Bone marrow edema-like lesions (BMELs) are associated with higher $T_1^\rho$ and $T_2$ values of cartilage in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL)-reconstructed knees: a longitudinal study

Jingshan Gong$^{1,2}$, Valentina Pedoia$^2$, Luca Facchetti$^2$, Thomas M. Link$^2$, C. Benjamin Ma$^3$, Xiaojuan Li$^2$

$^1$Department of Radiology, Shenzhen People’s Hospital, the Second Clinical Medical College, Jinan University, Shenzhen 518020, China; $^2$Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94107, USA; $^3$Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94107, USA

Background: To evaluate the longitudinal changes of bone marrow edema-like lesions (BMELs) in patients after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction and to investigate the effect of BMELs on cartilage matrix composition changes measured using MR $T_1^\rho$ and $T_2$ mapping.

Methods: Patients with acute ACL tear were enrolled in a prospective study. MR imaging was performed at baseline (before surgeries) and at 6-month, 1-year and 2-year after ACL reconstruction. MR imaging included sagittal high-resolution, 3D fast spin-echo (CUBE) sequences for BMEL evaluation, and 3D $T_1^\rho$ mapping and $T_2$ mapping for cartilage assessment. BMELs were assessed using whole-organ magnetic resonance imaging score (WORMS), and the volume of BMELs was measured by a semi-automatic method. Generalized estimating equation (GEE) was used to explore association between BMELs at baseline and cartilage changes during follow-up.

Results: Fifty four patients were included in the present study and 39 patients had completed 2-year follow-up. BMELs were noted in 42 injured knees (77.8%) with 105 lesions and in 7 contralateral knees (13.0%) with 9 lesions ($\chi^2=45.763$, $P<0.001$) at the baseline. The WORMS and volume of BMELs of the injured knees were $2.36\pm0.65$ and $386.98\pm382.54$ mm$^3$ ($r=0.681$, $P<0.001$), respectively. 87 BMELs were found at baseline in 34 patients (87.2%) of the 39 patients who had completed 2 years follow-up. During the follow-up, 18 (20.7%), 12 (13.8%), and 5 (5.7%) baseline lesions were still seen at 6-month, 1-year and 2-year, respectively. The changes of BMELs prevalence regarding bone compartments over time points were statistically significant ($\chi^2=163.660$, $P<0.001$). Except $T_2$ value at 6 months, $T_1^\rho$ and $T_2$ values of cartilage overlying baseline BMELs in the injured knees were higher than that of anatomically matched cartilage in the contralateral knees at baseline and each follow-up time-point. In the injured knees, GEE analysis showed that baseline BMELs were significantly associated with higher $T_1^\rho$ and $T_2$ values of cartilage after adjustment of age, gender, body mass index (BMI), effusion and meniscus tear. The association between BMELs and Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Scores (KOOS) scores was not statistically significant.

Conclusions: BMEL is a common finding in patients with acute ACL injury and resolves rapidly over time after ACL reconstruction. It is often associated with increased $T_1^\rho$ and $T_2$ values of cartilage. BMEL at baseline is an independent predictor for faster cartilage degeneration during follow-up.
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Introduction

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are very common traumatic knee injuries, especially in young and physically active individuals (1). Reconstruction of ACL is often required to improve the stability and to return sport ability (2). Regardless of conservative or surgical management, an ACL tear significantly increases the risk of knee osteoarthritis (OA) (3,4). It is estimated that 50–90% of patients with ACL tears would develop post-traumatic OA in 10 to 20 years after the injury, while they are still young (4-6). There is an unmet clinical need to identify early degeneration of the joint for allowing potential early interventions, and to predict post-traumatic OA development after ACL reconstruction for optimizing patients’ management. Bone marrow edema-like lesions (BMELs), which appear as patchy high signal abnormalities on fat-suppressed T2 weighted MR images, are observed in on average 70% of patients with acute ACL injuries (7). During ACL rupture, the anterior lateral femur impacts on the posterior lateral tibia which leaves a “footprint” on the femur and tibia, also known as “kissing lesions” (8). The presence of BMELs was reported to correlate with meniscal tears, collateral ligament tears and large volumes of BMEL were associated with cortical fractures (7,9,10), suggesting BMEL as a potential indicator of injury severity. However, the longitudinal clinical significance of BMEL is not clear. Some studies reported that there was no significant association between BMEL and patient outcomes measured by International Knee Documentation Committee questionnaire (IKDC) at 1-year (10) or 12-year (11) after ACL reconstruction; while in a recent study the BMEL area was significantly negatively correlated with the return to the previous sports level (measured by Tegner score) at mid/long-term follow-up (12). BMEL was also reported as a predictor for OA after knee trauma (13). In patients with knee OA, enlargement of BMELs during follow-up was associated with development of knee pain (14). Unlike BMELs in OA, post-traumatic BMELs are more likely to resolve fast (15) with a median time interval ranging between 4 months and 8 months after acute knee trauma (16).

