

Hepatic fat assessment using advanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Yong Pang¹, Baiying Yu², Xiaoliang Zhang^{1, 3, 4}

¹Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States; ²Magwale, Palo Alto, CA, United States; ³UCSF/UC Berkeley Joint Graduate Group in Bioengineering, San Francisco & Berkeley, CA, United States; ⁴California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences (QB3), University of California, San Francisco, CA, United States

Corresponding to: Xiaoliang Zhang. Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States. Email: xlzhang@berkeley.edu.



Submitted Jul 27, 2012. Accepted for publication Aug 31, 2012.

DOI: 10.3978/j.issn.2223-4292.2012.08.05

Scan to your mobile device or view this article at: <http://www.amepc.org/qims/article/view/1080/1376>

Magnetic Resonance Imaging is capable of providing clinically-valuable images for hepatic diseases such as the fatty liver and has become a promising noninvasive method in evaluating human liver under normal and diseased conditions (1-9). Fatty liver is one of the most common abnormalities. Recent surveys have shown that it affects up to 15% of the general population and it is higher among those with obesity and high alcohol consumption (10-12). Fatty liver is commonly associated with alcohol overuse, obesity, hyperlipidemia and hepatitis, and will cause steatosis within hepatocytes (13-17), which may progress to steatohepatitis and then cirrhosis (18-20). Liver biopsy is considered the diagnostic reference standard for the assessment of fatty liver, however it is invasive and prone to complications and is no longer considered as mandatory as first line screening tools for fatty liver (21). MRI provides different contrast between the different tissues of human abdomen, and has potential to quantitatively assess the hepatic liver in patients with fatty liver and predict the degree of steatosis of liver (22-34). Some quantitative imaging methods have been proposed for evaluating the hepatic fat, such as, Dixon method (22-24,34-38), the in-phase, opposed phase gradient echo MR imaging method (25,32), and proton MR spectroscopy method. However the insufficient image resolution and long acquisition time limit its quantitative capability. In addition, the motion artifacts caused by breathing and heartbeat become a major problem in further improvement of hepatic image quality in practice. It is highly demanded to increase the imaging speed and also the image resolution, which is

challenging in present liver MRI routines. Recent years, high and ultrahigh field MRI (39-50,51-64), such as 7T, has shown its inherent ability to improve signal to noise ratio in human head imaging (42-45,48,49), prostate imaging (50,65), spine imaging (46,54) and abdominal imaging (51,59,66). It is expected to achieve better signal to noise ratio (SNR) and thus high resolution in liver imaging. However, transferring liver imaging protocols to ultrahigh fields faces many practical difficulties and technical challenges in both RF coil design and sequence design for human liver imaging due to the pronounced radiation losses, chemical shift, motion artifacts and B_1 variation (31) at high fields. There is an urgent demand for technical development for liver imaging in both MR hardware and fast acquisition strategies using ultrahigh field MR.

Recent years, the microstrip transmission line (MTL) RF coils (40,55,67-69) have shown advantages in high and ultrahigh field MR applications with high frequency operation capability, high quality factors, reduced radiation losses and improved MR sensitivity. Its unmatched decoupling feature is essential for high field RF transmit/receive array designs. An example is the flexible transceiver array developed for ultrahigh field 7 T MR applications by using the first and second order harmonics of the microstrip resonator (55,70). The mixed harmonic MTL resonator technique greatly improves the decoupling performance, reduces noise correlations between resonant elements, and enhances parallel imaging performance. This technique does not require physical connection or decoupling network between array elements, which is commonly used in

conventional coil array designs for implementing decoupling. Consequently the geometry and size of the microstrip flexible array can be conveniently adjusted to best fit patients, achieving the best filling factor and therefore the increased signal to noise ratio for human liver imaging.

