Quantitative imaging of the clearance systems in the eye and the brain
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Introduction

Debilitating neurodegenerative conditions, such as multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease, are often presented with the accumulation of metabolic byproducts in brain tissues. Recent studies also suggest linkages between ocular and cerebral diseases, yet the underlying mechanisms remain unclear (1-5). Unlike the rest of the body, the central nervous system (CNS) does not comprise lymphatic vasculature for metabolic waste removal. Instead, several hypotheses have been proposed that rely on the complex but highly regulated clearance mechanisms responsible for an adequate neuronal environment and fluid homeostasis. Understanding the mechanisms of clearance systems in the eye and the brain can help exploit fluid transport and potentially offer new targets for therapy to the visual system and beyond. In this editorial, we describe and criticize how quantitative imaging can play a role in evaluating different models of clearance systems.

Models of the clearance systems in the eye and the brain

Essential components for biofluid transport in the CNS

Nutrients and waste products in the brain can be transported through three major fluid compartments: cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) within the subarachnoid space (SAS), interstitial fluid (ISF) within the brain parenchyma, and blood within cerebral vessels. The CSF, produced by choroid plexus, plays a major role in CNS nutrient transport and clearance of waste products, including amyloid plaques and hyperphosphorylated τ-proteins. Failure of such transport and clearance is thought to lead to waste accumulation and toxicity in several neurodegenerative diseases (6-8). The ISF surrounds neurons and glial cells, and takes part in collecting cellular waste products. Blood circulates through cerebral blood vessels. These vessels penetrate the pia mater in the innermost membrane around the brain but remain separate from the brain parenchyma by the glia limitans. The glia limitans are membranes composed of astrocytic endfeets enveloping cerebral vessels. Exchanges between these three components are essential for brain waste clearance and are the subject of active research.

Within the eye, the aqueous humor is secreted into the posterior chamber by non-pigmented ciliary epithelial cells (9). It resembles CSF surrounding the brain and optic nerves with comparable physiologic pressures, production, and drainage dynamics. Its passage through the pupil into the anterior chamber requires downstream outflow mechanisms—the trabecular meshwork and uveoscleral pathways—to maintain optimum intraocular pressure. The corneoscleral and juxtacanalicular tissue layers of the trabecular meshwork drain aqueous humor into the Schlemm’s canal, providing access to the episcleral venous system. In the uveoscleral pathway, aqueous humor flows through the interstitial trabeculae of the ciliary bodies and enters the suprachoroidal space and the retinal and optic nerve parenchyma (10).
Major models for clearance systems in the CNS

To date, three major models have been hypothesized for the waste clearance system in the brain. These include the lymphatic system (6), the intramural periarterial drainage (IPAD) (11), and the meningeal lymphatics (12,13). Figure 1 illustrates each model and how they may function and interact. In brief, the perivascular space surrounding the cerebral vessels is continuous with the SAS within the glia limitans, facilitating CSF and ISF exchange. As CSF circulates within the ventricles and SAS, it traverses the arteriolar glia limitans via aquaporin-4 (AQP4) channels concentrated on the astrocytic endfeet. In the brain parenchyma, debris and metabolic waste can be transported from the ISF via convective flow into the paravenous space. The function of this glia-dependent perivascular network suggests that the paravenous space acts as the penultimate reservoir for drainage into lymphatic-like vessels, hence the term glymphatic (6). Evidence indicates that flow within this system is driven by arterial pulsation, respiration, gentle vasomotion, and the CSF pressure gradient between the SAS and the para-arterial space (14). An alternative IPAD hypothesis proposes that ISF is cleared through the basement membranes of capillaries and vascular smooth muscle cells in the tunica media of cerebral arterioles (11,15). The drainage of ISF in the deep brain may also be controlled by the integrity of myelination (16).