BMELs in ACL-injured knees have been associated with articular cartilage injuries using immunohistologic analyses (17,18) and arthroscopic evaluation (19). Loss of proteoglycan, degeneration of chondrocytes and increase of cartilage oligomeric matrix protein (COMP) were observed in cartilage overlying BMELs (17,18). Cartilage biopsies of ACL-injured knees are normally difficult to obtain. Thus, non-invasive evaluation and monitoring of cartilage degeneration associated with BMELs are desirable. Using standard MRI, BMELs were associated with high prevalence of cartilage lesions immediately and longitudinally several years after injury (20,21). However, conventional structural MR imaging lacks the ability for detecting biochemical changes within cartilage matrix before the occurrence of morphological changes.

Quantitative MR cartilage imaging, such as T1ρ and T2 mapping, has shown its ability to identify early cartilage degeneration by probing biochemical changes of cartilage collagen-proteoglycan matrix (22-25). These imaging markers of cartilage matrix lead to detect OA in its early stages and to monitor the process of the disease. Significantly elevated T1ρ and T2 of cartilage are reported extensively in knees with ACL injuries (26,27). However, studies focused on the effect of BMELs on cartilage matrix changes are very limited. Significantly elevated T1ρ were observed in cartilage overlying BMELs (26,28), with lesions confirmed using arthroscopic evaluation (29). One longitudinal study with a small cohort reported persistent elevated T1ρ in cartilage overlying original BMELs despite resolution of these BMELs at 1 year after ACL reconstruction (30). No studies have evaluated the potential effect of residual BMELs on longitudinal cartilage degeneration in ACL-injured knees.

The goal of this study was to examine the effect of baseline BMELs on cartilage matrix changes over 2 years after ACL reconstruction using T1ρ and T2 mapping. The relationship between baseline BMELs and longitudinal patient outcomes as measured by the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Scores (KOOS) was also be explored. We hypothesized that the baseline BMEL is associated with higher T1ρ and T2 values in cartilage and inferior patient outcomes over 2 years after ACL reconstruction.

Methods

Subjects

This study was approved by the Committee for Human Research of UCSF. Patients with traumatic ACL tear and scheduled to undergo ACL reconstructions were enrolled in a prospective study. The inclusion criteria were: patients with acute ACL tear, which was diagnosed by clinicians and confirmed by MR imaging; the MR imaging was performed within 6 months from injury; decision to receive ACL reconstruction and willing to participate in...
long-term follow-up using MR imaging. The exclusion criteria included MR imaging contraindications, previous injury or surgery to either knee, history of rheumatoid arthritis or other inflammatory joint diseases, diagnosis of osteoarthritis, and multiligamentous injury requiring surgical treatment in addition to ACL reconstruction.

**MR imaging**

MR imaging was performed using a 3.0 Tesla MR scanner (General Electric, Milwaukee, WI, USA) and an 8-channel phased-array knee coil (Invivo, Orlando, FL, USA) with the patient in the supine position. The imaging protocol included high-resolution 3D fast spin-echo (CUBE) images [TR/TE, 1,500/25 ms; echo train length, 32; matrix, 384 x 384; field of view (FOV), 16 cm; slice thickness, 1 mm (interpolated into 0.5 mm)] for evaluating BMELs, and 3D $T_1\rho$/$T_2$ quantification sequence developed previously in our lab (31) for assessing cartilage. The parameters were as follows: for $T_1\rho$ mapping: TR/TE, 8/3 ms; TSL, 0/10/40/80 ms; spin-lock frequency, 500 Hz; FOV, 14 cm; matrix, 256 x 128; slice thickness, 4 mm; for $T_2$ mapping: preparation TE =0/13.7/27.3/54.7 ms; total acquisition time ~9–10 mins.