In fast imaging methods, parallel imaging has demonstrated the unique capability in accelerating MR imaging by using the different sensitivity profiles of RF coil array elements to replace the phase encoding. The undersampled raw data can be reconstructed using a special reconstruction method to achieve a correct image with significantly reduced aliasing (71-76). Our previously proposed flexible microstrip array can be readily utilized for parallel imaging to accelerate the hepatic imaging and thus help reduce the motion artifacts. On the other hand, parallel transmission is able to shorten the RF pulse width for spatial selective excitation by using transceiver coil arrays and the sensitivity information (77-81). Although the specific absorption ratio (SAR) grows with the acceleration rate, the SAR can be optimized using different strategies such as variable sampling rate or optimized k-space trajectories (78,82,83). In human liver imaging, the power deposition is always an important safety issue while the imaging speed is critical to imaging quality. Parallel transmission strategy thus provides effective ways to help making a tradeoff between the power deposition and imaging speed for hepatic imaging.

Recently the compressed sensing (84) MRI which can greatly reduce the raw data size required for image reconstruction and shorten the imaging time by using significantly undersampled k-space demonstrates great potential to perform fast imaging with high image quality and enhanced image resolution (85-99). This is very helpful for liver imaging because motion artifacts caused by breathing and heartbeat often deteriorate liver image quality. Unlike the parallel imaging, compressed sensing technique basically does not require any new hardware for implementation. However, at the high acceleration rate, the contrast to noise ratio (CNR) normally decreases quickly due to the use of significantly undersampled k-space data. This is not desired in liver imaging because the tissue contrast plays an important role in differentiating normal and diseased liver tissues. The interpolated compressed sensing (iCS) MR image reconstruction method proposed recently would be possible to improve CNR and even SNR at high acceleration rates for multi-slice 2D imaging applications (98). For a significantly undersampled slice some missed raw data can be estimated by using the raw

data from the neighboring slice convolved by a weighting function. This strategy helps improve the CNR and also SNR of the images of multi-slice 2D MRI. It would be advantageous to apply the iCS method to hepatic imaging and develop specialized MR pulse sequence and reconstruction method to dramatically shorten the acquisition time while maintain the CNR. This would provide an efficient imaging tool for quantitatively assessing the liver fat and monitoring therapy outcome of the fatty liver non-invasively.

In summary, the advanced MRI techniques such as ultrahigh field, novel RF transceiver arrays, parallel imaging techniques, parallel transmission and compressed sensing would be advantageous in augmenting its quantitative capability and gaining better diagnosis and characterization of fatty liver diseases. To realize this and provide clinically-valuable images, dedicated RF transceivers, specific imaging sequence and reconstruction methods have to be explored and investigated to satisfy the clinical requirements.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH grants R01EB008699 and P41EB013598, and a QB3 Research Award.

Disclosure: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Hennig J, Weigel M, Scheffler K. Multiecho sequences with variable refocusing flip angles: optimization of signal behavior using smooth transitions between pseudo steady states (TRAPS). *Magn Reson Med* 2003;49:527-35.
2. Lee VS, Lavelle MT, Rofsky NM, et al. Hepatic MR imaging with a dynamic contrast-enhanced isotropic volumetric interpolated breath-hold examination: feasibility, reproducibility, and technical quality. *Radiology* 2000;215:365-72.
3. McFarland EG, Mayo-Smith WW, Saini S, et al. Hepatic hemangiomas and malignant tumors: improved differentiation with heavily T2-weighted conventional spin-echo MR imaging. *Radiology* 1994;193:43-7.
4. Merkle EM, Dale BM, Paulson EK. Abdominal MR imaging at 3T. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 2006;14:17-26.
5. Mitchell DG, Saini S, Weinreb J, et al. Hepatic metastases and cavernous hemangiomas: distinction with standard- and triple-dose gadoteridol-enhanced MR imaging. *Radiology* 1994;193:49-57.

