Three-dimensional fast single-point macromolecular proton fraction mapping of the human brain at 0.5 Tesla
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Abstract: Fast single-point macromolecular proton fraction (MPF) mapping is a recent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) method enabling quantitative assessment of myelin content in neural tissues. To date, the reported technical implementations of MPF mapping utilized high-field MRI equipment (1.5 T or higher), while low-field applications might pose challenges due to signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) limitations and short $T_1$. This study aimed to evaluate the feasibility of MPF mapping of the human brain at 0.5 T. The three-dimensional MPF mapping protocol was implemented according to the single-point synthetic-reference method, which includes three spoiled gradient-echo sequences providing proton density, $T_1$, and magnetization transfer contrast weightings. Whole-brain MPF maps were obtained from three healthy volunteers with spatial resolution of 1.5×1.5×2 mm³ and the total scan time of 19 minutes. MPF values were measured in a series of white and gray matter structures and compared with literature data for 3 T magnetic field. MPF maps enabled high contrast between white and gray matter with notable insensitivity to paramagnetic effects in iron-rich structures, such as globus pallidus, substantia nigra, and dentate nucleus. MPF values at 0.5 T appeared in close agreement with those at 3 T. This study demonstrates the feasibility of fast MPF mapping with low-field MRI equipment and the independence of brain MPF values of magnetic field. The presented results confirm the utility of MPF as an absolute scale for MRI-based myelin content measurements across a wide range of magnetic field strengths and extend the applicability of fast MPF mapping to inexpensive low-field MRI hardware.
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Introduction

The magnetization transfer (MT) effect caused by cross-relaxation between the protons of water and macromolecules is widely used in MRI to modify tissue contrast and quantify tissue properties. Theoretical description of the MT effect is based on the classical two-pool model (1), which can be translated into quantitative imaging techniques producing parametric maps of the macromolecular proton fraction (MPF), cross-relaxation rate constant, and relaxation times of water and macromolecular protons (2-6). MPF maps have been shown to be of particular interest for measuring subtle brain pathological changes related to microscopic demyelination and undetectable by other MRI methods in both clinical (7,8) and preclinical (9-11) settings. MPF also demonstrated high sensitivity to the earliest stages of myelin
development in the fetal (12-14) and pediatric (14,15) brain.

Quantitative imaging of the MT effect is based on an analysis of a series of source MT-weighted images obtained with variable offset frequency and power of an off-resonance radiofrequency (RF) saturation pulse (2-5). While the conventional analysis of quantitative MT data requires at least four MT-weighted images (4), the recent single-point method (5,6) provides accurate MPF maps from one such image. This method allows MPF measurements based on the iterative solution of the pulsed steady-state MT matrix equation (3-5) while constraining other model parameters. Specifically, the values of the cross-relaxation rate constant, $T_2$ of macromolecular protons, and the ratio $T_2/T_1$ for free water protons are assumed constant across brain tissues due to their small tissue-dependent variability, whereas $T_1$ is measured independently (5). The best accuracy of the method is achieved if an MT-weighted image is acquired at optimal saturation conditions (offset frequency and saturation power), which minimize sensitivity of the model to possible variations in the constrained parameters (5). In the latest synthetic-reference modification of the single-point method (6), an MPF map is reconstructed in a two-step algorithm, where a $T_1$ map and a synthetic-reference image are computed from $T_1$- and proton density (PD)-weighted spoiled gradient-echo images at the first step, and the MPF map is obtained at the second step from the pulsed MT model fit with the MT-weighted spoiled gradient-echo image, $T_1$ map, and synthetic reference image as input data. As such, this technique allows reconstructing an MPF map from the three source images with $T_1$, PD, and MT contrast weightings, thus enabling the fastest possible data acquisition procedure.

Low-field MRI equipment offers cost-effective access to this diagnostic modality in developing countries and rural clinical settings (16). In developed countries, the use of low-field magnets remains the main option in certain specialized systems, such as open, intraoperative, and portable scanners (16). Quantitative imaging is usually disadvantaged in low magnetic fields due to signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) limitations (16). So far, fast MPF mapping has been implemented only at high magnetic fields (1.5 T and above). Introduction of this method into low-field MRI could substantially enhance the potential of the existing equipment in applications to demyelinating diseases and brain development. This proof-of-concept study aimed to evaluate the feasibility of single-point MPF mapping of the human brain at 0.5 T and assess the accuracy of a low-field implementation of the method by comparing the results with validated high-field (3 T) MPF measurements from the literature.