**Cartilage $T_1\rho$ and $T_2$ quantification**

All MR image post processing was done using in-house developed software with Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) integrated with Elastix library for image registration (32,33).

All the six cartilage compartments of the baseline scan were segmented semi-automatically on multiple high-resolution CUBE images using an algorithm based on edge detection and Bezier splines (34). The CUBE images and the first echo of $T_2$ images were rigidly registered to the first $T_1\rho$-weighted images (TSL =0). Piecewise rigid registration was applied along $T_1\rho$-weighted and $T_2$-weighted images to account for non-rigid movement of the femur, tibia, and patella (PAT) with respect to one another. Additionally, all contralateral and all follow-up scans were registered to the first $T_1\rho$ echo of the injured knee to assure that the same anatomical regions of cartilage were compared in the analysis. The registration was accomplished using an intensity-based multi-resolution pyramidal approach previously proposed, and $T_1\rho$ and $T_2$ maps were reconstructed by fitting the $T_1\rho$- and $T_2$-weighted images pixel-by-pixel (35).

To reduce artifacts caused by partial volume effects with synovial fluid, pixels with relaxation time greater than 130 ms in $T_1\rho$ or 100 ms for $T_2$ maps were removed from the data used for quantification. For the femoral condyle (FC) and tibial plateau (TP), the relaxation times were also calculated for weight-bearing subcompartments as shown in **Figure 1** using the medial compartment as illustration. Including the trochlea (TRO) and PAT, $T_1\rho$ and $T_2$ values were calculated in 16 cartilage compartments/subcompartments, respectively.

**Whole-organ evaluation of knees**

One radiologist (Luca Facchetti) graded knee degeneration using a modified whole-organ magnetic resonance imaging score (WORMS) of the knee on CUBE images at baseline, 6-month, 1-year and 2-year follow-up (36). The reliability assessment was not carried out in present study for WORMS grading was found to be of high inter- and intra-
reader reliability in other study of our group (37). The grading criteria of BMELs are following: 0= normal bone marrow signal; 1= high signal patch with maximum width less than 5 mm; 2= high signal patch with maximum width larger than 5 mm and less than 20 mm; 3= high signal patch with maximum width larger than 20 mm. If the WORMS meniscus scores were larger than 1, it was considered that the patient had at least one meniscus tear.

To calculate BMEL volume, first, contours (circles with 5 mm diameter) covering the normal bone marrow in the femur shaft were placed manually, and the standard deviation (SD) of signal intensity within normal bone marrow was calculated. Second, a masked image was generated by manually drawing approximate contours of the bone marrow containing BMELs. This procedure eliminated regions with high signal intensity outside bone marrow. Lastly, BMELs was automatically segmented with a threshold that was 5 times the SD of normal bone marrow and morphological operations were used to refine the segmentation obtaining solid 3D regions of interest (ROIs). BMEL volumes were then calculated.

Statistical analysis

The prevalence of BMELs between the injured knees and the contralateral knees and anatomic distribution of BMELs in injured knees were compared using chi square tests. BMELs’ volumes and WORMS were correlated with age, gender, body mass index (BMI), interval between injury and baseline MR imaging, using Spearman or Pearson’s correlation. The correlation between BMEL (volumes and WORMS) and other lesions including effusion (WORMS) and meniscal tears were also evaluated. $T_1$ and $T_2$ values of cartilage from compartment overlying the BMELs of injured knees at baseline were compared with that of the anatomically matched cartilage of the contralateral knees using t tests at baseline and each follow-up time-point in 39 patients who had completed 2-year follow-up. The longitudinal change of baseline BMELs in the injured knees of these 39 patients during follow-up was also compared using a chi square test. Baseline WORMS BMEL scores were dichotomized into bone compartments with BMEL (WORMS >0) and without BMEL (WORMS =0). Generalized estimating equations (GEE) were used to explore influences of baseline BMEL on $T_1$ and $T_2$ values of cartilage and KOOS scores in all 54 patients. In the GEE model, follow-up time-point and cartilage compartments/subcompartments served as within-subject variables, and WORMS BMEL scores at baseline served as dependent variables, while gender, age, BMI, effusion and meniscus tear, meniscectomy and served as covariates. A $P$ value less than 0.05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 for Windows.

