Recovery of pneumonia in 27 discharged COVID-19 patients with positive virus detection
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Introduction

An outbreak caused by a novel human coronavirus, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was first detected in Wuhan in December 2019 (1), and has since spread within China and to other countries. As of May 19, 2020, more than four million confirmed cases and 315,131 deaths had been reported globally (2). Till now, many patients with COVID-19 have been clinically cured and discharged. However, multiple COVID-19 cases showed SARS-CoV-2 testing positive again in discharged patients [redetectable as positive (RP)], which led to many investigation on RP topic (3-5). Initially, there existed much knowledge gap in the clinical features and transmission risk of RP patients. A study on fifteen RP patients, conducted by Li et al., suggested that RP patients might haven’t been fully recovered at readmission and need great attention (6). However, this result may have been over interpreted. Recently, a growing number of clinical evidences indicate that RP result may not represent infectious virus, and unlikely reflect reinfection (7,8). To give a detailed description on the clinical course of post-discharged RP patients and thus further confirm the recovery of RP patients, we studied 285 adult patients with COVID-19 and acquired their clinical outcome during post-discharge surveillance with PCR results. By March 10, 27 (9.5%) of 285 discharged patients were shown to be RP. Despite the presence of recurrent PCR positivity, most RP patients were asymptomatic and showed remission of pulmonary abnormalities at readmission.

Case series presentation

There were 285 adult patients with COVID-19 under our observation. All cases have met the discharge criteria at first discharge: absence of fever for at least 3 days, substantial improvement in both lungs in chest CT, clinical remission of respiratory symptoms, and two throat-swab samples negative for SARS-CoV-2 RNA obtained at least 24 h apart (9). During the post-discharge surveillance (within 15 days after discharge), 27 (9.5%) patients were re-tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by use of RT-PCR on samples from the respiratory tract. Chest CT were obtained within 2 days both before discharge and after readmission.

The median age of the 27 RP patients was 44 [interquartile range (IQR): 35–62, ranging from 19–79] years old, and 12 (44.4%) cases were men. Among 22 cases who
remained clinically symptomatic at discharge, 17 (77.27%) cases had symptoms resolution at readmission. Specifically, 2/3 (66.7%), 7/10 (70.0%), 6/7 (85.7%), 2/2 (100.0%) cases had relief in fatigue, dry cough, chest distress and dizziness, respectively. During patients’ rehospitalization, the median duration of viral shedding was 3 (IQR: 3–10, ranging from 1–12) days. Of those who underwent detection of the specific binding antibody to SARS-COV-2 in the plasma, 20 (100.0%) and 16 (80.0%) showed positivity of IgG and IgM, respectively. Compared with the CT at discharge, 21/24 (87.5%) cases had resolvement of ground-glass opacities, 8/10 (80.0%) had reduction in size of fibrotic streaks. One (3.7%) case did not have abnormality in the lungs during both hospitalizations. Only 5/26 (19.2%) cases showed no obvious change in pulmonary abnormality. None of the 17 cases showed pneumonia aggravation on chest CT. Cases appeared to demonstrate positive PCR result even after the absorption of pulmonary inflammation (Figure 1). The typical CT findings of RP patients were the resolution of pulmonary inflammations at readmission. CT images obtained from five RP patients with different basic characteristics are shown in Figure 2.

**Discussion**

This is a report of a group of patients who were clinically cured and discharged but showed PCR positivity during post-discharge surveillance. In this study, most RP patients showed near-complete resolution of pulmonary CT abnormalities at re-admission, with no pulmonary reinfection occurred. Our results further confirm that RP patients are more likely to have false negative RT-PCR tests before discharging and the positive re-tests unlikely reflect reinfection (7). Since most RP patients can have pulmonary
Figure 2  Chest CT scan of five patients with re-tested PCR positivity (A1–E1: CTs discharge, A2–E2: CTs at re-admission). (A) Chest CT images from a 30-year-old man re-tested as SARS-CoV-2 positive 8 days after discharge, showing resolvement of exudates and fibrotic streaks at re-admission; (B) chest CT images from a 69-year-old woman retested as SARS-CoV-2 positive 9 days after discharge, showing resolvement of inflammation in the right lower lobe at readmission; (C) chest CT images from a 33-year-old man retested as SARS-CoV-2 positive 7 days after discharge, showing absorption of the scattered exudates in the right lower lobe at readmission; (D) chest CT images from a 44-year-old woman retested as SARS-CoV-2 positive 4 days after discharge, showing near-complete resolution of the airspace changes in the bilateral lower lobes at readmission; (E) chest CT images from a 79-year-old man retested as SARS-CoV-2 positive 9 days after discharge, showing further partial absorption of local lung lesions at readmission.
inflammations further absorbed spontaneously, it may not be necessary to offer additional treatment for these patients.
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