Introduction

Some cerebral aneurysms are diagnosed incidentally; others may present symptoms due to the pressure exerted on surrounding structures, and local processes such as inflammation. They are, however, frequently diagnosed after a subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), following aneurysm rupture. SAH carries a high mortality and morbidity, and incidence of 6–8/100,000 (1). Lifetime cost-per-year of survival following SAH is 3× as high as elective surgery or endovascular treatment of patients with an unruptured aneurysm (UA) (2). Thus, estimated risk of rupture is a key factor in deciding how to treat UA.

A meta-analysis conducted by Rinkel et al. (3) found cerebral aneurysm prevalence of 2.3% in adults with no risk factor for SAH. In a subgroup of nine prospective angiographic studies of patients with known risk factors for SAH (n=3,751) the prevalence increased to 6%. Prevalence of aneurysms also increased with age, peaking in the age group of 60–79 years.

Managing aneurysms neurosurgically or endovascularly carries risk in itself; hence, it is desirable to quantify rupture risk in UA. Currently, treatment decisions are not based on recognized criteria or parameters but rather an individual appreciation of anatomical features like size and location. These provide an incomplete assessment of rupture risk.

Haemodynamically driven mechanisms involving the arterial wall have been linked to aneurysm initiation and subsequent growth in both in vivo and modeled environments. Clearly, the evolution of an aneurysm is governed by interaction between blood flow and vessel wall, however current modalities do not offer non-invasive insight into wall properties (4).

Aneurysm characteristics

The PHASE scoring system (5) was based on a meta-analysis of the largest cohort available currently. Patient factors such as ethnicity, age, previous history of SAH, or history of hypertension are considered alongside aneurysmal factors including size and location to stratify patients according to rupture risk. Higher scores suggest higher aneurysm rupture risk. However, this tool requires further validation and the treatment of a significant proportion of patients in the follow-up time may have resulted in a selection bias.

Size

A meta-analysis of 6,556 aneurysms in all intracerebral locations (average age 55.6, 70% female) (6) demonstrated an increased rupture risk with increasing size. Aneurysms of 5 to 10 mm in diameter were 2.3 times more likely to rupture (95% CI: 1.0–5.2) compared to smaller ones. Risk increased to 11.9 for aneurysms greater than 15 mm (95% CI: 5.5–25.8). The UCAS cohort (n=6,697) showed a similar trend, with increased risk of rupture as the maximal aneurysm diameter increased.

However, of the patients presenting with SAH (n=245), 86% had aneurysms smaller than 10 mm (7). Anterior
communicating (ACom) aneurysms were the most common (29.0%), of which 94.4% were smaller than 10 mm, suggesting that small aneurysms are not as benign as previously thought.

**Location**

Aneurysm site correlates with rupture risk (8). A study of 854 ruptured aneurysms (RA) presenting with SAH (an additional 180 UA were found in the same patients), found the most common sites were: ACom 31.3%, middle cerebral artery (MCA) 24.1%, and internal carotid artery (ICA) 22.8%. The two most frequent sites of RA of less than 5 mm were 48.7% ACom and MCA 11.4%.

In the UCAS cohort, MCA aneurysms (36.2%) were twice as common as ICA (18.6%), ACom (15.5%) or ICA/ posterior communicating (PCom) (15.5%) aneurysms (9). However, small ACom and PCom aneurysms (<7 mm) were more likely to rupture than in others in the follow-up period.

**Aneurysm morphology**

The UCAS cohort (9) featured 1,266 aneurysms (18.9% of aneurysms) with daughter sacs, conferring a rupture hazard ratio of 1.63 (P=0.02). Similar data in patients with two or more aneurysms at time of presentation suggested that irregular shape was associated with rupture (adjusted odds ratio =3.0, 95% CI: 1.0-8.8; n=124, totalling 302 aneurysms) (10).

**Aspect ratio (AR)**

AR is the ratio of the maximum dimension of the dome of the aneurysm to the width of its neck (Figure 1A). In a study of 201 aneurysms (11), high AR correlated with risk of rupture in all locations. The authors observe that the area of neck is the limiting factor to blood inflow to the aneurysm.

A larger study of 532 patients (127 unruptured, 405 ruptured) (12) echoes these findings. Mean size difference between both groups was not significant. The mean AR of 1.3 for unruptured lesions was well below the 3.4 for those that ruptured.

