging Med Surg 2014;4(5):407-412. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2223-4292.2014.10.05