Executive function and its relation to anatomical connectome in homosexual and heterosexual men
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Background: Sexual orientation has been suggested to affect executive function, of which the neurobiological basis is still largely unknown. In this study, we explored the interrelationship between neuropsychological characteristics in homosexual and heterosexual men and their anatomical connectome by graph theoretical analysis.

Methods: Fifty-three homosexual and 47 heterosexual males underwent diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and neuropsychological assessments. Whole-brain anatomical networks were constructed using white matter tractography, performed on the diffusion tensor imaging data. Neuropsychological tests included the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST), the Continuous Performance Test (CPT) and the Trail-Making Test (TMT).

Results: The cognitive performance of homosexual men was significantly poorer than their heterosexual counterparts in terms of WCST total correct responses. Anatomical connectome analysis revealed a lower (P=0.001) anatomical connectivity between left PoCG and left SMG (P=0.003) in homosexual men as compared to heterosexual men. Linear regression analyses showed that the WCST total correct responses score was significantly linked with sexual orientation (P=0.001). The anatomical connectivity strength between left PoCG and left SMG was also shown to be significantly correlated with sexual orientation (P=0.039) and education (P=0.047).

Conclusions: Our study demonstrated the differences in the performance of WCST and anatomical connectome of large-scale brain networks between homosexual and heterosexual men, extending our understanding of the brain’s circuitry and the characteristics of executive function in men of different sexual orientation.
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Introduction

Executive function is one of the most advanced cognitive functions in humans, involved in handling novel situations outside the domain of some automatic psychological processes that are not explained by the reproduction of learned schemas or set behaviors (1). Smith and Jonides (2) suggested that executive function is comprised of five executive components: attention and inhibition, coding, monitoring, planning, task management. People with normal executive functions can distinguish essential information, implement plans, reason, and solve problems when they deal with novelty. Various factors, such as gender, age and mental disorders, have also been reported as influencing factors of executive function. Additional evidence also suggested that sexual orientation may be related to differences in executive function.

Furthermore, neurocognitive studies have reported the association of specific cognitive profiles with variations in sexual orientation. Earlier studies have shown that heterosexual men, on average, have better visuospatial abilities than homosexual men, whereas profiles for heterosexual women did not differ significantly from homosexual men (3-5). Heterosexual men tended to display superior performance on mental rotation tasks compared to homosexual men. These tasks were typically performed better by heterosexual males compared to heterosexual women (3). Homosexual men, in contrast, had better memory for spatial location and landmarks during navigation, along with superior phonological and semantic fluency, which heterosexual women were known to perform better in, compared to heterosexual men (5,6). However, other studies indicated that no specific cognitive ability differed between heterosexual and homosexual individuals (7). Therefore, the impact of sexual orientation on cognitive abilities is still poorly defined.

The biological basis of human sexual orientation has been hypothesized over the past several decades to include genetic factors (8-10), prenatal androgens (11), maternal immunity (12-14), and neurophysiological factors (14) that determine same-sex attractions over attractions of the opposite sex. Additionally, executive functional differences may be linked to cerebral structural and functional differences associated with sexual orientation. In recent years, increasing neuroimaging studies have emerged to reveal the neural correlates of homosexuality. Functional brain differences have also been reported in homosexual individuals. They include altered functional connectivity of the amygdala in positron emission tomography (PET) scans of cerebral blood flow, more widespread connections from the left amygdala to the right amygdala and the anterior cingulate cortex (15), differences in hypothalamic PET activity in response to antidepressant treatment (fluoxetine) (16), and an altered pattern of brain activity during visually evoked sexual arousal (17-20). These findings, coupled with the anatomical findings aforementioned, may provide substantial evidence for differing anatomical and functional brain phenotypes associated with distinct sexual orientations. However, exploratory investigations into neuroanatomical characteristics with diffusion tensor imaging in homosexual men, to date, has been rarely documented.

Overall, the neurons of the brain constitute a formidable complicated circuitry that supports the segregation and integration of information processing (21). In recent years, the development of graph theory has facilitated a quantitative characterization of various aspects of the brain’s topological organization of connectivity (22), including modularity (23), hierarchy, centrality, and the distribution of network hubs (22). This study explored the neuropsychological characteristics of homosexual and heterosexual males. Diffusion tensor imaging data were subsequently collected, and the correlation between brain anatomical connectome and cognitive measures were obtained, based on graph theoretical analysis.