6. Mortelé KJ, Praet M, Van Vlierberghe H, et al. CT and MR imaging findings in focal nodular hyperplasia of the liver: radiologic-pathologic correlation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2000;175:687-92.
7. Ramalho M, Altun E, Heredia E, et al. Liver MR imaging: 1.5T versus 3T. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 2007;15:321-47, vi.
8. Smith FW, Mallard JR, Reid A, et al. Nuclear magnetic resonance tomographic imaging in liver disease. *Lancet* 1981;1:963-6.
9. Zech CJ, Herrmann KA, Huber A, et al. High-resolution MR-imaging of the liver with T2-weighted sequences using integrated parallel imaging: comparison of prospective motion correction and respiratory triggering. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2004;20:443-50.
10. Bellentani S, Saccoccio G, Masutti F, et al. Prevalence of and risk factors for hepatic steatosis in Northern Italy. *Ann Intern Med* 2000;132:112-7.
11. Kammen BF, Pacharn P, Thoeni RF, et al. Focal fatty infiltration of the liver: analysis of prevalence and CT findings in children and young adults. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2001;177:1035-9.
12. Luyckx FH, Desai C, Thiry A, et al. Liver abnormalities in severely obese subjects: effect of drastic weight loss after gastroplasty. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1998;22:222-6.
13. Allard JP. Other disease associations with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2002;16:783-95.
14. Angulo P. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:1221-31.
15. Brunt EM, Tiniakos DG. Pathology of steatohepatitis. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2002;16:691-707.
16. Clark JM, Diehl AM. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: an underrecognized cause of cryptogenic cirrhosis. *JAMA* 2003;289:3000-4.
17. Eaton S, Record CO, Bartlett K. Multiple biochemical effects in the pathogenesis of alcoholic fatty liver. *Eur J Clin Invest* 1997;27:719-22.
18. Lefkowitz JH. Morphology of alcoholic liver disease. *Clin Liver Dis* 2005;9:37-53.
19. Méndez-Sánchez N, Almeda-Valdés P, Uribe M. Alcoholic liver disease. An update. *Ann Hepatol* 2005;4:32-42.
20. Wanless IR, Shiota K. The pathogenesis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and other fatty liver diseases: a four-step model including the role of lipid release and hepatic venular obstruction in the progression to cirrhosis. *Semin Liver Dis* 2004;24:99-106.
21. Pais R, Lupșor M, Poantă L, et al. Liver biopsy versus noninvasive methods--fibroscan and fibrotest in the diagnosis of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a review of the literature. *Rom J Intern Med* 2009;47:331-40.
22. Bashir MR, Dale BM, Merkle EM, et al. Automated liver sampling using a gradient dual-echo Dixon-based technique. *Magn Reson Med* 2012;67:1469-77.
23. Peng XG, Ju S, Qin Y, Fang F, et al. Quantification of liver fat in mice: comparing dual-echo Dixon imaging, chemical shift imaging, and 1H-MR spectroscopy. *J Lipid Res* 2011;52:1847-55.
24. Rosenkrantz AB, Mannelli L, Kim S, et al. Gadolinium-enhanced liver magnetic resonance imaging using a 2-point Dixon fat-water separation technique: impact upon image quality and lesion detection. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2011;35:96-101.
25. Fishbein M, Castro F, Cheruku S, et al. Hepatic MRI for fat quantitation: its relationship to fat morphology, diagnosis, and ultrasound. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2005;39:619-25.
26. Hamer OW, Aguirre DA, Casola G, et al. Fatty liver: imaging patterns and pitfalls. *Radiographics* 2006;26:1637-53.
27. Hussain HK, Chenevert TL, Londy FJ, et al. Hepatic fat fraction: MR imaging for quantitative measurement and display--early experience. *Radiology* 2005;237:1048-55.
28. Kreft BP, Tanimoto A, Baba Y, et al. Diagnosis of fatty liver with MR imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1992;2:463-71.
29. Marks SJ, Moore NR, Ryley NG, et al. Measurement of liver fat by MRI and its reduction by dexfenfluramine in NIDDM. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1997;21:274-9.
30. Martín J, Puig J, Falcó J, et al. Hyperechoic liver nodules: characterization with proton fat-water chemical shift MR imaging. *Radiology* 1998;207:325-30.
31. Padormo F, Malik S, Hajnal J, et al. Assessing and Correcting Respiration Induced Variation of B1 in the Liver. *Proceedings 17th Scientific Meeting, International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 2009:753.
32. Rinella ME, McCarthy R, Thakrar K, et al. Dual-echo, chemical shift gradient-echo magnetic resonance imaging to quantify hepatic steatosis: Implications for living liver donation. *Liver Transpl* 2003;9:851-6.
33. Venkataraman S, Braga L, Semelka RC. Imaging the fatty liver. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am* 2002;10:93-103.
34. Zhang X, Tengowski M, Fasulo L, et al. Measurement of fat/water ratios in rat liver using 3D three-point dixon MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 2004;51:697-702.
35. Glover GH. Multipoint Dixon technique for water and fat

