Diagnostic value of single-source dual-energy spectral computed tomography in differentiating parotid gland tumors: initial results
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Background: An accurate preoperative diagnosis that helps distinguish between benign and malignant parotid gland tumors is very important because the results strongly affect surgical procedures. We aimed to evaluate the value of single-source dual-energy computed tomography (ssDECT) in differentiating malignant from benign parotid gland tumors.

Methods: Fifty patients underwent enhanced neck ssDECT scanning before surgery. The images were analyzed using the gemstone spectral imaging (GSI) viewer software.

Results: Fifty-two tumors (43 patients) were confirmed histopathologically, comprising of 12 pleomorphic adenomas (PAs), 24 Warthin tumors (WTs) (15 patients), and 16 malignant tumors (MTs). The iodine concentration (IC), normalized iodine concentration to common carotid artery (NICA) and slope value of the spectral curve (λHU) of the WTs were significantly higher than those of MTs and PAs (all P<0.05). The optimal IC, NICA and λHU thresholds for differentiating PAs from MTs were 0.91 mg/mL, 0.15 and 1.09, respectively, achieving sensitivities of 91.7%, 91.7% and 91.7%, specificities of 95.0%, 85.0% and 95.0%, and accuracies of 94.2%, 86.5% and 94.2%, respectively for distinguishing PAs from MTs. The optimal IC, NICA and λHU thresholds for distinguishing WTs from MTs were 1.46 mg/mL, 0.20 and 1.72, achieving sensitivities of 91.7%, 95.8% and 91.7%, and specificities of 89.3%, 85.7% and 89.3%, respectively. The accuracy was 90.4%, 90.4% and 90.4%, respectively.

Conclusions: The parameters of ssDECT in enhanced CT scans are useful in the differential diagnosis of parotid tumors.
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Introduction

Salivary gland tumors account for approximately 3–6% globally and 2% in China of all head and neck tumors (1). The parotid gland is the largest salivary gland. Most parotid tumors present as slow growing, painless masses. An accurate preoperative differential diagnosis between benign and malignant tumors (MTs) is very important because the results strongly affect surgical procedures. In patients with benign tumors, the surgical procedure may be limited to superficial parotidectomy, while patients with MTs usually undergo total parotidectomy with possible facial nerve sacrifice. The pathological type of parotid gland tumor is very complex. Fine needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) is helpful in differentiating a MTs from a benign tumor. However, it is an invasive examination, and has the risk of facial nerve injury (2). Therefore, noninvasive imaging is very important for differential diagnosis. Various noninvasive imaging modalities including ultrasound (US), computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have been used to diagnose parotid gland tumors. In China, US is widely accepted as the first choice for the assessment of parotid glands tumors. There have been many reports on the differential diagnosis of parotid tumors by US (3,4). However, it is often difficult to scan the deep lobe because of the acoustic shadow of the mandible, and it is not possible to visualize the intracranial or skull base extent of the mass, and the results are closely related to the operator’s experience. MRI is a good choice for evaluation of suspected parotid gland tumors. It can clearly identify not only the tumor’s location and extent, but also its relationship with adjacent structures. Some studies reported that dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) and intravoxel incoherent motion (IVIM) were useful in the differential diagnosis of parotid gland tumors (5-8). Nevertheless, its shortcomings are limited availability, high cost and long examination time. Previous CT imaging studies were focused on morphological characteristics such as margin, size, location, density and enhancement patterns. Several studies have investigated the value of dual-or multi-phase CT and CT perfusion in differentiating malignant parotid gland tumors from benign tumors (9-11). They found that the percentage washout ratios in contrast material-enhanced CT may reflect various characters of parotid gland tumors and assist in differentiating benign from MTs (9-11). However, conventional enhanced CT scanning can only provide two or multi-phase enhanced patterns of the lesions and it does not provide quantification parameters in parotid gland lesions.