## Materials and methods

### Theory and Simulations

Simulations were performed to optimize MT saturation conditions for low-field MPF mapping. We define the MPF-to-noise-ratio (MNR) as the ratio of a fitted MPF value to its variance due to noise propagated from the source images: $\text{MNR}=\frac{\text{MPF}}{\sigma_{\text{MPF}}}$. To estimate $\sigma_{\text{MPF}}$, we adapted Eq. [11] from Ref. (5):

$$\sigma_{\text{MPF}} = \left( \frac{\partial m_f}{\partial \text{MPF}} \right)_{SNR}^{-1} \left( 1 + m_f^2 \right)^{1/2} \text{SNR}_{\text{ref}}$$

where $m_f=S_{MT}/S_{ref}$ is the normalized longitudinal magnetization of the free water pool generated by the spoiled gradient-echo sequence with off-resonance saturation, which is equal to the ratio of signals obtained with $(S_{MT})$ and without $(S_{ref})$ saturation and computed using the two-pool pulsed steady-state matrix model (3-5) for a set of model parameters (MPF, $T_1$, cross-relaxation rate constant $R$, and $T_2$ of free water ($T_2^f$) and bound macromolecular ($T_2^b$) protons); and $\text{SNR}_{\text{ref}}$ is SNR of the reference image with the signal intensity $S_{\text{ref}}$. Note that the reference image can be either acquired with sequence parameters similar to those used for the MT-weighted image as specified in the initial single-point method design (5) or computed from the PD and $T_1$ maps according to the synthetic reference algorithm (6). Simulated dependences of MNR on the offset frequency ($\Delta$) and flip angle of the saturation pulse ($\text{FA}_{MT}$) were examined for the sets of model parameters corresponding to white matter (WM) with MPF $=13.5\%$, $T_1=0.4$ s, $R=20$ s$^{-1}$, $T_2^B=0.04$ s, and $T_2^f=10^{-4}$ s and grey matter (GM) with MPF $=6.5\%$, $T_1=0.6$ s, $R=20$ s$^{-1}$, $T_2^B=0.06$ s, and $T_2^f=10^{-5}$ s. The above estimates of MPF, $R$, and $T_2^B$ were taken from high-field measurements (5,17) due to their independence of magnetic field (17). $T_2^f$ values were set according to 1.5 T data (2), since field dependence of this parameter is relatively weak (17). $T_1$ values at 0.5 T were adopted from (3). $T_1$ of the water and macromolecular proton pools were assumed equal to the observed $T_1$ (3,5). An additional series of simulations was performed to demonstrate the effect of magnetic field strength (0.5, 1.5, and 3 T) on MNR for WM. In these simulations, $\text{SNR}_{\text{ref}}$ and $T_1$ were assumed.
to depend on magnetic field \([T_1 = 650 \text{ ms for } 1.5 \ T \ (2) \text{ and } 1,000 \text{ ms for } 3 \ T \ (5)]\), and \(T_2^\phi = 22 \text{ ms was used for } 3 \ T \ (5)\). Pulse sequence parameters corresponded to the experimental protocol outlined below. Simulations were performed using custom-written C-language software.

**Experiments**

The study involved three healthy volunteers (two females, one male; age 21, 22, and 60 years). The study protocol was approved by the local ethical committee and conformed to the provisions of the Helsinki Declaration. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

A 0.5 T whole-body scanner Tomikon S50 (Bruker Medizintechnik GmbH, Ettlingen, Germany) was used for data acquisition. It is equipped with a superconducting magnet with a bore diameter of 60 cm and a gradient system with 17 mT/m maximal strength. RF field was generated by a 60-cm-diameter whole-body transmit coil. MRI signal was detected by a quadrature receive-only head coil. Whole-brain scans were obtained in a sagittal projection with the voxel size of 1.5×1.5×2 mm\(^3\) (FOV = 24×24×17.6 cm\(^2\), matrix size 160×160×88). To acquire source images, 3D spoiled gradient-echo sequences were used with TR/TE = 25/5 ms for PD-, and \(T_1\)-weighted scans and TR/TE = 30/5 ms for an MT-weighted scan. Excitation flip angles were 5, 30, and 10° for PD-, \(T_1\)-, and MT-weighted images, respectively, with a 1 ms rectangular non-selective RF pulse being used. The MT-pulse had a Gaussian shape, duration of 8 ms, \(FA_{MT} = 736°\) (limited by the maximal achievable \(B_1\) amplitude of 6 μT), and \(\Delta = 1.5 \text{ kHz, which provide nearly optimal MPF sampling conditions for } 0.5 \ T\) according to simulation results detailed below. The total scan time was 19 minutes.

