Image-localized body surface marking for the intraoperative localization of pulmonary ground-glass nodules
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Background: The method of locating pulmonary nodules before operation plays a crucial role in the surgery of pulmonary ground-glass nodules (GGNs). However, the methodologies surrounding intraoperative localization remains limited, with the majority procedures requiring specific additional equipment. We report a new approach in locating pulmonary GGNs by image-localized body surface marking intraoperative (IBMI) localization.

Methods: A retrospective review of the medical records of 76 patients with pulmonary GGNs was performed. All patients underwent IBMI localization between January 2018 and March 2019. Twenty-six patients underwent CT-guided hook wire localization before IBMI localization during surgery. IBMI localization was undertaken directly without pre-treatment in the remaining patients. The efficacy and complications of this approach were analyzed and compared with other pre- or intraoperative localization methods in the current literature.

Results: The intraoperative localizations were performed successfully in 72 of all 76 patients pulmonary GGNs within a mean duration of 5.3±1.8 (range, 2.0 to 9.6) minutes. The GGNs in four cases were found to have a significant deviation (>1.5 cm) from the positioning points. All GGNs were successfully resected. Except for five cases of active chest wall bleeding (6.5%), no other intra- or postoperative complications occurred.

Conclusions: The IBMI localization approach is a safe and short-duration procedure with high success rates and fewer complications. We used it for the first time for intraoperative localization of peripheral GGNs with excellent results.
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Introduction

Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) has been established as a standard procedure for the resection of small pulmonary nodules (1). However, ground-glass nodules (GGNs) are thoracoscopically undetectable and impalpable with VATS, therefore requiring accurate and effective preoperative or intraoperative localization techniques for successful tumor excision. The invasive, preoperative localization methods play a vital role in the treatment of pulmonary GGNs. These widely used methods include hook wire (2), medical adhesive (3), radiotracer-guided localization (4), dye localization (5,6), contrast medium injection (7,8) and micro-coil localization (9). Adverse effects of localization and surgery have been commonly reported, such as discomfort, tension, and complications, including minor pneumothorax (7.5–40%), lung parenchyma hemorrhage (13.9–36%), and subcutaneous
emphysema (5%) (10-13). Furthermore, dislodgements have been reported at a rate of 2.4–6.9% (10-13) in transit to the operating room (14).

Intraoperative localization methods, including ultrasound localization (15), near-infrared imaging (16), and tactile pressure induction localization (17), are performed under general anesthesia. However, these procedures mandate very specific criteria for patient eligibility, are equipment-dependent, and require lengthy positioning durations, limiting their application in surgery. Based on CT-guided hook wire technology, we examined the viability of marking the body surface by CT before performing puncture positioning during surgery. Our study reports on a set of image-localized body surface marking intraoperative (IBMI) localization approach routinely applied in our practice. We detailed this method and investigated the application of IBMI localization during VATS for pulmonary GGNs. Our findings document the benefits of this non-invasive intraoperative localization procedure, supporting the concept of this approach as the method of choice.

Methods

This study was approved and supervised by the Medical Ethics Committee of the First People's Hospital of Huzhou. Every patient signed the informed consent form voluntarily before surgery. We performed IBMI localization in 76 patients with lesions between January 2018 and March 2019. IBMI localization approaches were applied to GGNs, due to their difficulty in detection via physical examination, compared to solid tumors. Seventy-six patients (41 women and 35 men, mean age 63.7±8.6, range, 31 to 78) with 76 GGNs [17 pure GGNs (pGGNs), 59 part-solid GGNs (psGGNs)] were included in the study. CT-guided hook wire procedures were conducted in 26 patients before surgery to ensure that this method was feasible, followed by IBMI localizations during the operation. Another 50 patients underwent an IBMI localization directly. The general clinical data, postoperative pathology, depth and size of pulmonary nodules, distance from the pulmonary nodules to the hook wire, distance from the pulmonary nodules to the coagulation point, duration of localization and resection lesions were recorded.