Recently, a new single-source dual-energy computed tomography (ssDECT) technology was introduced, which uses dual energy x-rays produced by the rapid switching between 80 and 140 kVp within a rotation. It creates both material decomposition (MD) images (e.g., iodine and water-based MD images) and monochromatic spectral images, with energies ranging from 40 to 140 keV. The MD images can be used to estimate quantitatively the iodine concentration (IC) and water concentration (WC) in lesions and normal tissues (12,13). The spectral HU curve can be acquired using spectral CT. Several studies have shown that DECT scanning has significant potential in differentiating MTs from benign tumors in the lungs, liver, pancreas, thyroid and so on (14-20). Previous study has shown that spectral CT texture analysis with machine learning for tissue classification contributes to improved diagnostic accuracy (21). However, to our knowledge, no previous study has discussed the differentiation of parotid gland tumors with the parameters from DECT. Therefore, the purpose of our study was to quantitatively evaluate the diagnostic value of single-source dual-energy spectral CT in the differential diagnosis of parotid gland tumors (3).

Methods

Patients

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of our hospital and all patients were provided with written informed consent. Our inclusion criteria were as follows: (I) primary parotid gland tumor; (II) no contrast agent allergy; (III) no other MTs in the body. Fifty patients with suspected parotid tumors underwent DECT before surgery from January 2014 to January 2017. All patients underwent surgery after the imaging diagnosis and the final diagnosis was made by histopathological examination.

Imaging technique

All patients were scanned using a GE Discovery CT750 HD scanner (Discovery CT750 HD; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA) using the single-source, dual-energy gemstone spectral imaging (GSI) mode with a fast tube voltage switching between 80 and 140 kVp. The scanning range was from the skull base to the thoracic inlet. The scanning parameters were as follows: thickness, 0.625 mm; tube current fix at 260 mA; rotation speed, 0.7 seconds
per rotation, helical pitch, 0.984. All patients received a
total of 90 mL of non-ionic contrast agent (300 mgI/mL;
Ultravist™; Bayer, Germany) at a rate of 3 mL/s using a
power injector. The delay time was 45 s after injection.
Before the scan, the patients were asked to take off any false
teeth and not to swallow to limit laryngeal motion.

**Image analysis**

Image processing and analysis were performed using GSI
Viewer software 4.6 (GE Healthcare). The default 70 keV
monochromatic images and MD images (iodine- and water-
based) were reviewed. The number of lesions and their
anatomic information including site, location and size were
observed and measured. The quantitative spectral CT
imaging parameters (CT value, IC, WC) were measured
by one radiologist (Lin Li). Circular or oval regions of
interest (ROIs) were placed on the lesion, normal parotid,
common carotid arteries (CCA) and sternocleidomastoid
muscles on the default 70 keV monochromatic images.
The ROIs encompassed as much of the lesions as possible
and carefully avoided the calcifications and obvious vessels.
All measurements were performed three times at different
image levels centered on the lesion, and average values
were calculated. The GSI viewer software automatically
propagated the IC, WC measurements for the lesions,
parotid and CCA. One parameter was derived from the
IC measurements: normalized iodine concentration (NIC)
to CCA, calculated as normalized iodine concentration to
common carotid artery (NIC) = IC_{lesion}/IC_{CCA}, where IC_{lesion}
and IC_{CCA} are the ICs in lesions and in CCA, respectively.
The slope of spectral curves (λ_{HU}) was calculated by using
the following equation: λ_{HU} = (HU_{40keV}−HU_{100keV})/(100−40).

**Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS 13.0
(SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) statistical software package.
Quantitative data are presented as means ± standard
deviations (X ± S). The normality and homogeneity of
variance among all measurement data were analyzed by
using one-way analysis of variance ANOVA, and an inter-
group comparison was performed with the least significant
difference (LSD) method. A value of P>0.05 indicated no
statistically significant difference. The diagnostic capability
was determined by calculating the area under the receiver
operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The best sensitivity
and specificity were achieved by using the optimal
thresholds. The null hypothesis test was that the area under
the ROC curve was 0.5.

