The influence of CT and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) bone density on quantitative \([^{18}\text{F}]\) sodium fluoride PET
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Background: \([^{18}\text{F}]\) sodium fluoride PET/CT provides quantitative measures of bone metabolic activity expressed by the parameters standardised uptake value (SUV) and bone plasma clearance (\(K_i\)) that correlate with measurements of bone formation rate obtained by bone biopsy with double tetracycline labelling. Both SUV and \(K_i\) relate to the tracer uptake in each millilitre of tissue. In general, the bone region of interest (ROI) includes both mineralised bone (generally with a high concentration of \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}\) and bone marrow (with a much lower concentration), suggesting that correcting SUV and \(K_i\) for volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD) and measuring them with respect to the tracer uptake in each gram of bone mineral might improve the correlation with the findings of bone biopsy. As a first test of this hypothesis, we looked for positive correlations between SUV and \(K_i\) values with CT and DXA bone mineral density (BMD) parameters measured in the same ROI.

Methods: A retrospective reanalysis was performed of 63 lumbar spine \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}\) PET/CT scans acquired in four earlier studies. The quantitative PET parameters SUV and \(K_i\) were measured in L1–L4 and Hounsfield units (HU) measured on the CT scans in the same ROI. Spine BMD data was also obtained from DXA scans in the form of areal BMD and used to derive the bone mineral apparent density (BMAD, an estimate of vBMD). Scatter plots were drawn of SUV and \(K_i\) against HU, BMAD and areal BMD and the Spearman rank correlation coefficients derived for each plot.

Results: All correlations were positive and statistically significant. Correlations were highest for HU (SUV: \(R_s =0.513, P<0.0001; K_i: R_s =0.429, P=0.0005\)) and lowest for areal BMD (SUV: \(R_s =0.353, P=0.005; K_i: R_s =0.274, P=0.03\)).

Conclusions: The results demonstrate significant positive correlations between SUV and \(K_i\) and vBMD measurements in the form of HU from CT or BMAD and areal BMD from DXA. These findings justify further exploration of the relationship between SUV and \(K_i\) \([^{18}\text{F}]\text{NaF}\) PET/CT measurements and CT or DXA measurements of vBMD to examine whether normalization for bone density might improve their correlation with bone metabolic activity as measured by bone biopsy.
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Introduction

Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging with fluorine-18-labelled sodium fluoride ($^{18}$FNaF) using hybrid PET and computed tomography (CT) dual-modality (PET/CT) systems is a valuable research tool for examining the quantitative changes in regional bone metabolism that occur in metabolic and metastatic bone disease (1,2). Clinically, $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT imaging is indicated in the identification and assessment of bony metastasis, the evaluation of benign bone disease, in orthopaedic applications and paediatric bone diseases (3).

Bone biopsy with double tetracycline labelling is the gold standard for the direct assessment of bone turnover activity, but is invasive, costly and restricted to the iliac crest (4,5). The cheapest and most widely used method for assessing bone turnover is the measurement of biochemical markers in serum and urine (6,7). However, bone turnover markers provide information on the integrated response across the whole skeleton, and cannot give insight into changes at specific sites such as the spine and hip. Quantitative imaging using $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT provides a unique way of studying bone metabolism that reflects regional bone blood flow and osteoblastic activity and compliments these other methods (8,9).

The simplest method of quantifying $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT studies is to measure standardised uptake values (SUV) by normalising the $^{18}$FNaF concentration in the region of interest (ROI) for injected activity and body weight $[\text{SUV} = \text{Tissue Activity Concentration (kBq/mL)} \times \text{Body Weight (kg)} / \text{Injected Activity (MBq)}]$ (10). An alternative way of quantifying $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT is the 60-minute dynamic scan method described by Hawkins (11). The bone time-activity curve and the arterial input function are analysed to measure the $^{18}$FNaF plasma clearance to bone mineral ($K_i$) (units: mL·min$^{-1}$·mL$^{-1}$). Both SUV and $K_i$ measurements relate to the $^{18}$FNaF activity in each millilitre of tissue and, given the limited spatial resolution of PET images, the bone ROI includes both mineralised bone and bone marrow. However, by the end of a 60-minute $^{18}$FNaF dynamic PET scan the contribution of the tracer uptake in bone mineral to the SUV and $K_i$ measurements is at its highest, while the contribution of tracer in bone marrow has fallen to negligibly low levels. This suggests that correcting SUV and $K_i$ for volumetric bone mineral density (vBMD) obtained by either quantitative CT (QCT) (12) or dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) (13), and making measurements that relate to the tracer uptake in each gram of bone mineral instead of each millilitre of volume might improve their correlation with the findings of bone biopsy.