Results

Baseline characteristics of BMELs

Fifty four patients were included in present study, which consisted of 31 males and 23 females aged 29.7±8.5 years, BMI of 24.2±3.0 kg/m². The interval between injury and baseline MR imaging was 55.5±45.3 days. One hundred and five BMELs were noted in 42 injured knees (77.8%) and 9 BMELs were observed in 7 contralateral knees (13.0%) ($\chi^2=45.763$, $P<0.001$) at the baseline. In the injured knees, location of the 105 BMELs were as follows: 42 (40%) in the lateral tibial plateau (L TP), 26 (24.8%) in the lateral femoral condyle (LFC), 26 (24.8%) in the medial tibial plateau (MTP), 6 (5.7%) in the medial femoral condyle (MFC), 3 (2.9%) in the PAT and 2 (1.9%) in the TRO. The prevalence of BMELs at different cartilage compartments was statistically significant ($\chi^2=122.227$, $P<0.001$). The WORMS and volume of BMELs were 2.36±0.65 and 386.98±382.54 mm³, respectively. Volume of BMELs was significantly associated with WORMS ($r=0.681$, $P<0.001$). The WORMS effusion scores were 1.20±0.98. There were six patients with at least one meniscus tear and nine patients received meniscectomy. There was a significant correlation between volume of BMELs and effusion WORMS ($r=0.315$, $P=0.020$), while no significant correlations of BMELs (volumes and WORMS) with age, BMI, interval between injury and MR imaging, and meniscus tear.

Longitudinal changes of BMELs

Thirty-nine patients (72.2%) had completed 2 years follow-up. In these patients, 87 BMELs (33 in LTP, 22 in LFC, 21 in MTP, 6 in MFC, 4 in PAT and 1 in TRO) were found in 34 injured knees (87.2%) at baseline. During the follow-up, 18 (20.7%) (6 in LTP, 6 in LFC, 5 in MTP and 1 in MFC) were seen at 6 months (Figure 2), 12 (13.8%) (3 in LFC, 5 in MTP, 3 in LTP and 1 in MFC) at 1 year, and 5 (5.7%) (2 in LFC, 1 in MTP and 1 in LTP) at 2 years, respectively. Regarding bone compartments, prevalence of these BMELs was 37.2% (87/234), 7.7% (18/234), 5.1% (12/234) and 2.1% (5/234), respectively. The changes over
time were statistically significant ($\chi^2=163.660$, $P<0.001$).

Three new lesions (1 in TRO, 1 in PAT and 1 in LTP) were found at 6 months follow-up MR imaging, 3 (2 in PAT and 1 in MTP) at 1 year follow-up, and 4 (1 in TRO, 1 in LFC and 2 in MTP) at 2 years follow-up (Figure 3). The nine new lesions were found in 6 knees (16.2%) with 3 knees having 2 new lesions. The WORMS BMEL scores of the 87 bone compartments with BMELs were 2.31±0.67, 0.32±0.69, 0.23±0.62 and 0.15±0.54 at baseline, 6-month, 1-year and 2-year follow-up, respectively (Figure 4). The volumes of BMELs at each time-point were 370.77±358.07, 12.87±34.85, 15.37±74.63 and 8.38±48.70 mm$^3$, respectively.

In the injured knees, GEE analysis showed that baseline BMELs were significant factors associated with higher $T_1\rho$ and $T_2$ values of cartilage after adjustment of follow-up time-point, cartilage compartments/subcompartments, age, gender, BMI, effusion and meniscus tear (Table 2). The association between baseline BMELs and KOOS scores showed no statistical significance (data not shown).

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study correlating longitudinal changes of BMEL with cartilage $T_1\rho$ and $T_2$ in knees after ACL-reconstruction. The main findings of this study included: BMEL was often associated with cartilage damage, which manifests as increased $T_1\rho$ and $T_2$ values of cartilage overlying the BMELs compared with that of contralateral cartilage; BMEL at baseline was associated with higher $T_1\rho$ and $T_2$ values of cartilage after ACL reconstruction during follow-up. The present study also confirmed previous research showing that BMEL is a common finding in patients with acute ACL injury and resolves rapidly over time after ACL reconstruction.