Inclusion criteria: (I) patients who underwent a CT-guided hook wire localization approach displayed no apparent macrovessels, pulmonary vesicles, or other essential tissue structures in the proposed puncture path. (II) Normal blood coagulation function, and high tolerance to thoracoscopic surgery. (III) The patient was over 18 years of age. (IV) The maximum diameter of pulmonary nodules was ≤30 mm by high-resolution CT (HRCT). (V) Pulmonary nodules are identified as GGNs by HRCT.

Exclusion criteria: (I) patients required direct lobectomy. (II) A GGN diameter of >30 mm by HRCT. (III) The patient could not tolerate surgery or had distant metastasis. (IV) GGN nodules located in the middle zone near the hilum of the lung. (V) Distance from GGN to the pleural surface distance of >3.0 cm.

Computed tomography-guided hook wire location method

The initial chest CT scan was used to determine the optimal puncture site, angle, and depth. The puncture route did not traverse important vessels, including the internal mammary, axillary, subclavian, intercostal vessel, and pulmonary vessels. Bulla and interlobar were also avoided to prevent pneumothorax. After applying local anesthesia (2% lidocaine) to the puncture site, the hook wire guide was inserted into the chest wall with the tip close to the parietal pleura. The guide was oriented almost perpendicular to the pleural surface. After verification of the correct plane and angulation, the guide was extended into the lung parenchyma towards the lesion, without penetration to avoid tumor seeding via a puncture tract. The hook wire was then released via the guide.

IBMI location method

Preoperative procedures: (I) an initial CT scan during the maximum inspiratory phase was obtained. The puncture level was determined by the presence of the pulmonary nodule. The puncture needle was inserted perpendicular to the skin before extending to the pulmonary nodule, ensuring that ribs or important blood vessels were absent from the puncture path. In cases were pulmonary nodules were near exceptional positions, such as the scapula and the female breast, the puncture point, and angle were adjusted if it could not be perpendicular to the skin. Puncture levels were also changed if ribs or major vessels were found to be in the puncture path. (II) The intercostal space of the anterior and posterior median lines was measured and recorded at the level of Pct to determine the puncture site on HRCT (Pct). Pd1 and Pd2 were the intersection points of the layer with the posterior and the anterior midline, respectively. The distances from Pct to Pd1 and Pd2 were measured and marked as D1 and D2, respectively.
Figure 1 D1 is the distance from Pct to Pd1, totaling 303.31 mm; D2 is the distance from Pct to Pd2, totaling 71.55 mm. The distance from Pct to GGN is the maximum puncture depth. The average was calculated from the measurements of three reviewers. GGN, ground-glass nodule.

(Figure 1). The distance from Pct to the pulmonary nodule was measured line by line, as CT does not support the measurement of curve length. For accuracy of the measurement, more line segments were selected to ensure that each line was close to the chest wall. The average of all values was obtained from the measurements by three reviewers. They were part of the study team. (III) The positions of Pd1 and Pd2 were determined by counting the ribs on the body surface. The body surface was marked according to D1 and D2, respectively. The intersection of the two lines was routinely identified as the puncture point (Psf) (Figure 2A). Where two lines did not intersect, the CT measurements and intercostal space counts on the body surface were repeated. (IV) In obese patients and women with a wide range of breast activity, If the anterior midline is used as a reference, when the patient changes from the supine position to the lateral position, the positioning point will change due to the swing of the breast. A line (Lsf) on the body surface was drawn, and the distance from this line to the posterior median line noted as D1 (Figure 2B). The method was due to difficulties associated with calculating the intercostal space on the body surface.

Intraoperative procedures: (I) the patient took a lateral position after the administration of general anesthesia. (II) With lungs deflated, Psf was verified by counting the ribs in the thorax with the aid of a thoracoscope. The puncture system was inserted into the chest wall with the tip extended near the parietal pleura, under direct vision of the thoracoscope (Figure 3). (III) Subsequently, the lung was fully inflated before deployment of the puncture needle (Becton Dickson Infusion Therapy Systems Inc., Sandy, Utah 84070, USA; Figure 4) into the lung parenchyma, approximately 0.3 cm distal to the visceral pleura. Electrocoagulation was performed, marking the visceral pleura accordingly (Figure 3). Pulmonary nodules (Figure 5) were located by observing the electric coagulating point. Resections were performed with a boundary of about 2 cm around the location point. The depths of the resection were mainly based on the depth of the lung nodules.