Reconstruction of MPF maps was carried out using custom-written C-language software. Reconstruction of the single-point synthetic-reference algorithm \((5,6)\), SNR was calculated for the synthetic-reference image in the frontal WM regions-of-interest (ROI). Since noise is thresholded prior to computation of parametric maps, we measured the signal intensity in the reconstructed reference image (Sn)\(_\text{ref}\), whereas the standard deviation of noise (SDn) was taken as an average value from the background of source images. SNR was calculated according to the formula: \(\text{SNR} = 0.65S_{\text{ref}}/\text{SD}_n\) \((18)\). MPF values for different WM and GM structures were measured in circular ROI using ImageJ software \((19)\). The measurements were performed in the same structures as those in the earlier study at 3 T \((5)\). Prior to the measurements, the MPF data matrix was interpolated to the isotropic voxel size of 1×1×1 mm\(^3\).

Mean MPF values from the participants were compared to the literature data \((5)\) across all anatomic structures using the two-tailed \(t\)-test for independent samples. Pearson’s correlation coefficient \((r)\) between the reported in the literature \((5)\) and obtained in this study MPF measurements was assessed for structure-averaged data.

**Results**

Simulated dependences of MNR on the offset frequency and flip angle of the saturation pulse for WM and GM computed with the sequence parameters used in the imaging protocol are presented in Figure 1. Simulations suggest that MNR is maximized at \(\Delta = 1.5–2 \text{ kHz (Figure } 1A\) and \(FA_{MT} = 1,000°–1,200°. Figure 2\) demonstrates the effect of magnetic field strength on MNR and optimal saturation conditions. MNR substantially increases in higher fields due to the available gain in SNR of source images. An optimal offset frequency increases \((Figure 2A)\) and an optimal saturation flip angle decreases \((Figure 2B)\) with an increase in field strength. Specifically, for \(FA_{MT} = 700°\), optimal \(\Delta\) shifts towards 3 kHz for 1.5 T and 4 kHz for 3 T \((Figure 2A)\). Optimal \(FA_{MT}\) at \(\Delta = 1.5 \text{ kHz is achieved in the vicinity of } 700° \text{ at } 1.5 \ T \text{ and } 500° \text{ at } 3 \ T\) \((Figure 2B)\).

Figure 3 shows a scheme for obtaining an MPF map in accordance with the single-point synthetic-reference algorithm. The source PD-, \(T_1\)-, and MT-weighted images are shown in Figure 3A,B,C. The first two images were used to calculate the reference image \((Figure 3D)\) and \(T_1\) map \((Figure 3E)\). The images in Figure 3C,D,E were used to calculate the MPF map \((Figure 3F)\). SNR of the synthetic-reference image in frontal WM was 32.5±4.2.

Figure 4 illustrates the visual appearance of brain anatomic structures on a 3D MPF map obtained at 0.5 T. The reformatted views of the MPF map show sharp contrast between WM and GM. For certain brain structures, MPF maps enable image contrast features unavailable for conventional imaging methods in low-field settings. For example, the cross-section of the MPF map at the pons level \((Figure 4P)\) demonstrates hyperintensity of the two pairs of fiber bundles corresponding to the corticospinal tract (anterior pair) and the proximally located medial lemniscus and central tegmental tract (posterior pair) due to fine distinctions in myelination between these compact tracts and the rest of pontine WM. The observed pattern appears in close agreement.
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Simulated dependences of the MPF-to-noise ratio (MNR) on the offset frequency $\Delta$ (A) and flip angle $FA_{MT}$ (B) of the off-resonance saturation pulse computed for the two-pool model parameters of WM and GM at 0.5 T with $SNR_{ref}=30$ and the sequence parameters used in the actual imaging protocol. The dependences of MNR on $\Delta$ (A) were simulated at $FA_{MT}=700^\circ$. The dependences of MNR on $FA_{MT}$ (B) were simulated at $\Delta=1.5$ kHz. Other sequence parameters were the same as those detailed in the experimental imaging protocol.