Outside of the brain parenchyma, recent evidence reveals the existence of lymphatic vessels in the meningeal compartment along the dorsal and basal portions of the skull (12,13). The basal meningeal lymphatic vessels seem more involved with CSF/ISF clearance than dorsal meningeal lymphatic vessels and contain lymphatic valves, zipper-like junctions, and characteristics of both capillary and collecting lymphatic vessels. These vessels are close to the SAS that contains a loose intervening arachnoid barrier (13). Hyperspectral fluorescence imaging also demonstrated the flow of quantum dot tracers from the cisterna magna to the submandibular lymph nodes (17).

The eye and the brain are connected by the optic nerve. It was recently found that the extravascular space of the extracocular visual pathway directly communicates with the SAS in humans (18), while CSF may enter the optic nerve via a glymphatic pathway (19). Within the eyeball, recent findings also suggest the possibility of an “ocular glymphatic system”. In the inner nuclear layer of the retina, the retinal glial cells called the Müller cells express AQP4 and, like cerebral astrocytes, may be instrumental in maintaining such retinal fluid homeostasis (20). Impaired ocular drainage in multiple sclerosis manifests as an increase in the volume of inner nuclear layer, suggestive of the clinical relevance of this clearance system (20). Apart from glymphatic system, lymphatic drainage from the eye was also observed (9). Fluorescence imaging following injection of quantum dots into the anterior chamber shows afferent pathways into the submandibular lymph nodes in the ipsilateral neck region (21,22). Furthermore, these studies have shown that ocular lymphatic drainage increases following administration of latanoprost which is a prostaglandin F2α analog (23).

Imaging techniques for monitoring the clearance systems in the eye and the brain

The mechanisms involved in waste clearance from the eye and brain remain a matter of debate. Development of quantitative imaging techniques can offer an objective measure to verify the properties of the proposed models. Table 1 summarizes recent protocols for imaging the clearance systems in the brains and the eyes of both humans and experimental animal models. In general, most imaging studies of the clearance system involve administrations of exogenous tracers such as fluorescent nanospheres, quantum dots and radio-labeled human serum albumin (HSA). Such tracers of various molecular weights and ligand properties allow for visualization and characterization of CSF (6-8,12) and aqueous humor dynamics and drainages (22,36). Small-sized tracers can move into the interstitium in the brain, or into the anterior chamber via the blood-aqueous barrier, whereas large-sized tracers often remain confined to paravascular spaces after intracisternal injection, or to the ocular bloodstream after systemic administration (6,7,36,37). Ex vivo approaches such as brain slice fluorescence imaging allow histological verification to depict precisely how and where tracers reach and accumulate by examining the specific types of nearby anatomical structures at high spatial resolution (19). However, these experiments are limited to a single time point per subject and are susceptible to biovariability between individuals when testing time- and dose-dependent effects (37). Therefore, non-invasive techniques are being developed to allow longitudinal monitoring of the dynamics of the clearance systems. Recently, photoacoustic imaging has successfully mapped and quantified lymphatic drainage from the eye to neck lymph nodes by leveraging the high contrast of optical imaging and precise spatial resolution of ultrasound in detecting near-infrared nanoparticles (35).
Figure 1 Schematic of major clearance systems in (A,B,C,F) the brain and (D,E,F) the eye. (A,B,C) are representations of the IPAD and glymphatic pathways; (A) is a cross-section of an arteriole and represents CSF flow (cyan arrows) from the SAS into the peri-arterial space, as well as ISF flow (green arrows) through the smooth muscle basement membranes; (B) is a cross-section of an arteriole transitioning into a capillary, where CSF exits the peri-arterial space via AQP4 water channels (purple) located on the astrocytic endfeet before mixing with ISF (cyan green arrow) and entering the smooth muscle basement membranes; (C) is a coronal cross-section through the head and represents the glymphatic pathway, dorsal mLVs, and CSF flow through an AG. CSF flows from the SAS into peri-arterial spaces before flowing into
the brain parenchyma via AQP4 channels, mixing with ISF, and then entering the perivenous space for drainage via a convective flow. Fluid from the SAS can then drain into the mLVs (green openings) surrounding the SSS; (D) represents a cross-section of the anterior chamber of the eye. Cyan arrows represent production and flow of aqueous humor from the ciliary body. Red arrow represents the trabecular meshwork pathway where aqueous humor flows into the episcleral vein by passing through the Schlemm’s canal (blue opening). Green arrow represents the uveoscleral pathway where aqueous humor flows through the interstitial trabeculae of the ciliary bodies and enters the suprachoroidal space; (E) represents a cross-section of the optic nerve head. Müller cells within the retina, which share similar functions to astrocytes in the brain, are represented in dark green, with appendages that wrap around retinal capillaries (red dots), constituting part of the blood-retinal barrier. The broad stripe behind the Müller cells represents the INL. The optic nerve is surrounded by SAS through which CSF flows into the optic nerve; (F) is a diagram of CSF flow within the SAS, originating from the choroid plexus (orange) within the ventricles, as well as dorsal and basal mLVs. These mLVs travelling alongside the TS and SS, exiting out of the jugular foramen with the internal jugular vein, and draining into the deep cervical lymph nodes. Preauricular [1], submandibular [2], superficial cervical [3], deep cervical [4], and supraclavicular [5] lymph nodes are illustrated. IPAD, intramural periarterial drainage; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; SAS, subarachnoid space; ISF, interstitial fluid; AQP4, aquaporin-4; mLVs, meningeal lymphatic vessels; AG, arachnoid granulation; SSS, superior sagittal sinus; INL, inner nuclear layer; TS, transverse sinuses; SS, sigmoid sinuses.