**Size ratio (SR)**

SR is the ratio of the maximal diameter of the aneurysm to that of the parent vessel (Figure 1B). Forty successive patients (UA n=24, RA n=16) with similar risk factor profiles, genders and parent vessel diameter were studied prospectively (13). SR was greater in the RA group [RA 4.08 (SD 0.54) vs. UA 2.57 (SD 0.24)] and was the only predictive factor when subjected to logistic regression analysis. In a larger cohort of patients presenting with SAH (n=854) (14.6% had more than one aneurysm, adding 180 UAs to study), diameter and SR were both significantly higher in the RA group (8) (P<0.001 for both parameters). However, in a subgroup analysis of aneurysms less than 5 mm (n=236 RA, n=138 UA), SR was significantly greater in the RA group [RA 3.2 (SD 1.2), UA 2.2 (SD 1.2), P<0.01]. These findings suggest greater risk of rupture with aneurysms arising from small arteries.
Parent vessel geometry

The configuration of the aneurysm and its feeding vessel(s) is significant. ACom aneurysms were related to the presence of a dominant A1 (see Figure 2A,B) (57% vs. 14% in control group, P=0.01), or a hypoplastic contralateral A1 [24% vs. 6% in control group, P=0.01], which may have led to increased haemodynamic forces at the ACom (14) (n=51, ACom aneurysms vs. 50 matched controls). Seventy-eight percent of aneurysms were filling exclusively from a single A1. These findings were reproduced by two further studies (15,16).

Kasuya et al. (15) looked at the angles between A1 and A2 segments of the ACA as a possible cause of aneurysm formation in 23 patients with ACom aneurysms and normoplastic A1s matched to 21 controls. Aneurysm formation was linked to smaller A1–A2 angles (mean 103° vs. 142.2° in the control group) as smaller angles project the impingement point onto the ACom rather than the A2 segment, thus inflicting higher haemodynamic stresses (Figure 2C,D).

Following observations of the previous groups, Lazzaro and colleagues (17) studied the role of circle of Willis (CoW) anatomical variants (with non-symmetrical blood supply) in aneurysm rupture of 113 patients (75% ruptured) treated for ACom or PCom aneurysms. CoW variants around the ACom complex, defined as hypoplasia or absence of the A1 segment contralateral to the aneurysm, were seen in 46.9%
of patients suffering rupture compared with 29.6% in the unruptured group.

**Computational fluid dynamics (CFD)**

Though often used in industry as an analysis tool, CFD can also be used as a modelling tool in medical applications where in vivo testing is not possible. CFD numerically approximates the solution to the Navier-Stokes equations which govern fluid motion.

*Sensitivity and limitations of a CFD approach*

Although CFD sensitivity has been validated (18), this was on the basis of multiple approximations. Watton et al. (19) mentions the computationally expensive yet important role of cyclic stretch in blood vessels on accurate simulations. Vessel wall boundary is simulated as “no-slip” whereas glycalyx coated endothelial cells (ECs) allow flow even at the wall (20). The lack of patient specific inflow velocity measurements is a problem in most models (21). Mesh size also effects the solution; however, mesh convergence studies can be used to ensure appropriate mesh quality. Castro et al. (22) describe the challenge of accurately modelling ACom aneurysms especially around the neck as the spatial resolution of current modalities is often too low. Correlating haemodynamics and aneurysm rupture has limitations, as geometry of the aneurysm is the only information available from imaging (histological wall composition is not accounted for in simulation) (23). Finally, the impact on simulation of any change in aneurysm morphology post rupture is not factored in.

**Flow characteristics and rupture risk**

Cebraal et al. (24) performed CFD on 210 aneurysms (127 ruptured, 60%), establishing a classification based on the following four haemodynamic patterns: flow complexity, flow stability, inflow concentration and flow impingement zone compared to area of aneurysm. RA were 4.7× more likely to have complex flow (P<0.0001), 2.7× more likely to have unstable flow (P=0.0018), 4.0× more likely to have a concentrated inflow (P<0.0001), and 3.0× more likely to have a small impingement area (below 50% of aneurysm area) (P=0.0006). In addition, complex and unstable flow was seen more frequently in ACom aneurysms. In a more recent study (n=119 aneurysms, 38 RA and 81 UA), most RAs (61%) had complex flow patterns with multiple vortices, in contrast to most UAs (75%) with simple flow patterns and a single vortex (25).

Castro et al. (26) analysed 26 patients (18 ruptured, 69%) with ACom aneurysms. They too noted that aneurysms with small impingement area were more likely to rupture (83% vs. 63%). Seventy-seven percent of aneurysms with asymmetric A1 inflow had ruptured compared to 25% of those with symmetric inflow. The complicated flow patterns, with the more prevalent asymmetrical A1 flows, may explain the higher ACom rupture rates. They observe that the greater the asymmetry in flow the higher the rupture rate. This has also been observed clinically, as described above.