Although BMEL can be seen in other musculoskeletal disorders, it is very common in ACL injury with a
prevalence of 55–98% (7,12,16,38). The specific prevalence of BMEL depends on the time between injury and BMEL, cohorts and MR machine (low field vs. high field). In the present study, the prevalence of BMELs was 77.8%, which was significantly higher than 13.0% of the contralateral knees and they were mostly seen at LFC (40%), followed by LT (24.8%) and MT (24.8%). Quantitative measurement showed that the volume of BMELs was correlated with WORMS effusion scores at baseline. Therefore, BMELs in patients with ACL injury were related to trauma and more likely to be bone bruise. Due to the characteristics of bruise or contusion, BMELs in patients with ACL injury were also more like to be resolved sooner than those in patients with OA (15). Filardo and colleagues reported that only 25% of BMELs could be detected at more than 3 months follow-up (12). Our present study also showed that most BMELs had resolved at 6 months after ACL reconstruction and only 22.2% remained at that time-point, and even a smaller portion (6.5%) could be seen at 2 years follow-up MR imaging. The rapid resolution of BMELs over time in this study was similar to what other studies have reported (39,40). Nine new BMELs in six injured knees (16.2%) were detected in the course of the 2-year follow-up (3 at 6-month, 4 at 1-year and 2 at 2-year). Frobell et al. reported that 21 knees (34%) developed new BMELs in 63 patients during a 2-year period, which was contributed to repetitive microtrauma (41). The prevalence of new lesions this study is lower than what Frobell reported. Due to the limited sample size, analysis of these new lesions was not carried out in present study. The cause and influence of new BMELs in patients after ACL reconstruction need further

Figure 3  A 39 years old man with left ACL tear. (A-D) Sagittal 3D FSE images at baseline, 6-month, 1- and 2-year follow-up show a whole-organ magnetic resonance imaging score (WORMS) grade 1 bone marrow edema-like lesion (BMEL) lesion (arrow) in the lateral femoral condyle (LFC) existing all the time and a focal increased signal area in cLF-p (curve arrow). At 2-year follow-up, a new BMEL lesion is noted at pLT [star in (D)]; (E) T\textsubscript{1}ρ and T\textsubscript{2} maps show a small focal spot (arrows) with increased T\textsubscript{1}ρ and T\textsubscript{2} values in cLF-p. Increased T\textsubscript{1}ρ spots also can be seen in pLT at baseline and 1-year T\textsubscript{1}ρ maps (curve arrows) and in pLF at 2-year T\textsubscript{1}ρ map (arrowhead).

Figure 4  Chronic changes of whole-organ magnetic resonance imaging score (WORMS) of the bone compartments with bone marrow edema-like lesions (BMELs) at baseline during follow-up.
### Table 1  
**T₁ρ and T₂ values of cartilage sub-compartments overlying BMELs and anatomically matched cartilage sub-compartment of the contralateral knees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time-point</th>
<th>Cartilage sub-compartment overlying BMELs (mean ± SD, ms)</th>
<th>Cartilage sub-compartment of the contralateral knees (mean ± SD, ms)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline T₁ρ</td>
<td>37.8±4.5</td>
<td>35.3±4.9</td>
<td>5.577</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline T₂</td>
<td>29.0±4.1</td>
<td>27.0±4.3</td>
<td>5.393</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month T₁ρ</td>
<td>37.9±4.7</td>
<td>37.0±4.9</td>
<td>2.053</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-month T₂</td>
<td>29.7±4.0</td>
<td>29.0±4.0</td>
<td>1.562</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-year T₁ρ</td>
<td>39.2±5.0</td>
<td>37.0±4.1</td>
<td>3.279</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-year T₂</td>
<td>29.5±4.1</td>
<td>28.1±3.8</td>
<td>3.286</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-year T₁ρ</td>
<td>39.2±4.0</td>
<td>38.0±4.4</td>
<td>2.442</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-year T₂</td>
<td>30.0±4.0</td>
<td>29.0±3.7</td>
<td>2.600</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMELs, bone marrow edema-like lesions.