Hyperspectral fluorescence imaging can track the posterior brain metabolic clearance into dural sinuses associated with lymphatic vessels, as well as the flow of quantum dot tracers from the cisterna magna to the submandibular lymph nodes over time (38). These methods also help characterize waste clearance mechanisms in the eye (21,23).

Although the above tracer-tracking techniques can produce detailed images of CSF and aqueous humor flows in vivo, such images are often restricted to the surfaces of the brain, ocular and body tissues due to limited penetration depth for optical and ultrasound imaging. The majority of their use is also restricted to animal models due to the safety of exogenous materials, and surgical requirements such as invasive injection procedures or the need of a cranial window for a clear optical axis in case of two-photon microscopy. There exists a need of a non-invasive imaging modality that allows translational research of the clearance systems between humans and experimental animal models. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is non-invasive with no depth limitation and may serve this purpose. MRI exploits the magnetic properties of water in biological tissues and allows dynamic monitoring of the clearance systems using paramagnetic contrast agents. For example, gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs) are passive contrast agents that enhance the T1-weighted MRI signal of CSF following intrathecal or intravenous administration. GBCAs escape the bloodstream into the SAS (39) and their interaction with brain parenchyma can be mediated by the glymphatic system (40-42). GBCAs can also mimic aqueous humor components entering the anterior chamber of the eye via the blood-aqueous barrier (36,43). Their pharmacokinetics varies with the choice of contrast agent (i.e., linear or macrocyclic) and heavily depends on renal function for systemic elimination. The contrast selection may depend on the structure of interest; while macrocyclic GBCAs are more stable, linear GBCAs tend to accumulate in the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus after intravenous administration (44) and in the basal ganglia after intrathecal administration (40). These studies also demonstrated that some contrast is transported from the venous perivascular space into the lymphatic system.

Animal model experiments with GBCAs have demonstrated the capacity of MRI to visualize glymphatic function (45). Comparisons between intrathecal infusions of Gd-DTPA (938 kDa) and GadoSpin (200 kDa) confirmed the fluid transport into paravascular spaces and the size-dependent CSF-ISF exchange typical of glymphatic transport (37). Additionally, AQP4 inhibition reduced clearance after intrathecal infusion of GBCAs, suggesting the dependence of contrast clearance on glymphatics and that MRI is a valid method for glymphatic functional imaging (42). While Gd-DTPA is recently discontinued in the US, Gd-DOTA may offer an alternative to glymphatic imaging with no apparent differences in penetration and distribution into the CSF of healthy rats (46). Reports on human intrathecal injection suggest the feasibility of this approach in clinical settings, but safety concerns do remain (47). Recent studies showed abnormally sustained enhancement of T1-weighted signal in brain parenchyma secondary to reduced rates of gadobutrol clearance from the SAS and reduced glymphatic function in patients treated for idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus (48).