Methods

Participants

A group composed of 53 self-reported homosexual men (age 23.96±4.28 years, range from 18 to 35 years) was recruited from a local underground club of homosexuality in Hangzhou, China. Forty-seven healthy heterosexual age- and education level-matched controls (age 24.34±2.88 years, range from 20 to 34 years) were recruited from local communities.

The inclusion criteria included: (I) physical health with no earlier history of physical disease; (II) male adults aged between 18 to 35 years; (III) right-handedness identified by the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory (EHI). The exclusion criteria included: (I) history of psychiatric or neurological disorders, such as depression, bipolar disorder, head trauma, and epilepsy; (II) history of long-term medications or psychoactive substance abuse, including alcohol use and smoking; (III) history of sexual dysfunction, gender identity disorder, or paraphilia which were excluded by the Utrecht Gender Dysphoria Scale (UGS) and the Multiphasic Sex
Inventory (MSI). The sexual preference of each participant was assessed by the Kinsey Heterosexual-Homosexual Rating Scale, which provides an overall index of sexual orientation ranging from 0 (exclusively heterosexual) to 6 (exclusively homosexual). Subjects whose scores ranged from 4 to 6 were recruited as the homosexual group. Participants who scored 0 were assigned as heterosexual (Table 1). All participants were HIV-negative.

The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013) and approved by the ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine. All participants provided their written informed consent for study participation after the risks and benefits were discussed in full.

**Neuropsychological assessments**

The Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST), Continuous Performance Test (CPT), and the Trail-Making Test (TMT) were used to evaluate executive functioning in all participants.

The modified WCST (24) is a widely used psychological assessment instrument for evaluating higher-order cognitive functions related to the frontal lobes (25). Participants were required to assign 48 cards based on color, number, and shape. After six consecutive correct responses, participants were asked to change the sorting principle to another category. The test was finished when participants completed all six categories correctly or assigned all 48 cards. The total trial, correct trials, total number of errors, perseverative errors, random errors, and categories were recorded.

The Conners’ CPT measures sustained and selective attention (26). Scores reflect the total number of stimuli, the number of correct targets, omission errors (the number of targets to which the person did not respond), and commission errors (the number of times the person responded to a non-target).

The TMT is a test of visual attention and task switching (27). It consists of two parts where participants are instructed to rapidly and accurately connect a set of 25 dots. In part A, participants were required to quickly connect consecutively numbered circles with lines. In part B, they were asked to alternately combine numbers with assorted colors in ascending order. The time to task completion was measured in seconds.

**Data acquisition**

**MRI data**

All participants underwent structural and diffusion tensor imaging scanning using a 3.0-Tesla General Electric (GE) Sigma Scanner (Hangzhou, China), with a standard GE quadrature head coil. Participants wore earplugs, and padding (foam cushions) under the head was used to restrict head movement. High-resolution axial T1- and T2-weighted images were obtained from every participant for the detection of silent lesions. Diffusion tensor images covering the whole brain were obtained using a spin-echo echo planar imaging sequence, including 26 volumes with diffusion gradients applied along 25 non-collinear directions.

**Table 1** Demographic data and sexual preference characteristics of 53 homosexual and 47 heterosexual participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Homosexual men</th>
<th>Heterosexual men</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>23.96 (4.28)</td>
<td>24.34 (2.88)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>15.04 (2.31)</td>
<td>15.21 (2.29)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinsey Scale, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 0</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>47 (100.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 1</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 2</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 3</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 4</td>
<td>8 (15.09)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 5</td>
<td>12 (22.64)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Score 6</td>
<td>33 (62.26)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD, standard deviation.
Brain network construction

Data preprocessing
Diffusion-weighted images (DWIs) were preprocessed and analyzed using the Pipeline for Analyzing Brain Diffusion Images toolkit (PANDA; http://www.nitrc.org/projects/panda) (28), which synthesizes procedures in FSL (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) and the Diffusion Toolkit (DTK, http://www.trackvis.org/dtk/). Head motion and eddy-current-induced distortion were corrected via the eddy function. The diffusion tensor was subsequently estimated by solving the Stejskal and Tanner equation (29), and the fractional anisotropy (FA) value of each voxel was also calculated using the reconstructed tensor matrix. Finally, whole-brain white matter fiber tracking was performed in the native diffusion space for each participant using the “fiber assignment from diffusion images” algorithm (30). Path tracking proceeded until either the FA was less than 0.15, or the angle between the current and the previous path segment was greater than 35° (31). Fibers of less than 10 mm or displaying obvious false paths were discarded. As a result, all the fiber pathways in the brain were constructed using the deterministic tractography method.