### Table 2  
**Longitudinal associations between BMELs and cartilage’s T₁ρ and T₂ values**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>T₁ρ value</th>
<th>T₂ value</th>
<th>β (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>β (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMELs</td>
<td>3.310 (2.545; 4.073)</td>
<td>2.804 (2.269; 3.339)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>−0.716 (−1.605; 1.192)</td>
<td>−0.860 (−1.861; 0.142)</td>
<td>0.1150</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>−0.042 (−0.097; 0.013)</td>
<td>−0.038 (−0.095; 0.019)</td>
<td>0.1350</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>−0.082 (−0.260; 0.096)</td>
<td>−0.051 (−0.223; 0.122)</td>
<td>0.3660</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meniscus tear</td>
<td>0.525 (−2.204; 1.153)</td>
<td>0.389 (−2.067; 1.289)</td>
<td>0.5400</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meniscectomy</td>
<td>0.017 (−1.158; 1.192)</td>
<td>−0.208 (−1.579; 1.164)</td>
<td>0.9770</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7670</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effusion</td>
<td>−0.168 (−0.672; 0.335)</td>
<td>−0.142 (−0.616; 0.332)</td>
<td>0.5130</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5560</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMELs, bone marrow edema-like lesions; BMI, body mass index.

During ACL injury, the impacts of bones also often result in artilage and meniscus damages (42). The compression can lead to chondrocyte death and matrix injury (43). At biopsies in patients with acute ACL rupture, histological examinations have shown chondrocyte and matrix degeneration, and biochemical variations in the cartilage overlying BMEL (17). Cartilage damage can be evaluated based on morphological changes and/or increased T₂ signal intensity, and increased T₁ρ or T₂ values (44-47). The latter may result from increased water content, decreased macromolecular proteoglycan content, and disruption of collagen matrix ultrastructure. In lower grade cartilage injury, signal intensity alternations and morphological changes are often too subtle to be assessed visually. Quantitative measurement of T₁ρ or T₂ values provides an objective modality to assess cartilage injury. The damage of cartilage overlying BMELs might be irreversible or partially reversible (48,49). Our previous studies indicated that T₁ρ values of cartilage overlying BMELs were higher than that of surrounding cartilage in patients with OA and ACL injury (27,50). In the present study, we found that both T₁ρ and T₂ values of cartilage overlying BMELs were higher that of anatomically matched cartilage in the contralateral knees at baseline. Even at 6-month to 2-year follow-up after most BMELs were resolved, the difference was still statistically significant except for T₂ values at 6 months. Due to lack of blood supply, cartilage damage can not heal.
spontaneously. Impaction of cartilage and bone at the time of ACL injury may result in a cascade of biologic events that result in knee OA (41). Therefore, the damage of cartilage at baseline may remain for a long time.

Another finding of this study was that BMELs (WORMS >0) at baseline were significantly associated with higher $T_{1\rho}$ and $T_2$ values of cartilage during the 2-year follow-up. Even after BMELs have resolved, changes of cartilage are still present and cartilage degeneration might be accelerated in the cartilage overlying BMELs. These findings also support the idea that the cartilage damage overlying BMELs is irreversible and may be a trigger to start the cascade of OA through accelerating articular degeneration (41). Therefore, BMEL at baseline might be a risk factor for OA in patients with ACL injury.

This study has several limitations. First, the sample size was moderate with 39 patients who had completed 2-year follow-up. Further studies with more patients and longer follow-up are recommended. Second, cartilage injuries during trauma may be very focal. We measured $T_{1\rho}$ and $T_2$ values of the sub-compartments of FC and TP, and global PAT and TRO, overlying BMEL. Therefore, $T_{1\rho}$ and $T_2$ values of the damaged cartilage could be underestimated. Third, cause and influence of the new BMELs were not analyzed due to small sample size and low occurrence rate. These new BMELs might have resulted from repetitive micro-trauma or from early OA.

In conclusion, BMEL is a very common finding in patients with ACL injury at MR imaging and resolves rapidly over time after ACL reconstruction. It is often accompanied by cartilage damage that is not fully recovered. During follow-up, BMELs at baseline are associated with higher $T_{1\rho}$ and $T_2$ values of cartilage. BMEL might be an independent predictor for faster cartilage degeneration in patients with ACL injury and a risk factor for OA. Clinicians and radiologists should pay more attention to BMEL and take it into account for patients’ management decision.
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