Apart from exogenous tracers, non-invasive MRI of clearance systems via endogenous contrasts is also
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Imaging method</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Administrative route of tracers/contrast agents</th>
<th>Dosage and flow rate of tracers/contrast agents</th>
<th>Experimental protocol (time points, etc.)</th>
<th>Tissues and regions of interest</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glymphatic system in the brain</td>
<td>Ex vivo fluorescence imaging</td>
<td>Sprague-Dawley Intracisternal rats</td>
<td>Intracisternal</td>
<td>0.1% FITC-d500 and TR-d3 dissolved in artificial CSF</td>
<td>30, 60, 180 min after injection</td>
<td>Paravascular spaces surrounding cerebral arteries and veins</td>
<td>(24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex vivo NIRF imaging</td>
<td>Transgenic AQP4 knockout, C57BL/6J mice</td>
<td>Intracisternal</td>
<td>2 µL/min of TR-d3</td>
<td>Brains removed and post-fixed 30 min post-injection</td>
<td>Dorsal and ventral brain surface, hypothalamus</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vivo two-photon imaging</td>
<td>FVB/N-Tg (GFAPGFP) 14Mes/J mice</td>
<td>Intracisternal</td>
<td>10 µL of CSF tracer injected at rate of 2 µL/min for 5 min</td>
<td>Between 30 min and 6 h post-injection</td>
<td>Paravascular spaces surrounding penetrating arteries, interstitium, cerebral cortex</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vivo NIRF imaging</td>
<td>C57BL/6J mice</td>
<td>Intrathecal</td>
<td>2 µL/min for 5 min of fluorescent microspheres</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Perivascular spaces of the cortical branches of the middle cerebral artery</td>
<td>(14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vivo NIRF imaging</td>
<td>Swiss mice</td>
<td>Intracisternal</td>
<td>1 µL of indocyanine green</td>
<td>60 min in photoimager using excitation filter set at 650 nm and emission filter at 770 nm</td>
<td>Brain parenchyma</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In vivo transcranial optical imaging</td>
<td>Transgenic AQP4Cisterna magna knockout mice</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>10 µL at 2 µL/min of TR-d3 and BSA-647</td>
<td>Every min for 30 min</td>
<td>Cortical parenchyma</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunofluorescence staining, confocal laser microscopy</td>
<td>Albino mice DBA/2J, C57BL/6J mice</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>3 µL of fluorescein isothiocyanate dextran tracer as a slow bolus over 1–2 min</td>
<td>Optic nerve sections stained for isoelectric B4, astrocytes, astrocytic endfeet, AQP4 channels</td>
<td>SAS surrounding optic nerve, optic chiasm</td>
<td>(19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast-enhanced MRI (3T)</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>Intrathecal</td>
<td>Gadobutrol</td>
<td>24, 48 h, 4 weeks post-injection</td>
<td>3rd and 4th ventricles, pontine cistern, cisterna magna, lateral ventricles, Sylvian fissure, superior sagittal sinus</td>
<td>(27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-injection; 0, 20, 40 min; 1, 2, 4, 6, 24 h post-injection</td>
<td>SASs, cerebral gray and white matter, basal ganglia, thalamus, hippocampus, amygdala, nucleus accumbens, entorhinal cortex, cerebellum</td>
<td>(28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Imaging method</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Administrative route of tracers/contrast agents</td>
<td>Dosage and flow rate of tracers/contrast agents</td>
<td>Experimental protocol (time points, etc.)</td>
<td>Tissues and regions of interest</td>
<td>Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast-enhanced MRI (7T)</td>
<td>Swiss mice</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>1–3 µL Gd-DOTA</td>
<td>60 min post-injection</td>
<td>0, 20, 40 min; 1, 2, 4, 6, 24, 48 h post-injection</td>
<td>Optic nerve, optic chiasm, optic tract, primary visual cortex</td>
<td>(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast-enhanced MRI (9.4T)</td>
<td>Sprague-Dawley Intrathecal rats</td>
<td></td>
<td>80 µL at 1.6 µL/min of 0.17 mM GadoSpin and 21 mM Gd-DTPA for 50 min</td>
<td>3 scans pre-infusion, continuously after infusion for 3.9 h</td>
<td>Cortical surface arteries and veins (penetrating arteries, ascending veins)</td>
<td>(24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCE MRI (11.75T)</td>
<td>Transgenic AQP4 knockout mice</td>
<td>Intracisternal</td>
<td>10 µL of 68 mM gadoteridol at 500 nL/min for 20 min</td>
<td>Images obtained every 10 min for 90 min total</td>
<td>cortex, hippocampus, subcortical regions, 3rd ventricle</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTI-MRI (DTI-ALPS) (3T)</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(29)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hT2w-FLAIR MRI (1.5T)</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>1.0 mmol/mL, 0.1 mL/kg body weight of gadobutrol</td>