**Results**

**General information**

In 50 patients, seven patients (14.0%) were excluded
from this study because of (I) inadequate confirmation of
histologic findings (n=4); (II) pure cystic mass (n=1); and
(III) inflammatory masses (n=2). Therefore, 43 patients
(32 men, 11 women; age range, 26–78 years; mean age,
52.6 years) with 52 tumors were finally included in our
study, which comprised 12 pleomorphic adenomas (PAs),
24 Warthin tumors (WTs) (15 patients), and 16 MTs
(4 mucoepidermoid carcinomas, 4 squamous cell
carcinoma, 3 duct cell carcinoma, 2 acinic cell carcinomas,
2 lymphomas, and 1 carcinoma ex PA) diagnosed by
pathological examination after surgery with the mean
longest diameter of 2.53 (0.9–8.9) cm, and the mean
shortest diameter of 2.05 (0.6–7.8) cm. In patients with
WTs, five patients had two tumors, and two had three
tumors, all the tumors were assessed.

The patients’ general information of different
pathological types in parotid gland is listed in Table 1.

**Spectral CT parameters in different pathologies**

The average values of spectral CT parameters for different
pathological entities of the parotid gland are listed in Table 2.

According to Table 2, there were significant differences in
the IC, WC, NIC, and λ_{HU} among the 3 groups (P<0.05),
and the IC, NIC, and λ_{HU} were highest in WT, followed
by MT and PA (Figures 1-4). An inter-group comparison
was performed with the LSD method. The results are as
follows: there were significant differences in the IC, NIC, and
λ_{HU} between every two groups. Comparisons of the
WC demonstrated a significant difference only between the
PA group and the WT group. Therefore, we chose three
parameters in our study: IC, NIC, and λ_{HU} for performing
the ROC study.

Table 3 shows the thresholds and diagnostic efficacies of
spectral CT parameters for the differential diagnosis.

The results showed that the optimal IC, NIC, and λ_{HU}
thresholds were 0.91 mg/mL, 0.15 and 1.09, respectively
for differentiating PAs from MTs, achieving sensitivities of
91.7%, 91.7% and 91.7%, specificities of 95.0%, 85.0%
and 95.0%, and accuracies of 94.2%, 86.5% and 94.2%,
respectively for distinguishing PAs from MTs. The optimal IC, NIC, and λ_HU threshold was 1.46 mg/mL, 0.20 and 1.72, achieving 91.7%, 95.8% and 91.7% sensitivity, 89.3%, 85.7% and 89.3% specificity respectively. The accuracy was 90.4%, 90.4% and 90.4%, respectively for distinguishing WTs from MTs. ROC curves showed that the IC and λ_HU have higher diagnostic efficacies than NIC, in differentiating MT from PA, the area under the curves (AUCs) were 0.943 and 0.943 (Figure 5A) and in MT from WT, the AUCs were 0.844 and 0.849 (Figure 5B).

Discussion
The precise preoperative diagnosis of parotid gland tumors

Table 1 Patients’ general information of different pathological types in parotid gland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>PA (M/F)</th>
<th>WT (M/F)</th>
<th>MT (M/F)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>7/5</td>
<td>13/2</td>
<td>12/4</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>52.19</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>24.48±3.61</td>
<td>23.63±2.27</td>
<td>23.93±3.48</td>
<td>0.781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (single/multiple)</td>
<td>12/0</td>
<td>8/7</td>
<td>16/0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site (R/L)</td>
<td>7/5</td>
<td>15/9</td>
<td>11/5</td>
<td>0.844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location (S/D)</td>
<td>9/3</td>
<td>17/7</td>
<td>12/4</td>
<td>0.945</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For sex, age, BMI and number, the patient number =43; for site and location, the tumor number =52. PA, pleomorphic adenoma; WT, Warthin tumors; MT, malignant tumors; M, male; F, female; BMI, body mass index; R, right; L, left; S, superficial lobe; D, deep lobe.

Table 2 The spectral parameters for different pathological entities of the parotid gland

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>PA</th>
<th>MT</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC (mg/mL)</td>
<td>0.59±0.28</td>
<td>1.48±0.90</td>
<td>2.45±0.82</td>
<td>25.397</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (mg/mL)</td>
<td>1,027.52±5.56</td>
<td>1,034.31±11.10</td>
<td>1,037.68±9.17</td>
<td>4.904</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIC_A</td>
<td>0.09±0.05</td>
<td>0.21±0.12</td>
<td>0.33±0.08</td>
<td>28.471</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ_HU</td>
<td>0.64±0.44</td>
<td>1.75±1.08</td>
<td>2.76±0.08</td>
<td>22.23</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PA, pleomorphic adenoma; WT, Warthin tumors; MT, malignant tumors; F, the statistics of ANOVA; IC, iodine concentration; WC, water concentration; NIC_A, normalized iodine concentration to common carotid artery.