Anatomical parcellation
We defined 90 regions of interest (ROIs) according to the Anatomical Automatic Labeling (AAL; http://www.gin.cnrs.fr/AAL) template. The ROIs were transformed into each participant’s native diffusion space. Specifically, individual 3D T1 images were coregistered to B0 images and the Montreal Neurologic Institute (MNI) space by unified segmentation in SPM8. These transformation parameters were inverted and applied to the AAL template (31). These ROIs were used as seed and target for fiber tracking.

Connectivity matrix and graph-theoretic measures
The anatomical connectivity between ROIs i and j was defined as the mean FA of fibers connecting i and j. For each participant, the anatomical connectivity matrix “M” had 90×90 entries, with Aij corresponding to the weighted connectivity between ROI i and j, also referred to as the link between nodes i and j.

Graph theory enables the quantification of network topological properties. Graph measures for each connectivity matrix were calculated using the Brain Connectivity Toolbox (https://sites.google.com/a/brain-connectivity-toolbox.net/bct/). Small-worldness is an optimal architecture balancing the segregation and integration of information, with similar path length ($\lambda = L^w_{net} / L^w_{random} \approx 1$) but higher clustering ($\gamma = C^w_{net} / C^w_{random} > 1$) than a random network (32). We evaluated the typical properties of a small-worldness ($\sigma = \gamma / \lambda$), which is usually a value larger than one. Among several nodal measures in graph theory, we selected connectivity strength to estimate the topological feature of each node due to its high test-retest reliability (33) and clear neurophysiological relevance (34). Nodal strength ($S_i$) was computed as the sum of the weights of all connections of node i, that is as $S_i = \sum_{j \in N} w_{ij}$ . This value quantifies the extent to which a node is relevant to the graph.

Statistical analysis
Sparsity was usually defined as the fraction of the total number of edges remaining in a network. For global and nodal topological characteristics statistics, a single sparsity threshold for connectivity network was preferred by some research groups (35), while a range of sparsity thresholds was used by other investigators (31,36). The latter strategy was adopted in this study for consistency across our previous studies (36) (0.10≤ sparsity ≤0.18, step =0.01). The minimum sparsity was set so that the average node strength of the threshold network was $2^*\log(N)$, where N is the number of nodes, and its maximum was set so that the small-worldness of the threshold network was >1.1. Further, the area-under-curve was calculated (37) for the global and nodal network metrics, providing an overall estimate for the topological characterization of brain networks, independent of cost threshold. The AUC (i.e., global and nodal properties) and anatomical connectivity (i.e., FA) difference between groups were tested using a two-sample t-test with a false-positive adjustment (34). Pearson’s correlation was used to
analyze the relationship between the topological features (i.e., nodal strength, anatomical connectivity strength) and neuropsychological assessments (i.e., WSCT and CPT scores) in homosexual men. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was applied to assess the distribution of all neuropsychological test data. The two-sample t-test was used if variables were acceptable with a normal distribution. Alternatively, the Mann-Whitney U test was used if the normal distribution was not achieved. Linear regression was used to estimate the association between neuropsychological assessments, topological features, and sexual orientation, and other clinical characteristics (age and education) separately. The Bonferroni correction was applied to correct for multiple comparisons. A two-sided P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 software.

**Results**

No significant differences were noted between homosexual and heterosexual participants in mean age and education level (P>0.05). Additionally, 8, 12, and 33 participants from the homosexual group, respectively, scored 4, 5, and 6 on the Kinsey Scale.

**Network global features**

A comparison in the anatomical network properties between homosexual and heterosexual men was performed using a data-specific small-world regime within a specified sparsity range (0.10 ≤ sparsity ≤ 0.18). Both groups exhibited higher clustering coefficients (i.e., γ >1) but almost identical characteristic path length (i.e., λ ≈1), compared with comparable random networks, which are typical features of small-worldness (Figure 1). No significant difference between groups was observed for global features.