<td>Pre-injection; 3, 24 h post-injection</td>
<td>Perivascular spaces, parenchymal vessels</td>
<td>(30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningeal lymphatic system</td>
<td>Immunohistochemistry, electron microscopy, multiphoton microscopy, Evans blue injection, flow cytometry</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Perineural spaces of cranial and spinal nerves, perivascular spaces of penetrating cortical arteries, choroid plexus, 3rd and 4th ventricles</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C57BL/6, NOD, Cd11c-YFP, Prox1&lt;sup&gt;CreER&lt;/sup&gt; mice</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 µL QD655 or Alexa488-conjugated anti-Lyve-1 antibody, co-injected intravenously with 25 µL of 10% fluorescein sodium salt or 5 µL QDot655; 4 µg of rhVEGF-c or with PBS; 5 µL of 10% Evans blue dye</td>
<td>Meninges harvested 7 and 14 days after injection</td>
<td>Human dura samples stained for immunohistochemistry</td>
<td>(23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunohistochemistry, confocal microscopy</td>
<td>C57BL/6J, Prox1-GFP, Prox1-CreER&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;, and Vegfr3&lt;sup&gt;lox/lox&lt;/sup&gt; mice</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>3 µL of QD705 or Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated LYVE-1 antibody infused at 1 µL/min for 3 min</td>
<td>5, 15, 30, 60, 120 min post-infusion</td>
<td>Basal meningeal lymphatic vessels</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 (continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 (continued)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contrast-enhanced MRI (7T)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contrast-enhanced MRI (3T)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IPAD pathways</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence staining, confocal laser microscopy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fluorescent tracer, in vivo multiphoton laser imaging</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fluorescent tracers, detection by immunofluorescence imaging</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lymphatic drainage from the eye</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hyperspectral fluorescence imaging</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Imaging method</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Administrative route of tracers/contrast agents</th>
<th>Dosage and flow rate of tracers/contrast agents</th>
<th>Experimental protocol (time points, etc.)</th>
<th>Tissues and regions of interest</th>
<th>Citation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Immunofluorescence staining, cryo-immunogold electron microscopy</td>
<td>Humans</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Pre-injection, 5, 20, 40, 70, 120, and 360 min post-injection</td>
<td>Lymphatic vessels in ciliary body, LYVE-1-positive lymphatic channels in conjunctiva and ciliary body stroma</td>
<td>(22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radioactive/ fluorescent tracers, immunofluorescence staining</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Intracameral</td>
<td>50 µL of Draximage iodinated iodine-125 radio-labeled HSA; FluoSpheres carboxylate modified microspheres (50 µL, 20 nm), yellow-green fluorescent (505/515)</td>
<td>Pre-injection; 30, 45, 60, 90 min, 4 h post-injection</td>
<td>Anterior chamber, lymphatic channels in ciliary body; cervical, retropharyngeal, submandibular, preauricular lymph nodes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Near-infrared tracer, detection by in vivo photoacoustic tomography</td>
<td>CD-1 mice</td>
<td>Intracameral</td>
<td>3 µL of 280 µM QC-1/BSA or QC-1</td>
<td>Pre-injection; 20 min, 2, 4, and 6 h after injection</td>
<td>Drainage from anterior chamber to cervical lymph nodes</td>
<td>(35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPAD, intramural periarterial drainage; NIRF, near-infrared fluorescence; TR-d3, Texas red-dextran (3 kDa); CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; AQP4, aquaporin-4; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; LYVE-1, lymphatic vessel endothelial receptor 1; DCE, dynamic contrast enhanced; hT2w-FLAIR, heavily T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; HSA, human serum albumin; SAS, subarachnoid space.
emerging. Several studies have utilized diffusion-weighted MRI techniques for the evaluation of the lymphatic system by detecting intrinsic water movement along the perivascular space (29,47). Research on neurodegenerative diseases has shown significant correlations between mental impairment scores and the perivascular space diffusivity index that is derived from diffusivity patterns in brain projection fibers, association fibers, and the perivascular space (29). Phase-contrast evaluation and magnetization with inversion pulses are also powerful MRI methods for visualizing flow within the CSF space without exogenous material administration (47).