As a first exploration of this hypothesis, we performed a retrospective reanalysis of lumbar spine $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT scans from four previously published studies to measure SUV and $K_i$ in a large number of subjects and study the correlation with Hounsfield units (HU) measurements on CT scans in the same ROI, and bone mineral apparent density (BMAD) (14) and areal BMD measurements from DXA scans in the same vertebrae. A positive correlation between SUV and $K_i$ values with CT and DXA measurements of bone density would justify further exploration of the relationship to examine whether normalization for bone density gives a more accurate representation of bone metabolic activity as measured by bone biopsy.

Methods

Subjects

Lumbar spine $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT scans from four previously published studies (15-18) were recovered from archive for retrospective reanalysis. All the studies were approved by the local ethics committee and the Administration of Radioactive Substances Advisory Committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Study 1 consisted of 20 postmenopausal women who at the time of screening had undergone treatment for osteoporosis for at least 3 years with either weekly 70-mg alendronic acid (n=11) or weekly 35-mg risedronate sodium (n=9) (15). Baseline 60-minute dynamic spine $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT scans were performed before bisphosphonate treatment was discontinued, and follow-up scans were performed after 6 and 12 months off therapy. Of the 20 baseline scans, 16 were successfully recovered from archive.

Study 2 consisted of 12 healthy postmenopausal women with no history of metabolic bone disease apart from two with untreated osteoporosis (16). Each subject underwent a 60-minute dynamic $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT scan at the lumbar spine followed two weeks later by a second scan of the proximal femur. Of the 12 spine scans, 9 were recovered from archive.

Study 3 consisted of 27 untreated postmenopausal women with osteopenia who were randomised to either teriparatide with calcium and vitamin D (n=13) or calcium and vitamin D only (n=14) (17). A 60-minute dynamic $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT scan of the hips followed by a 5-minute static
scan of the lumbar spine starting approximately 65 minutes after injection was performed at baseline and after a 12-week treatment. Twenty-five of the twenty-seven baseline spine static PET/CT scans were recovered from archive.

Study 4 consisted of two groups of subjects (18). Study 4A consisted of 12 osteoporotic postmenopausal women, while Study 4B consisted of 7 patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) (6 M, 1 F). All subjects had a 60-minute dynamic $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT scan of the lumbar spine followed by a 30-minute whole-body scan from skull to mid-femur. Nine of the dynamic spine scans in Study 4A and four from Study 4B were recovered from archive.

$^{18}$FNaF positron emission tomography

All PET/CT scans were acquired using the same GE Discovery PET/CT scanner (General Electric Medical Systems, Waukesha, WI, USA) with a 15.4-cm axial field of view. Where the primary aim was to study the lumbar spine, subjects were injected with 90 MBq $^{18}$FNaF (Studies 1, 2 & 4); where the aim was to study the hip, the dose was doubled to 180 MBq (Study 3). Each 60-minute dynamic scan consisted of 24×5 s, 4×30 s, and 14×240 s frames. Images were reconstructed using filtered back-projection using a 6.3-mm Hanning filter and were corrected for scattered radiation. Low-dose CT scans were performed for attenuation correction. All images were obtained with the subject lying supine and secured with a velcro strap to avoid any movement between the PET and CT scans. The image volume was made up of 47 axial slices. The resolution of each axial slice was 512×512 for CT (with a pixel size of 0.997 mm × 0.997 mm × 3.27 mm in the x, y and z directions) and 128×128 for PET (with a pixel size of 1.664 mm × 1.664 mm × 3.27 mm in the x, y and z directions). Regular quality control and calibration scans were performed on both the PET and CT systems throughout the period of the studies.