**Network nodal and connectivity features**

Anatomical connectivity between the left postcentral gyrus (PoCG) and left supramarginal gyrus (SMG) was lower in homosexual men compared to heterosexual men (t=−3.12,
uncorrected \( P = 0.003 \); can survive false-positive adjustment) (Figure 2). There was no significant difference noted on any nodal strength between groups.

**Neurocognitive features**

Analysis of data obtained from WCST total correct responses revealed significantly poorer performance by homosexual men compared to heterosexual men \( (Z = -3.36, \text{ uncorrected } P = 0.001, \text{ can survive Bonferroni-corrected}) \). However, both groups did not differ significantly on any of the CPT or TMT performance (Table 2).

**Correlation of network characteristics and neurocognitive measures in the homosexual men**

We found no significant correlation between nodal strength or anatomical connectivity and any of the neurocognitive measures.

Linear regression analysis indicated a highly significant and negative correlation in WCST total correct responses score and sexual orientation \( (t = -3.554, P = 0.001, \text{ adjusted } R^2 = 0.127) \). The anatomical connectivity strength between left PoCG and left SMG was significant and positively related to sexual orientation \( (t = -2.096, P = 0.039, \text{ adjusted } R^2 = 0.072) \). However, this connection was also shown to be negatively related to education \( (t = -2.23, P = 0.047, \text{ adjusted } R^2 = 0.072) \) (Table 3).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to associate measures of cognitive performance in homosexual men with graph theoretical-based measures of their anatomical connectome. Anatomical connectome analysis indicated that homosexual men shared similar global architecture with heterosexual men, suggesting that overall brain structure may not be powerfully influenced by sexual orientation. Additionally, sexual orientation and experiences did not reshape the overall brain organization concerning topological efficiency and robustness. However, homosexual men displayed reduced connectivity between left PoCG and left SMG. Further linear regression analysis suggested that education was also a significant contributor to the connectivity strength between these two regions of the brain. While homosexual men also performed less well on the WCST. However, these cognitive measures in the homosexual men did not correlate significantly with their measures of connectivity.

Human cognitive functioning is generated by integrating information processes in functionally specialized areas. Graph theory posits that the brain is divided into several functionally independent nodes, and previous neuroimaging studies in healthy participants have shown that the connectivity among these nodes exhibits a small-world property. This global feature is vital for supporting cognition and behavior and may alter in different biological conditions. In this study, the cognitive profiles associated with sexual orientation were similar to those reported in prior studies (3-5). The WCST is a neuropsychological test of “set-shifting”, or flexibility in the face of changing schedules of reinforcement (38,39). Performance on executive function tests such as WCST requires inhibiting responses to unnecessary information (40). Our findings revealed that homosexual men performed less well on the WCST compared to heterosexual men. This test is also
considered a measure of executive functioning primarily due to its reported sensitivity to frontal lobe dysfunction (41,42). However, it has been suggested that executive functions are regulated by the prefrontal regions of the frontal lobes (43,44), but both frontal and non-frontal brain regions, including the SMG and PoCG, are necessary for intact executive functions (45).

The SMG is a vital part of the ventral parietal cortex (VPC) that supports attentional processes (46). Many functional MRI studies have shown that the VPC is involved in attention reorienting to sensory stimuli. The SMG, located in the tempo-parietal junction (TPJ), is strongly connected with the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (VLPFC) and occipito-temporal regions (47), consistent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neuropsychological assessments</th>
<th>Homosexual men</th>
<th>Heterosexual men</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WCST total errors, mean ± SD</td>
<td>15.71±8.65</td>
<td>11.53±5.74</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.010&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCST perseverative errors, mean ± SD</td>
<td>10.20±7.09</td>
<td>7.45±4.16</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.029&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCST random errors, mean ± SD</td>
<td>5.59±3.12</td>
<td>4.38±2.41</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.051&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMT-part A, mean ± SD</td>
<td>44.25±15.83</td>
<td>48.15±30.85</td>
<td>−0.68</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.498&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMT-part B, mean ± SD</td>
<td>79.86±21.51</td>
<td>81.55±51.56</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.085&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCST total numbers, median (p25–p75)</td>
<td>48.00 (47.50–48.00)</td>
<td>48.00 (46.25–48.00)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>0.710&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCST total correct responses, median (p25–p75)</td>
<td>33.00 (26.00–36.00)</td>
<td>36.00 (34.00–37.75)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>−3.36</td>
<td>0.001&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCST completed categories, median (p25–p75)</td>
<td>4.50 (2.25–6.00)</td>
<td>5.00 (6.00–6.00)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>−2.28</td>
<td>0.023&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT correct numbers in the first condition, median (p25–p75)</td>
<td>11.00 (11.00–12.00)</td>
<td>11.00 (11.00–12.00)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>−1.09</td>
<td>0.274&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT correct numbers in the second condition, median (p25–p75)</td>
<td>12.00 (12.00–13.00)</td>
<td>13.00 (12.00–14.00)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>−2.61</td>
<td>0.009&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT correct numbers in the third condition, median (p25–p75)</td>
<td>12.00 (12.00–13.00)</td>
<td>12.00 (12.00–13.00)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>−2.63</td>
<td>0.793&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p25: 25th percentile; p75: 75th percentile. *<sup>a</sup>, two-sample t-test; <sup>b</sup>, Mann-Whitney U test; **, significant for P<0.004, Bonferroni-corrected for multiple comparisons. WCST, Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; TMT, Trail-Making Test; CPT, Continuous Performance Test.