Figure 1 Box plots of IC (A), NIC (B) and λ_HU (C) for the 3 groups of tumors. The IC, NIC_A and λ_HU of WTs are significantly higher than those of MTs and PAs. Inter-group comparison is performed with the LSD method. IC, iodine concentration; NIC_A, normalized iodine concentration to common carotid artery; WTs, Warthin tumors; MTs, malignant tumors; PAs, pleomorphic adenomas; LSD, least significant difference.
is very important for surgical procedures. The roles of CT or MRI in the differential diagnosis of parotid tumors have been discussed in previous studies (3-11). In several studies, multiphase contrast-enhanced CT/MR and CT/MR perfusion are the available imaging examination methods for the diagnosis of parotid tumors (5-11). In these studies, the sensitivities and specificities range from 74–86% and 79–92%, respectively (6,7,10,11). However, the radiation dose is higher when using CT perfusion and multiphase contrast-enhanced CT scan than conventional CT. And more time is needed when using multiphase contrast enhanced MR. Although traditional multiphase contrast-enhanced CT/MR is also a source of radiation, the advantages of this method are that it is non-invasive and has a high diagnostic accuracy. However, the radiation dose is still higher than that of conventional CT. Therefore, it is necessary to develop new imaging technologies that can provide accurate and non-invasive diagnosis while reducing radiation exposure.
enhanced CT/MR exhibits high sensitivity and specificity in
the diagnosis of parotid gland tumors, it may occasionally
lead to misdiagnosis when the features of the tumors are
atypical or overlap.

DECT is a new and advanced form of CT in which
simultaneous acquisitions are performed at two different
energy spectrums, enabling material density and spectral
HU curve beyond what is possible with conventional CT
scans. A previous study showed that monochromatic images
have increased the contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) and
reduced beam-hardening artifacts (22). Several researches
revealed that the MD images and spectral HU curve showed
differences between malignant and benign lesions (14-20).
However, to our knowledge, the use of CT spectral imaging
in the differential diagnosis of parotid gland tumors has not
been reported. In the DECT imaging, we selected water
and iodine as the basis pair for MD image presentation
because iodine is the main ingredient of the contrast
medium and the IC is a useful quantitative parameter
that reflects the blood supply of lesions. Wang et al. (23)
have shown that spectral CT imaging can accurately
measure the IC of different proportions of iodine solution.
Furthermore, the measured IC in lesions might be a useful
quantitative parameter that directly reflects the blood flow
Figure 4 A 55-year-old male patient with PA. (A) Transverse 70 keV images after enhancement. The tumor locates in the right lobe of
parotid gland with partial unclear border and mild enhancement; (B) iodine-based material decomposition images and (C) the spectral
HU curves are the tumor (L1, the red curve), CCA (L2, the pink curve) and sternocleidomastoid muscle (L3, the blue curve) respectively.
The IC, NIC and \( \lambda_{HU} \) are 0.64 mg/mL, 0.07 and 0.76, respectively. PA, pleomorphic adenoma; CCA, common carotid arteries; IC, iodine
concentration; NIC, normalized iodine concentration.