The entire IBMI location method is divided into two major steps: preoperative procedures and intraoperative procedures (Figure 6). The basis for accurate positioning was as follows: (I) CT scans were performed after full inhalation, with the breath continuously held. The intraoperative puncture was performed when the lung was completely inflated, eliminating the effect of tidal volume on the localization of pulmonary nodules. (II) Lsf and D1 were measured before the operation and marked on the body, without error. The possibility of inaccurate measurements was isolated to the reduced intercostal level. These results were overcome by confirming and correcting its location by thoracoscopy during the operation. Psf could not be determined in obese patients or those with a narrow intercostal space. The only measurements determined in these patients were Psf and D1 before the operation. However, through the intraoperative endoscopic confirmation of the intercostal level, all pulmonary nodules were successfully located. Moreover, all Psfs were located on the Lsf. (III) Thoracic adhesion had little effect on localization as it was initially separated, then located, if found during the operation.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis was conducted with standard statistical software (IBM SPSS Statistics, version 22.0, released 2013; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). The descriptive results are presented as mean ± standard deviation with range for continuous variables unless otherwise indicated. Categorical variables are expressed as percentages or numbers. Comparisons between two groups were made using the t-test or chi-square test.

Results

Patient and GGN characteristics

In this study, 76 patients underwent intraoperative
Figure 2 (A) Preoperative body surface measurement and marking. As a quasi-puncture point, Psf corresponds to the Pct on CT; (B) preoperative body surface measurement and marking for patients where it was difficult to determine the intercostal level accurately. From the preoperative CT, the distance from the posterior midline to the Psf where the nodules are located can be determined (D1). The vertical distance from any point on the Lsf to the posterior midline is D1. Psf should be confirmed with the assistance of intraoperative thoracoscopy. The intersection of this layer and Lsf is the puncture point.

Figure 3 (A,B,C) displays the entire intraoperative puncture process in the thoracic cavity; (D) is the localization process of electrocoagulation in vitro.
Figure 4 The main components of the puncture system: (a) needle with a diameter of 1.2 mm was used for electrocoagulation; (b) was the insulated shell used to prevent electrocoagulation from harming the chest wall.

Figure 5 (a, d and e) are pulmonary nodules; (b, c, and g) are localization points, and (f) is the hook wire.

localization between January 2018 and March 2019. No differences in distances were observed between the GGN and the hook wire or the GGN and electric coagulation burning point (Table 1) across the respective patient groups.

In the IBMI + hook wire group, hook wire dislodgement was observed in one case (3.8%). The results were potentially attributed to an accidental penetration of the wire into the horizontal fissure.
Figure 6 Flow diagram of the IBMI location method. IBMI, image-localized body surface marking intraoperative; GGN, ground-glass nodule; HRCT, high-resolution CT.
Table 1  Distances between GGN and localization point in 26 patients with a hook wire and IBMI localization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Hook wire</th>
<th>IBMI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distances between GGN and localization point</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1.0 cm</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0–1.5 cm</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GGN, ground-glass nodule; IBMI, image-localized body surface marking intraoperative.

Table 2  Patient, GGN, and localization procedure characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Hook wire and IBMI (n=26)</th>
<th>IBMI (n=50)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex ratio (m/f)</td>
<td>11/15</td>
<td>24/26</td>
<td>0.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>61.4±7.5</td>
<td>62.9±9.5</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean diameter of GGN on CT (cm)</td>
<td>1.615±0.17</td>
<td>1.376±0.13</td>
<td>0.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localization of GGN, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper lobe left lung</td>
<td>6 (23.7)</td>
<td>12 (24.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower lobe left lung</td>
<td>8 (30.8)</td>
<td>15 (30.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper lobe right lung</td>
<td>6 (23.7)</td>
<td>16 (32.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle lobe right lung</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>2 (4.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower lobe right lung</td>
<td>5 (18.0)</td>
<td>5 (10.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean intraoperative localization time (minutes)</td>
<td>6.330±0.39</td>
<td>5.567±0.26</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of pulmonary nodule</td>
<td>1.626±0.14</td>
<td>1.349±0.11</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distances between GGN and location point*, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1.0 cm</td>
<td>9 (34.6)</td>
<td>14 (28.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0–1.5 cm</td>
<td>17 (65.4)</td>
<td>32 (64.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1.5 cm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (8.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* distances between GGN and IBMI localization point in these two groups. GGN, ground-glass nodule; IBMI, image-localized body surface marking intraoperative.