**Quantitation of the clearance systems in the eye and the brain**

Quantification of the complete CNS waste clearance system remains challenging partly due to limitations in tracer choices for full eye or brain *in vivo* research, and in the resolution and specificity of non-invasive imaging techniques. However, indirect measurements in distal locations, synchronization with physiological monitoring and biophysical modeling may help improve the understanding of the relative contributions of individual components to the clearance systems. For example, upon intracocular injection of radioactive tracers, we can quantify the clearance in the trabecular meshwork and uveoscleral pathway separately by measuring the time progression of total radioactivity in the plasma and lymphatic tissues, respectively using a gamma counter (49). Quantification of CSF flow can be accomplished with fluorescent tracers and microspheres infused into the cisterna magna of mice and visualized by two-photon microscopy, while simultaneously measuring pulse and respiration. Microsphere velocity measurements, electrocardiograms, and spectral analyses demonstrate that CSF flow more closely resembles the cardiac cycle than the respiratory cycle, suggesting that the primary driver of CSF flow is perivascular pumping (14). The synchronization of diffusion-weighted MRI acquisition with electrocardiogram also allows for the characterization of the effect of arterial pulsatility on the perivascular space and the surrounding fluid movement in terms of pseudo-diffusivity indices (50).

In terms of biophysical modeling, a two-compartment pharmacokinetic model for GBCA-based glymphatic transport has been tested in rats with severe disruption in micro- and macro-vasculature induced by diabetes mellitus (50). The contrast concentration in two compartments (free and bound fractions) is represented by a system of differential equations describing contrast dynamics among the arterial perivascular and brain parenchyma. The model solution has a biexponential form whose respective fractions and time constants allow the estimation of contrast retention and loss to the perivascular space. This approach has also been used to demonstrate the dependence of glymphatic clearance on corporal position. Experiments showed reduced overall clearance in rats scanned in a prone position compared to supine or right lateral decubitus positions (51). These results were verified with fluorescence and radiolabeled tracer imaging, showing comparatively greater CSF influx in the supine and right lateral decubitus positions.

The spontaneous rhythmic oscillations of vascular tone in the beds of various tissues, including the cerebral tissues, known as vasomotion, are central to the IPAD model for brain waste clearance. This model encompasses a complex system of equations, representing vasomotion-induced intramural periartrial flow through the poroelastic basement membrane, coupled with the elastic response of the middle cerebral artery in the arterial wall model. This model has been proposed to explain the mechanism of IPAD pathways through the basement membranes (15).