**Wall shear stress (WSS) and aneurysm growth**

*Aneurysm initiation mechanisms*

To study haemodynamics before and after aneurysm formation in three ACom aneurysms (27), a mesh was created with and without an aneurysm (idealized). All patients had a dominant A1. All reconstructions before aneurysm formation showed areas of high WSS where the aneurysm later developed relative to areas of lower WSS surrounding this region.

This approach was reproduced in the ICA (n=3) to investigate the correlation between known aneurysm location and a new index characterising WSS variation (28). WSS in the artery before and after aneurysm formation was low compared to the rest of the vessel. At the locus of ICA aneurysm formation, the authors observed a “local spatial minimum” WSS, indicating a zone of stagnation. This highlights that high WSS initiation mechanism suggested by Castro et al. (27) is not the only mechanism to consider. In three patients imaged pre- and post-aneurysm formation, increased WSS with high spatial WSS gradient were found at all sites where aneurysms later developed (29). Aneurysm bleb formation is also associated with areas of high wall-shear-stress within the aneurysm dome (30).

*Mechanisms of growth*

Imaging follow-up over time can be used to better understand haemodynamics seen in growing aneurysms. Three distinct studies (31-33) involving ten patients with aneurysms in different locations found that low WSS was seen in areas of growth, and when imaged more than twice
the trend of reducing WSS over time continued (32). In
the largest cohort (n=7) imaged with magnetic resonance
angiography (MRA), the mean aneurysm sac displacement
of 0.19 mm (SD 0.34, range: 0.26–1.96 mm) was smaller
than the size of one voxel, raising questions about the
sensitivity of these measures (31). However, areas where
displacement exceeded 0.3 mm had a significantly lower
mean WSS than those that had grown lessor amounts [0.76
Pa (SD 1.51) vs. 2.55 Pa (SD 3.65) respectively, P≤0.001].

Using idealised models, different diameters and neck
width were used to create a range of ARs (1–2, 2.5, and
3.0) (34). WSS was high at the neck area where the flow
impinged, but low in the dome for all dimensions. WSS
and pressure both decreased with increasing diameter,
correlating well with findings of other research groups in
this section. With increasing AR, WSS decreased whilst
pressure increased in the aneurysm, leading the authors to
infer that “low WSS and high pressure ... may play important
roles in the fragile change of the aneurysm and its final rupture”.

Two aneurysms picked to closely match average
characteristics of 40 aneurysms studied in a previous
paper (35) were used to study the link between SR and
WSS: one basilar tip (terminal artery), and one sidewall
artery aneurysm. With constant aneurysm morphology,
different SRs were modelled by enlarging either the
vessel or the aneurysm to achieve ratios ranging from 1 to
3.5. Findings reproduced in both cases showed that with
increasing SR flow became more complex, with multiple
vortices. Low SR led to a stable single vortex flow in
both aneurysms. The area of low WSS (less than 0.5 Pa)
increased dramatically when SR increased beyond 2.

**Cohort WSS studies**

Takao et al. (36) studied 50 internal carotid/PCom
aneurysms and 50 MCA aneurysms followed-up (13
ruptured during the study time, 6 in PComs and 7 in
MCA vasculature). Low WSS was associated with rupture
but only statistically significant in ICA PCom bifurcation
aneurysms. Small numbers of RA may explain lack of
statistical significance in MCA cohort. In a larger cohort of
exclusively MCA aneurysms (n=106, 43 RA, 63 UA), low
WSS was independently associated with rupture status after
multivariate analysis (mean WSS RA =7.19 Pa vs. UA =9.55
Pa, P=0.0001) (37). In RAs, WSS values were lower within
the aneurysm than in the parent vessels, whereas in UAs,
they were comparable (n=119 aneurysms, 38 ruptured and
81 unruptured) (25). RAs had lower WSS magnitudes and
larger areas of low WSS than UAs.

Using MRA and MR 4D fluid dynamics data, aneurysms
in all common locations were analyzed (139 UA and 13
RA) (38). Low WSS and high flow variability were seen in
similar percentages between RA and UA (92% and
89% respectively). However, WSS magnitude overall was
significantly lower in ruptured cohort [RA 0.49 (SD=0.12)
vs. UA 0.64 (SD 0.15), P<0.01]. Though only a small
number of RAs were studied, aneurysm specific boundary
conditions could be applied with this imaging modality.