The remaining 50 patients were treated with the IBMI method only. The patients characteristics such as gender, age and the data of GGN are summarized in Table 2. The statistical results (Table 2) displayed no difference between the hook wire + IBMI and the group of IBMI only in gender ratio, mean age, mean diameter of GGN on CT, localization of GGN, mean intraoperative localization time and depth of pulmonary nodules. The mean diameter of the GGNs was 1.2±0.6 (range, 0.8–2.6) cm, and the mean depth of pulmonary nodules was 1.4±0.9 (range, 0.3–2.9) cm. There were 22 nodules located in the right upper lobe, 3 in the right middle lobe, 10 in the right lower lobe, 18 in the left upper lobe, and 23 in the left lower lobe.

IBMI intraoperative localization

Data for the distances between GGNs and localization points indicated no significant differences between the two groups. Intraoperative localizations were successfully performed in 72 pulmonary nodules within a mean duration of 5.3±1.8 (range, 2.0–9.6) minutes. The GGNs in 4 cases were found to have a significant deviation (>1.5 cm) from the positioning point. In 49 cases, the distance ranged from 1.1 to 1.5 cm. In the other 23 cases, the location point was located alongside the nodule, less than 1.0 cm from the GGN (Table 1). In patients with a hook wire and IBMI localizations, no significant differences between hook wire
and IBMI location points (Table 2) were observed.

Complications

One case (3.8%) of the pleural reaction was noted in patients who underwent hook wire localization. The IBMI localization procedure was well tolerated in all patients, without any significant complications or procedure-related mortality. Four cases of active bleeding (5.2%), 1 case of subpleural hemorrhage (1.3%), and no other intra- or postoperative complications were observed.

Pathological results

The final pathological diagnoses of all 76 lesions are reported in Table 3. All operative margins were histologically free of tumors. Forty-one psGGNs were invasive adenocarcinoma (IA), 11 psGGNs, and eight pGGNs were minimally invasive adenocarcinoma (MIA), and five pGGNs were adenocarcinoma in situ (AIS). Two pGGNs were atypical adenomatous hyperplasia (AAH). Four psGGNs were metastatic tumors (two from breast cancer and two from colorectal cancer). Three psGGNs and two pGGNs were benign lesions.