Table 3 Thresholds, sensitivities, specificities and accuracy for differential diagnosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>Sen (%)</th>
<th>Spe (%)</th>
<th>Acc (%)</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>95% CI of AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(mg/mL)</td>
<td>(mg/mL)</td>
<td>(mg/mL)</td>
<td>(mg/mL)</td>
<td>(mg/mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(mg/mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC(_1)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.861-1.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC(_2)</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.689-0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIC(_{A1})</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>0.691-0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIC(_{A2})</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td>0.658-0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_{HU} )</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.861-1.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda_{HU} )</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>91.7</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>0.695-1.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IC\(_1\), NIC\(_{A1}\) and \( \lambda_{HU} \) are the thresholds value between groups of pleomorphic adenomas and malignant tumors; IC\(_2\), NIC\(_{A2}\) and \( \lambda_{HU2} \) are the
thresholds value between groups of Warthin tumors and malignant tumors; AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval; IC, iodine
concentration; NIC\(_A\), normalized iodine concentration to common carotid artery.
and distribution in the intravascular and extracellular spaces. On 70 keV images PA, WT and MT were not easily distinguished based on morphologic features (Figures 2A,3A,4A). Our study demonstrated that significant differences exist in IC, NIC and $\lambda_{HU}$ among the PAs, WTs and MTs 3 groups, the IC, NIC and $\lambda_{HU}$ of the WTs are higher than those of the MTs and PAs. This may be explained by the following reasons: WTs tend to be hypervascularized, and have an extensive capillary network and many leaky blood vessels (24,25). PAs are the most common benign tumors of the parotid glands, and are composed of epithelial, myoepithelial, and mesenchymal components (26). The mesenchymal components contain a lot of mucoid content and lack blood vessels, so the ICs in PAs were the lowest in the 3 groups. The growth of MTs is closely related to the neovascularization. Most MTs grow rapidly with peripheral vascular implantation to the tumor. This can lead to the high blood supply and high IC. However, most of the neovascularization were immature, so the ICs in the MTs were higher than those of PAs, while lower than those of WTs, consistent with the blood supply of the tumor. In our study, we found that two duct carcinomas showed high IC and $\lambda_{HU}$, probably because the solid and papillary areas of tumor have plenty of small blood vessels (27); hence, they showed hypervascular and higher IC.

In dual-energy spectral CT, the spectral HU curves can be automatically generated for given ROIs and reflect the dynamic change of measured CT values of ROIs against increasing keV values. It can directly reflect the difference between the substances. Previous studies have shown the usefulness of the slope of the spectral curve for the differential diagnosis of benign or malignant lesions (15,19,28-30). In our study, the $\lambda_{HU}$ showed significant differences among the 3 groups. The $\lambda_{HU}$ of WTs was the highest, followed by MTs, and the $\lambda_{HU}$ of PAs was the lowest, which was consistent with IC, and also reflected the blood supply and internal composition of the tumor.

ROC curves analysis in our study revealed that the IC and the $\lambda_{HU}$ had higher diagnostic efficacies than NIC, for the differential diagnosis. IC and $\lambda_{HU}$ had the same diagnostic efficacies. The IC can be measured directly and quickly in the ROI. Therefore, we recommend the use of IC as an applicable quantitative parameter in clinical practice.

It is worth mentioning that in this study we used the second generation of CT750HD and adopted a low tube current of 260 mA, which was far lower than the 550–600 mA in previous studies (31,32). And the application of single-phase enhanced scanning reduced the radiation dose to the patients.

There are several limitations to this study. First, this investigation just reflects our initial experience with a small number of patients. The accuracy of threshold values need to be confirmed by a large sample study in the

**Figure 5** ROC curves for the IC, NIC, and $\lambda_{HU}$ in differentiating (A) MT from PA and (B) MT from WT. The IC and $\lambda_{HU}$ have higher diagnostic efficacies than NIC for the differential diagnosis. ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the curve; IC, iodine concentration; NIC, normalized iodine concentration to common carotid artery; PA, pleomorphic adenoma; MT, malignant tumor; WT, Warthin tumor.
future. Second, the sample size of the MT group was small and with different pathology types. Future studies will be needed for more different pathologies of malignancy. Third, this study also showed that the individuals in the WT group were more common in old men and multiple. Combining the IC and $\lambda_{HU}$ with age and lesion number may help differentiate WTs in clinical practice. Hence, specific morphologic features are useful in determining parotid tumors. We believe that the combinations of morphological and quantitative evaluations of parotid gland tumors might improve the diagnostic accuracy, and further studies are needed in the future.

In conclusion, contrast-enhanced dual energy spectral CT imaging with quantitative parameters such as IC, NIC$_A$, and $\lambda_{HU}$ may be a good and easy-to-use method for differential diagnosis in parotid gland tumors.
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