Figure 2 Simulated dependences of the MPF-to-noise ratio (MNR) on the offset frequency $\Delta$ (A) and flip angle $FA_{MT}$ (B) of the off-resonance saturation pulse computed for the two-pool model parameters of WM and GM at magnetic field strengths of 0.5 T (solid lines), 1.5 T (dot lines), and 3 T (dash lines). The $SNR_{ref}$ values were set as 30, 90, and 180 for 0.5, 1.5, and 3 T magnetic fields, respectively. The dependences of MNR on $\Delta$ (A) were simulated at $FA_{MT}=700^\circ$. The dependences of MNR on $FA_{MT}$ (B) were simulated at $\Delta=1.5$ kHz. Other sequence parameters were the same as those detailed in the experimental imaging protocol.

with the human brainstem anatomy on myelin-stained histological sections according to the literature (20). Also, the GM structures with high iron content (globus pallidus, substantia nigra, and dentate nucleus), which lack GM contrast features on conventional $T_1$- and $T_2$-weighted images, demonstrate characteristic hypointensity in MPF maps, similar to the rest of GM (Figure 4E,G,H).

MPF values for different WM and GM anatomic structures at 0.5 T are summarized in Table 1 along with 3 T data published earlier (5). No significant difference across anatomic structures was found between MPF measurements obtained at 0.5 and 3 T magnetic field strengths ($P=0.7$). Structure-averaged MPF values measured at 0.5 and 3 T were strongly correlated ($r=0.988$, $P<0.001$; Figure 5).

Discussion

We present the first implementation of the fast MPF mapping method in low-field MRI. At magnetic field of 0.5 T, anatomically consistent 3D MPF maps were obtained with high spatial resolution and an acceptable scan time. Excellent quantitative agreement between MPF
Figure 3 MPF map acquisition scheme illustrated by actual images obtained from a 22-year-old female volunteer: source PD-, T₁- and MT-weighted images (A,B,C), synthetic reference image (D), T₁ map (E), and MPF map (F).

measurements at 0.5 and 3 T confirms the insensitivity of this parameter to magnetic field strength. A similar conclusion was reported earlier based on small animal brain imaging in high (3 T) and ultra-high (11.7 T) magnetic fields (17,21). Our results therefore extend the range of field strengths, where MPF can be reliably used as a quantitative measure of brain myelination. In contrast to the relaxation times $T_1$ and $T_2$ and myelin measures derived from multicomponent relaxation analysis (22-24), MPF provides nearly identical quantitative values for human and animal brain tissues and can be easily measured at a wide range of magnetic field strengths. Taken together with the previous studies (5-15,17,25,26), this report validates the use of MPF as a uniform myelin biomarker in magnetic fields spanning from 0.5 to 14 T.

Implementation of fast MPF mapping in low magnetic fields poses several technical challenges. One is related to a generally low SNR, which approximately scales proportionally to $B_0^{3/2}$ (16). In the initially published optimal design (5), high SNR in source images (>100) has been recommended to enable accurate MPF measurements. However, with the advent of ultrafast applications at 1.5 T (12-14), it was demonstrated that SNR requirements in single-point MPF mapping can be substantially relaxed (12). Particularly, the recent study (12) showed that MPF estimates are minimally affected by the noise bias for SNR as low as 15–20, and the method still provides accurate measurements in tissues despite increased noise variance. In the presented 0.5 T protocol, the calculated SNR in reference images was about 30, for which the effect of noise bias is practically negligible. Another problem is associated with $T_1$ shortening, which adversely affects saturation of macromolecular protons. Magnetization dynamics in the two-pool model under pulsed off-resonance saturation (3) involves several concurrent processes including saturation of the macromolecular pool by radiofrequency field, dissipation of magnetic energy from both macromolecular and water pools to the lattice via $T_1$ mechanism, and cross-relaxation between the pools. Additionally, direct saturation of water protons may occur at relatively low offset frequencies and/or high saturation power. Complex interplay between these processes affect the sensitivity of the MT-weighted signal to MPF and propagation of noise into the resulting maps. The results of numerical optimization presented in this and earlier (5) studies suggest that optimal saturation conditions can be found to maximize precision of MPF measurements,
and that such conditions are field-dependent. As the rate of spin-lattice relaxation increases due to $T_1$ shortening in low magnetic fields, saturation becomes less efficient, and signal intensity changes become less sensitive to cross-relaxation (3,5). To alleviate this effect, offset frequency of the saturation pulse needs to be reduced from the optimal range of $\Delta = 4–7$ kHz suggested for 3 T imaging (5) to 1.5–2 kHz, which results in an increase in the saturation rate (3,5). For the same reason, an increase of the saturation flip angle appears beneficial for low-field MPF mapping, though this parameter may be restricted by hardware capabilities and specific absorption rate limitations. Accurate brain MPF values obtained in this study suggest that a reasonable decrease of saturation offset frequency can be safely used in low-field MPF mapping applications. However, care must be taken regarding further shifting $\Delta$ towards water resonance, since it may lead to excessive direct saturation of the free water pool (5). Accordingly, additional offset frequency reduction in low-field MPF mapping may require longer radiofrequency pulses to narrow effective saturation bandwidth.