During each PET/CT scan, venous blood samples were collected at 30, 40, 50 and 60 minutes after injection—times when arterial and venous concentrations of $^{18}$F NaF in plasma are equal (19). The plasma concentrations at these time points were used to define the terminal exponential up to 60 minutes post injection and the full arterial plasma input function estimated using a semi-population method (20). In this method, a population residual curve, representing the bolus peak and early fast exponentials and derived from the mean curve obtained in ten postmenopausal women by direct arterial sampling (19), was scaled for injected activity and added to the terminal exponential curve to generate the arterial input function used for kinetic analysis.

PET scan analysis

The $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT scans were analysed using the static scan method described by Siddique (21,22). In this method, the $^{18}$FNaF bone plasma clearance $K_i$ is estimated from the slope of a Patlak plot constructed from just two data points: the first point is obtained from the regional $^{18}$FNaF activity concentration measured in a single static scan acquired between 45 and 75 minutes after injection, and the second point is derived from the average value of the intercept of the Patlak plot obtained in a study of 36 postmenopausal women (22). In the present study, the static scan was generated from either the final 240-s frame of the 60-minute dynamic spine scan (Studies 1, 2 & 4) or the static scan of the spine acquired 65 minutes after injection (Study 3).

Figure 1 shows representative images illustrating our method of scan analysis. For each axial CT slice of the L1–L4 lumbar vertebrae a ROI was set manually within the central trabecular region taking care to avoid the surrounding cortical bone and an identical ROI automatically overlaid on the corresponding PET image. For the PET scans, there were typically four axial slices available within each vertebral body after the endplates were excluded. Static scan $K_i$ values were calculated using Siddique's method assuming a Patlak plot intercept of 0.22 and a mean rate constant for the efflux of $^{18}$FNaF tracer from bone of 0.006 min$^{-1}$ (22). SUVs were calculated from the mean concentration of $^{18}$FNaF activity in the bone ROI. Mean values of $K_i$ and SUV were calculated within each PET ROI and mean HU within the corresponding CT ROI. The $K_i$, SUV and HU values for the four vertebral body ROIs within each PET/CT scan field of view were averaged to generate a single lumbar spine $K_i$, SUV and HU result for each scan.

Measurements of bone mineral density (BMD)

Lumbar spine DXA scans (L1–L4) were performed in all four studies (Hologic Discovery; Hologic Inc., Marlborough, MA) and used to measure the areal BMD and bone mineral content (BMC). The total projected area of the L1–L4 vertebrae was divided by the length of the vertebral column between the T12/L1 and L4/L5 disc spaces to calculate the mean width of the L1–L4 lumbar
vertebrae. Bone mineral apparent density (BMAD), an estimate of the mean volumetric BMD of the lumbar spine, was calculated by dividing the BMC of the L1–L4 vertebrae by their estimated volume on the assumption that the vertebral column has cylindrical geometry (14).

HU measurements from the CT scans acquired for attenuation correction of the PET scans and obtained in the same ROI used for the SUV and $K_i$ analysis were used as an estimate of volumetric BMD in trabecular bone in the lumbar vertebral bodies and averaged over the L1–L4 vertebrae.

**Statistical analysis**

Scatter plots were drawn of $K_i$ and SUV values for each subject plotted against the CT-HU measurements and the DXA-BMAD and areal BMD measurements. The statistical significance of each relationship was evaluated using Spearman's rank correlation test. A two-tailed P value <0.05 was taken to be statistically significant.

**Results**

At total of 63 $^{18}$FNaF PET/CT scans from the four studies were recovered from archive. One subject from Study 3 had degenerative disease in the lumbar spine affecting her DXA scan results and was excluded, leaving a total of 62 subjects for statistical analysis. Full demographic details for each study were published previously (15-18). Results of
the Spearman rank correlation tests when SUV was plotted against HU, BMAD and BMD, ranged from $R_S=0.513$ ($P<0.0001$) to $R_S=0.353$ ($P=0.005$) (Figure 2). The results when $K_i$ was plotted against HU, BMAD and BMD ranged from $R_S=0.429$ ($P=0.0005$) to $R_S=0.274$ ($P=0.03$) (Figure 3).