Table 3 Linear regression models for the association between neuropsychological assessments, topological features, and sexual orientation, and other clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Model 1 dependent variable: WCST total correct responses score</th>
<th>Model 2 dependent variable: anatomical connectivity strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unstandardized coefficients</td>
<td>Standardized coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>Std. error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>35.580</td>
<td>4.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual orientation</td>
<td>−4.974</td>
<td>1.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>−0.164</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, years</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, significant for P<0.05. WCST, Wisconsin Card Sorting Test.
with previous findings that the TPJ and VLPFC tend to co-
activate in attention-reorienting studies (48,49). Another
study demonstrated the importance of SMG in the top-
down control of selective attention (50). Transcranial
magnetic stimulation (TMS) of the SMG impairs spatial
attention only, whereas TMS of the anterior intraparietal
sulcus disrupts spatial and feature-based attention (50). These
effects are likely to derive from distinct mechanisms of top-
down control between parietal and occipital regions (51).

The PoCG is also a vital part of the parietal lobe
and is believed to play a significant role in visuomotor
coordination. It has also been linked to a wide variety
of high-level processing tasks (45,52). For example, as the
somatosensory association cortex, it plays a critical role
in visuomotor attention and working memory, as well as
visuospatial processing (52). Furthermore, the role of the
SMG is consistent with the role of the superior longitudinal
fasciculus in executive function, as this fiber tract connects
frontal and parietal regions (53). The pioneering work of
Catani (54) identified intrinsic connections between PoCG
and SMG as the second prominent intraparietal tract.
This is part of the arcuate fasciculus, a lateral associative
bundle composed of long and short fibers connecting the
perisylvian cortex of the frontal, parietal, and temporal
lobes. Altered white matter hyperintensities related
structural connectivity in left PoCG and SMG was found
to be associated with poor attention set-shifting (55).
Interestingly, a study reported that cortical thickness of left
PoCG and SMG were increased after an arts education
program, and the changes of the cortical thickness were
associated with the performance of WCST (56). In line
with the previous findings, our results also indicate that
education significantly contributed to the connectivity
strength between left PoCG and SMG besides sexual
orientation.

The present study has shown that executive function
differed between homosexual and heterosexual men,
suggesting a link with sexual orientation. However, the
overall similarity in global brain architecture was observed
between homosexual and heterosexual men, with only
reduced connectivity between left PoCG and left SMG,
which was also influenced by education. These results
indicate new perspectives in understanding the neural basis
of male sexual orientation.

Some limitations of our study should be noted. Firstly, as
an exploratory study, most of our findings of the anatomical
connectome would not survive strict correction for multiple
comparisons, although most connectomic studies share
this limitation. Further validation in an independent
sample is needed. Secondly, the variation in characteristics
of homosexual participants, including their intelligence
quotient, social extraversion, and differing Kinsey Scale
scores, could influence the interpretation of findings in the
correlation analyses. Lastly, heterosexual women were not
included in this study, precluding the possibility of assessing
whether brain and cognitive features in homosexual men
were similar in pattern to those in heterosexual women.
Above all, we have shown the differences in cognitive
capacities and the anatomical connectome of large-scale
brain networks between homosexual and heterosexual men,
suggesting new perspectives to understanding this biological
basis of male sexual orientation.
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