Low-field MPF mapping also offers certain technical advantages over high-field implementations. First, the above mentioned reduction of saturation offset frequency allows using unmodified clinical MT sequences for the fast MPF mapping method. Most manufacturers have implemented MT-weighted sequences with fixed $\Delta$ in a range of 1–2 kHz (12), which appears optimal for low-field MPF measurements. Second, non-uniformity of $B_1$ field was shown to be a critical source of errors in MPF mapping at 3 T (27), where specialized $B_1$ mapping sequences are needed to correct MPF maps. In low fields, $B_1$ field inhomogeneity is very minor (16,27) and $B_1$ correction can be safely omitted.

This study has certain technical limitations. First, a particular shortcoming of the presented imaging protocol is a long scan time, which was caused by not only a field-
related SNR drop but also some limitations of our hardware. Specifically, a simple quadrature reception coil resulted in a rather low SNR and impossibility to employ parallel imaging for scan acceleration. Additionally, a relatively weak gradient system of our scanner required a fairly long TR = 25 ms for PD and $T_1$-weighted images, which could be reduced without any loss of the method performance. We expect that modern low-field MRI systems equipped with multi-channel coils and parallel imaging capabilities would enable 1.5–2-fold scan time reduction while maintaining similar spatial resolution. Another strategy to mitigate the long scan time problem in clinical settings could be an increase of the slice thickness to 5–6 mm, which would match that typically used in routine low-field clinical protocols. Second, this study did not employ recent improved techniques for MPF and $T_1$ mapping, which could be beneficial for the accuracy and precision of MPF estimation. Newer MPF mapping algorithms enable

Table 1 MPF values for different WM and GM anatomic structures at 0.5 T in comparison to 3 T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of interest</th>
<th>0.5 T (%)</th>
<th>3 T [data from (5)] (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal WM, left</td>
<td>13.32±0.17</td>
<td>13.95±0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal WM, right</td>
<td>13.90±0.85</td>
<td>14.33±0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital WM, left</td>
<td>13.04±0.19</td>
<td>13.90±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital WM, right</td>
<td>13.06±0.62</td>
<td>13.54±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum, genu</td>
<td>15.05±0.21</td>
<td>16.20±0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum, splenium</td>
<td>13.82±0.40</td>
<td>16.02±0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona radiate, left</td>
<td>12.73±0.53</td>
<td>13.70±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona radiate, right</td>
<td>13.28±0.74</td>
<td>13.64±0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pons</td>
<td>12.45±0.78</td>
<td>13.33±0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen, left</td>
<td>7.11±0.55</td>
<td>7.43±0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen, right</td>
<td>7.56±0.91</td>
<td>7.13±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate nucleus head, left</td>
<td>6.56±0.76</td>
<td>6.78±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate nucleus head, right</td>
<td>7.31±0.70</td>
<td>6.27±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus, left</td>
<td>9.20±0.57</td>
<td>8.84±1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus, right</td>
<td>8.76±0.48</td>
<td>9.15±0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 Correlation between structure-averaged MPF values measured in this study at 0.5 T and literature data (5) obtained at 3 T for the brain anatomic structures listed in Table 1.
corrections of errors caused by bi-exponential longitudinal relaxation (28) and non-exchangeable free water content (29) in tissues. The single-point MPF mapping method may also benefit from the controlled saturation $T_1$ mapping approach (30) offering improved reproducibility and inherent correction of errors caused by the MT effect. While the above techniques (28-30) were not tested in this pilot study, their translation into low-field MPF mapping seems rather straightforward.

In conclusion, this study provides a compelling evidence of the independence of MPF of magnetic field, since quantitative MPF estimates obtained using a low-field scanner (0.5 T) appeared very close to those reported for high-field systems (3 T and above). The presented results confirm the utility of MPF as an absolute scale for MRI-based myelin content measurements across a wide range of magnetic field strengths. From the practical standpoint, our results demonstrate the feasibility of fast MPF mapping with low-field MRI equipment that extends the applicability of the method to rural clinical settings with cheapest available MRI hardware.
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