**Discussion**

The results of this study confirm our prediction of correlations between the $[^{18}F]$NaF PET volumetric parameters SUV and $K_i$ measured in vertebral trabecular bone and bone density measurements in the same vertebrae made using CT and DXA. Figure 2 demonstrates significant positive correlations between the mean SUV measured in the L1–L4 lumbar vertebrae and the three different measures of bone density. The correlation was strongest for the CT-HU measurements, and weakest for the DXA measurements of areal BMD. These findings are in keeping with results reported by Cachovan et al. (23) which demonstrated a statistically significant correlation ($r=0.678$, $P<0.0001$, $n=50$) between lumbar vertebra measurements of SUV obtained in $[^{99m}Tc]$TcDPD SPECT/CT images and the HU measurements obtained from the associated CT scans. Although a direct comparison cannot be made due to the different modality and radiotracer used to derive SUV, the bone imaging tracers, $[^{99m}Tc]$TcDPD and $[^{18}F]$NaF, bind to sites of newly mineralising bone by similar mechanisms.

Figure 3 shows similar correlations between the Hawkins model’s bone metabolic parameter $K_i$ and the same three bone density parameters, with the correlations again being strongest for HU and weakest for DXA areal BMD. This is in contrast to the results of measurements in adult female mini pigs reported by Piert et al. (8) in which no significant correlation was found between CT measurements of volumetric BMD calibrated with a hydroxyapatite phantom and averaged over cortical and trabecular bone, and $K_i$ measured with $[^{18}F]$NaF PET. This difference in results could be due to the small number of mini pigs in the
BMD study (n=5), or to the inclusion of both cortical and trabecular bone in the CT measurements. In the present study, the HU measurements were made exclusively in trabecular bone.

In both Figures 2 and 3 the Spearman correlation coefficient between the PET parameters and BMAD is intermediate in value between those for HU and DXA-BMD. A DXA scan is a two-dimensional (2D) projection scan that measures areal BMD in units of g/cm² and provides no information about bone thickness in the anteroposterior direction. BMAD provides an estimate of volumetric bone density (units: g/cm³) on the assumption that the missing measurement of bone thickness is highly correlated with the width of bone on the 2D DXA scan. It therefore provides information that is intermediate between DXA areal BMD and a QCT measurement of true volumetric BMD, but its reliability is dependent on the degree of correlation between bone thickness and bone width.

It is conventional to interpret measurements of [¹⁸F]NaF bone plasma clearance and SUV in the skeleton as indicators of bone metabolic activity (8,24). Although the correlation coefficients in Figures 2 and 3 are weak, the present investigation demonstrates that measurements of BMD by QCT or DXA are significant covariates of SUV and Kᵢ that should be taken into account in future studies. The present study included all the subjects for whom PET/CT scan data were available and were individuals with a variety of bone turnover states. Subjects in Study 1 were postmenopausal women on long-term antiresorptive therapy for osteoporosis in whom bone turnover was suppressed (25,26). Consistent with this, a study of the effect of 6-month treatment with risedronate on quantitative [¹⁸F]NaF PET showed an 18% decrease in lumbar spine Kᵢ (27).

Subjects in studies 2, 3 and 4A were untreated postmenopausal women expected to have raised bone turnover compared with premenopausal women (5,28,29). A [¹⁸F]NaF PET study in untreated postmenopausal women found a statistically significant increase in levels of the bone formation marker serum bone specific alkaline phosphatase in subjects with osteoporosis compared with those with a normal DXA T-score (30). Although measurements of lumbar spine Kᵢ were statistically significantly lower by 17% in the osteoporotic women compared with those with normal T-scores, after correction for DXA-BMAD, the results for osteoporotic women were statistically significantly higher by 21% than those in women with normal T-scores. This finding is consistent with the conclusions of the present study which indicate that bone density measurements have a significant role in the interpretation of quantitative [¹⁸F]NaF PET measurements of bone metabolic activity.

Subjects in Study 4B were patients with CKD Stage 5 on haemodialysis (18). Renal osteodystrophy is a complex condition with a spectrum of bone turnover states that are difficult to differentiate without a bone biopsy, and range from adynamic bone disease, where bone turnover is greatly reduced, to high turnover bone disease, due to the development of secondary hyperparathyroidism (24,31).