Discussion

Preoperative localization of ground-glass opacity (GGO) or a small nodule helps guide resection through VATS and reduces the incidence of conversion rate to an open procedure (5). The success rate of the micro-coil, hook wire, and the fiducial marker was reported to be 93–98.4%, with complications occurring in 3–10% of patients, including migration of the coil, air embolism, and hemothorax (18). The hook wire technique presents several limitations, among which the most important is the need for strict coordination between the CT section and the surgery room, enabling immediate patient transport to the operating room after the procedure. Alternatively, the procedures could be performed in the same operating room, if feasible. Giunta et al. reported successful CT-guided placements of a self-expanding tract sealant device (BioSentry) in all three patients before surgery without complications (19). The self-expanding tract sealant device was created for the reduction of pneumothorax and chest tube placement rates after percutaneous lung biopsy. Imperatori et al. reported 1 of 27 (4%) hydrogel plug marking procedures caused a clinically relevant pneumothorax that required chest tube drainage. The plug minimized clinically relevant pneumothorax and allowed flexible surgical schedules. However, a chest radiograph should be obtained 2 h later to check for the occurrence of pneumothorax. Another significant limitation is case selection, where eighty-six percent of nodules were solid, and only 4 ground-glass opacities (20). Other factors during localization and surgery, such as discomfort, tension, and hemorrhage (10-13), may still exist. Our findings demonstrate the IBMI localization approach with a significantly high success rate (94.7%). This method can locate GGNs with a minimum diameter of 0.8 cm without case selection. The GGNs were found to have a significant deviation (>1.5 cm) from the positioning point in four cases. In one case, we were unable to puncture vertically due to the occlusion of the scapula. Therefore, the other patients with scapular occlusion underwent pre-placement of electric hooks. During the operation, the puncture point was determined under the direct view of a thoracoscope, with the electric hook placed on the puncture point in advance, which could be directly located by electrocoagulation after lung expansion, avoiding puncture. One female patient had a measurement error due to the extensive range of breast movement. Therefore, the other patients with excessive breast mobility underwent posterior midline marking. The other two patients with positioning failure may have failed to inhale fully during the preoperative CT scan, resulting in inconsistencies between preoperative and intraoperative positions. The IBMI localization approach could be available in most institutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>psGGNs (n=59)</th>
<th>pGGNs (n=17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy, n (%)</td>
<td>71 (93.4)</td>
<td>57 (93.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIA</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (6.6)</td>
<td>4 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal inflammation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GGN, ground-glass nodule; pGGNs, pure GGNs; psGGNs, part-solid GGNs; AAH, atypical adenomatous hyperplasia; AIS, adenocarcinoma in situ; IA, invasive adenocarcinoma; MIA, minimally invasive adenocarcinoma.
with low additional equipment requirements. The IBMI localization approach is cost-effective, as it only requires a puncture needle. As the procedure was performed under general anesthesia, patients experienced minimal discomfort and complications. Furthermore, this method is deemed as a safe alternative, with no additional radiation exposure to either the surgeon or the patient. This technology has been adopted in multiple centers, with positive feedback. It is a procedure that can be learned and applied rapidly without requiring extensive practical experience. In our study, the mean intraoperative localization time was 5.3±1.8 (range, 2.0 to 9.6) minutes, highlighting its efficiency, as all non-invasive procedures for measurement and marking were performed before surgery.

Five factors significantly influenced the success rate: (I) identifying the puncture level by selecting the first rib with HRCT. The technique more accurately identified the intercostal plane, as the first rib displayed the smallest range of movement. (II) The ribs must not block the puncture path. A puncture direction perpendicular to the skin was critical in ensuring that the needle was in the right direction. (III) The choice of the puncture level was crucial. Difficulties in determining the intercostal level in some patients were overcome by bending to the opposite side with the arm lifted. Moreover, the selection of the puncture level was determined again by thoracoscopy during the operation. (IV) Obtaining the mean value of multiple reviewer's measurements significantly reduced measurement errors. (V) The CT scan was completed during the maximum inspiratory phase to ensure that the pulmonary nodules were as consistent as possible with the preoperative position after full lung expansion during surgery.

This positioning method also has some shortcomings: The main weakness of this technique was the lack of any means to verify that the nodule has been marked successfully before cutting the lung tissue. When the first localization failed, supplemental wedge resection, or salvage segmentectomy, based on the location of the lung segment where the nodule is located, would be performed. If the nodule is missed, lobectomy should be considered. Dependency on external markers is another major weakness of this technique. The dependency would create a significant problem with populations where the average BMI is commonly higher, as superficial tissue movement based on position could negatively impact accuracy. This issue is also anticipated to be observed in large-breasted women on an ongoing basis. We obtained preoperative CTs with the patient in the lateral position, maybe of value. However, further comparative studies with a prospective randomized design are needed to define the optimal position for lung nodule/GGO localization. Some anatomical locations would be a limitation for the procedure, including apical and diaphragmatic localization, along with sites near the great vessels. Surgeons should consider other localization techniques for such nodules.

Intraoperative localization can avoid patient transport, reduce patient discomfort, and might be routinely utilized in the future. Due to the limitations on facilities or operation time in different institutes, the application of most intraoperative localization methods has been limited. The IBMI localization approach is a non-invasive intraoperative localization method that has no particular requirement for special equipment. Given its high success and low complication rate, we propose that the IBMI localization approach be the preferred choice for solid tumors and GGNs in the future.
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