**Challenges in imaging the clearance systems of the eye and the brain**

Apart from the physical factors from imaging techniques in probing the mechanisms of clearance systems (30), CNS waste clearance appears to be affected by a number of physiological factors such as wakefulness (52,53), anesthesia regimes (25,53,54), exercise (55), age (7), tracer delivery (7,56), and posture (51,57). CSF tracer influx appears to be suppressed in awake subjects (58), increased after voluntary exercise (55), and increased or decreased in sedated subjects depending on the anesthesia regimes (59). In particular, at a high anesthetic dose such as 3% isoflurane, general anesthesia may have a negative impact on the intracranial CSF circulation (25,53). This occurs not simply by inducing unconsciousness but also by additional mechanisms including repression of norepinephrine release (54). To minimize the effects of anesthesia on solute transport during imaging, anesthesia with dexmedetomidine and low-dose isoflurane has been proposed if awake imaging is not feasible (54).

CNS waste clearance research often requires surgical procedures for the administration of tracers prior to
image acquisition. In animal studies, imaging of lymphatic drainage in the eye typically relies on intracameral, intravitreal, or subretinal contrast injection. For imaging brain waste clearance, intrathecal catheterization is desirable over intracranial administration since it eliminates the need for a craniotomy (60). SAS catheterization via the atlanto-occipital membrane allows catheter insertion into the cisterna magna or down to the lower levels of the lumbar spinal cord of rats (61,62). Longitudinal studies in rodents typically rely on lumbar intrathecal catheterization for the infusion of tracers into the CSF (62,63). MRI studies of human glymphatic function also rely on lumbar puncture and intrathecal administration of CSF tracers to ensure brain-wide CSF contrast enhancement and clearance (27,28). Intracerebroventricular tracer injection can also be used, yet cautions should be noted when performing invasive procedures for glymphatic studies, as it is reported that intrastriatal injections suppress glymphatic function (7).

**Future perspectives**

Despite several advances, questions remain regarding the properties of cerebral and ocular clearance systems and their mechanisms. For example, AQP4 channels are water-only channels whereas CSF has components much larger in number and size that cannot effectively pass through AQP4 channels. Explorations based on this premise may uncover new substances, transporters, channels, pumps and mechanisms/routes. Better imaging techniques to determine the physiological integrity of CSF and aqueous compartments may also help identify vulnerable individuals with diseases like dementia (64) and glaucoma (26). Delayed tracer clearance from brain parenchyma suggests that contrast-enhanced imaging could potentially be employed to diagnose and examine neurodegenerative diseases in humans and preclinical models (8,27,47,65). In the future, development of a quantitative imaging model system that guides how to manipulate solute transport in appropriate experimental animal models is imperative to facilitate waste clearance and alleviate disease severity (57,66-70). Although most of the current surgical procedures and contrast agents for clearance system studies cannot be used in humans considering safety and FDA approval, quantitative GBCA-enhanced MRI has recently been employed to study cerebral and ocular clearance in certain human populations (18,27,47,71,72), whereas diffusion-weighted MRI and phase-contrast MRI could provide information of solute transport without the need of exogenous contrast agents, which could be a major direction for future human imaging research (47). Finally, a unifying model is required to account for the various findings that have been reported so far (11,73,74). Understanding the clearance systems may provide insight into the development of neurological pathologies, ultimately paving the way for neurotherapeutics.

**Acknowledgments**

Funding: We thank all collaborators who contributed to our research papers upon which the present commentary is based. This work was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health R01-EY028125, (Bethesda, MD, USA); BrightFocus Foundation G2019103 (Clarksburg, MD, USA); Research to Prevent Blindness/Stavros Niarchos Foundation International Research Collaborators Award (New York, New York); and an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness to NYU Langone Health Department of Ophthalmology (New York, NY, USA).

**Footnote**

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**References**

5. Trivedi V, Bang JW, Parra C, Colbert MK, O’Connell C,