Although it is plausible to anticipate a correlation between quantitative parameters of [¹⁸F]NaF uptake in bone and measurements of volumetric BMD, it is unclear whether bone density is the optimum parameter for the purpose of normalizing SUV and Kᵢ to the amount of bone present in each millilitre of tissue. Within vertebral bodies, the trabecular bone presents a vast surface area on which the processes of bone remodelling are continually occurring. In the analysis of bone biopsy samples with double tetracycline labelling, measurements are made of the surface area of trabeculae, the area of actively mineralising surface, and the mineral apposition rate, so that bone formation rate is normalised to the total area of bone surface. There is therefore a rationale for believing that normalising SUV and Kᵢ to the surface area of trabeculae within the PET ROI might be the most appropriate method of expressing the bone metabolic activity in each millilitre of tissue. However, given the absence of a practical method of measuring bone trabecular surface area in the spine, BMD is the most suitable substitute.

This study has a number of limitations. A comprehensive study of the correlations between [¹⁸F]NaF SUV and Kᵢ measurements and BMD would require a bone biopsy in every subject to verify the association with bone metabolic activity. The ROIs were drawn manually by a user who visually identified the trabecular regions of the L1–L4 lumbar vertebrae on the [¹⁸F]NaF PET/CT scans (Figure 1B). This process is subject to human error, and could have been improved using techniques such as greyscale thresholding to differentiate between cortical and trabecular bone. The PET scans were reconstructed using filtered back-projection rather than an iterative algorithm, as this was the advice we received at the time the original investigations were performed (15-18). At the time the PET/CT scans were performed, no phantom was available to calibrate the HU measurements and convert them into measurements of volumetric BMD. In QCT, it is usual to scan each subject with a phantom which contains
standards with known densities of hydroxyapatite to derive a linear calibration plot between HU and volumetric BMD. Recently, asynchronous QCT has been developed which does not require the presence of a phantom during scanning, but enables CT scans to be retrospectively calibrated to measure volumetric BMD by providing a single calibration curve that is applied to all previous scans (32). Asynchronous QCT is recommended for quantifying BMD for PET/CT studies as it avoids the complication of having to acquire the PET images in the presence of a calibration phantom. Had asynchronous QCT been available for the present study, then the only thing that would change in Figures 2A and 3A would be a rescaling of the data points on the horizontal axis. The order of ranking of the data, and thus the Spearman correlation coefficient and its statistical significance, would be unchanged. Hence, the absence of a calibration curve to convert HU into volumetric BMD does not change the statistical significance of the results reported here. Finally, the data presented here were derived by pooling [18]FNaF PET/CT scans from a number of separate studies to obtain the largest available set of results for statistical analysis. [18]FNaF PET and the associated CT scans were recovered from archive for 63 out of the total of 78 subjects potentially available (80%). Although this is a heterogeneous group of subjects, there is a trend for SUV and $K_i$ to correlate positively with bone density in the majority of the separate studies plotted in Figures 2 and 3. The exception is the areal BMD plots for Studies 1 and 3 in Figures 2C and 3C, where the range of areal BMD values was narrowed by their restriction to osteoporotic and osteopenic subjects defined according to their DXA T-scores.

**Conclusions**

The results of this study demonstrate that [18]FNaF PET/CT measurements of lumbar spine SUV and the Hawkins’ model bone metabolic parameter $K_i$ correlate with CT measurements of HU and DXA measurements of BMAD and areal BMD. This suggests that normalization of PET volumetric measures of bone tracer uptake and bone plasma clearance to the mass of mineralised bone present in each millilitre of tissue might correlate better with the gold standard of bone biopsy and therefore may be a more appropriate way of expressing bone metabolic activity than the conventional normalization to tissue volume. The study further shows that bone density measurements obtained by QCT or DXA are a significant covariate of quantitative radionuclide measurements of bone metabolic activity that should be considered when analysing the results of future studies. These results justify further studies to examine the effect of combining PET and bone density measurements when assessing bone metabolism in comparison to the gold standard of bone biopsy.
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