**Hemodynamics and the pathology of aneurysm
development**

Meng et al. (39) hypothesized that aneurysmal development
is a three-way interactive process involving aneurysmal
growth, flow conditions and pathobiology, all of which
are driven by haemodynamics. Although geometry and
haemodynamics are considered mutually causal, the
evolution of cerebral aneurysm begins with the interplay
of geometry and flow conditions, the driving forces of
aneurysm remodelling and growth through pathobiology.
This dynamic process leads to geometric aneurysmal growth
changes, resulting in either stability or a haemodynamic
stress much greater than wall strength leading to rupture.

Elevated WSS may trigger the aneurysm initiation
process with changes including: increased leukocyte
adhesion, damage to ECs, increased matrix metalloprotease
activity (40). High WSS triggered a predominantly mural
cell mediated pathway leading to thin walled hypocellular
lesions, described as type I aneurysms (39).

Cerebral vascular beds are exposed to higher WSS
than elsewhere in the body. The CoW has many
bifurcations with zones exposed to high WSS under normal
physiological flow (41), making high WSS a likely aneurysm
initiation mechanism.

A uniform shear stress field aligns ECs in the direction
of flow, while low WSS and changing flow direction
cause loss of EC orientation (42). Low WSS also switches
EC phenotype from atheroprotective to atherogenic
increasing EC turnover rate. Low WSS also causes
stagnation, accumulation of red blood cells and adhesion of
platelets (43). This is closely followed by infiltration of
white blood cells and deposition of fibrin (44). Hypoxia may
also be an aggravating factor in zones of stagnation (41).
Meng et al. (39) suggested an inflammatory cell-mediated
pathway induced by low WSS and high oscillatory shear index led to thick-walled atherosclerotic lesions, or type II aneurysms.

A review of 71 aneurysms retrieved post-surgery showed RAs often featured a destroyed EC layer, replaced by blood cells and fibrin (45). No smooth muscle cells (SMCs) or type IV collagen were present, and inflammatory cells such as macrophages and leukocytes had colonized the area. In most cases of severe EC disruption, vessel wall integrity was compromised.

Ruptured lesions tend to have decellularized aneurysm wall with matrix degeneration and high levels of inflammation (46). The authors hypothesize that inflammation is a result of wall degeneration rather than its cause, with inflammation triggered by a dysfunctional EC layer, due to abnormal haemodynamic stresses. Macrophages and vascular SMCs (VSMCs) are the main inflammatory components driving the pathogenesis of intracranial aneurysms (IA), with recent studies linking macrophage infiltration with increased aneurysm progression (47).

SMC apoptosis is seen in aneurysm wall (48), along with elevated concentrations of serum elastase (49) and matrix metalloproteases responsible for intracellular matrix degeneration (50). Decreased numbers of ECs, degeneration of the internal elastic lamina and thinning of the media were also reported by Stehbens (51). On a genetic level Wei et al. (52) discussed the regulatory role of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) on cell proliferation and apoptosis of vascular SMCs, which in turn may contribute to the progression of IA. Hormones have also been implicated: Wáng et al. demonstrated the protective role oestrogen plays on EC layers through the local delivery of 17β-estradiol and subsequent improved endothelial function (53).

Other epidemiological risk factors include age and smoking; smoking appears to affect every step in the cascade of events leading to SAH from hemodynamic stress and endothelial dysfunction to aneurysm wall weakening and rupture (54). Interestingly, aneurysmal SAH has a bimodal age distribution pattern (55). Jung observed that advancing age and vascular risk factors are likely to account for the older age peak whereas the intrinsic wall defects contribute to the younger-age peak.

Conclusions

The link between anatomy and haemodynamics is key to understanding the natural history of an aneurysm and the related risk of rupture. Anatomically, though simple measurements like diameter are useful, neck size (AR) and parent vessel diameter (SR) provide more accurate measures of risk, especially in small aneurysms. From a haemodynamic perspective RA tend to have low WSS, but additional factors are also present. Not all aneurysms followed up increase in size (56). Thus, analysing aneurysm growth exclusively in the context of WSS is unlikely to reliably predict rupture risk. Currently, no single haemodynamic stress mechanism can account for aneurysm formation; rather it is likely that different factors affect different stages of growth. Research to-date suggests the solution will be though combining haemodynamic and anatomical factors to improve this risk-stratification process.

In conclusion, CFD analysis may not be the sole solution to aneurysmal risk-assessment, but may uncover geometrical and morphological characteristics affecting the rupture risk of an aneurysm. Indeed, if the relationship between pathological processes, WSS and aneurysm sac geometry is elucidated, the simple metric analysis mentioned above could be used more for decision making, negating the need for lengthy CFD analyses in everyday clinical practice.
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