- proton and susceptibility imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1991;1:521-30.
36. Glover GH, Schneider E. Three-point Dixon technique for true water/fat decomposition with B0 inhomogeneity correction. *Magn Reson Med* 1991;18:371-83.
 37. Dixon WT. Simple proton spectroscopic imaging. *Radiology* 1984;153:189-94.
 38. Schertz LD, Lee JK, Heiken JP, et al. Proton spectroscopic imaging (Dixon method) of the liver: clinical utility. *Radiology* 1989;173:401-5.
 39. Vaughan JT, Garwood M, Collins CM, et al. 7T vs. 4T: RF power, homogeneity, and signal-to-noise comparison in head images. *Magn Reson Med* 2001;46:24-30.
 40. Zhang X, Ugurbil K, Chen W. Microstrip RF surface coil design for extremely high-field MRI and spectroscopy. *Magn Reson Med* 2001;46:443-50.
 41. Zhang X, Ugurbil K, Chen W. A microstrip transmission line volume coil for human head MR imaging at 4T. *J Magn Reson* 2003;161:242-51.
 42. Avdievich NI, Hetherington HP, Kuznetsov AM, et al. 7T head volume coils: improvements for rostral brain imaging. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2009;29:461-5.
 43. Choi C, Dimitrov IE, Douglas D, et al. Improvement of resolution for brain coupled metabolites by optimized (1) H MRS at 7T. *NMR Biomed* 2010;23:1044-52.
 44. Eapen M, Zald DH, Gatenby JC, et al. Using high-resolution MR imaging at 7T to evaluate the anatomy of the midbrain dopaminergic system. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2011;32:688-94.
 45. Fukunaga M, Li TQ, van Gelderen P, et al. Layer-specific variation of iron content in cerebral cortex as a source of MRI contrast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;107:3834-9.
 46. Kraff O, Bitz AK, Kruszona S, et al. An eight-channel phased array RF coil for spine MR imaging at 7 T. *Invest Radiol* 2009;44:734-40.
 47. Lei H, Zhu XH, Zhang XL, et al. In vivo 31P magnetic resonance spectroscopy of human brain at 7 T: an initial experience. *Magn Reson Med* 2003;49:199-205.
 48. Li TQ, Yao B, van Gelderen P, et al. Characterization of T(2)* heterogeneity in human brain white matter. *Magn Reson Med* 2009;62:1652-7.
 49. Liu S, Gonen O, Fleysher L, et al. Regional metabolite T2 in the healthy rhesus macaque brain at 7T. *Magn Reson Med* 2008;59:1165-9.
 50. Metzger GJ, Snyder C, Akgun C, et al. Local B1+ shimming for prostate imaging with transceiver arrays at 7T based on subject-dependent transmit phase measurements. *Magn Reson Med* 2008;59:396-409.
 51. Pang Y, Wu B, Wang C, et al. "7T human liver imaging using microstrip surface coil." in Proc 18th Annual Meeting ISMRM, Stockholm, 2010:2587.
 52. Pang Y, Xie Z, Li Y, et al. Resonant Mode Reduction in Radiofrequency Volume Coils for Ultrahigh Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Materials (Basel)* 2011;4:1333-4.
 53. Wiggins GC, Potthast A, Triantafyllou C, et al. Eight-channel phased array coil and detunable TEM volume coil for 7 T brain imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2005;54:235-40.
 54. Wu B, Wang C, Krug R, et al. 7T human spine imaging arrays with adjustable inductive decoupling. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2010;57:397-403.
 55. Wu B, Wang C, Lu J, et al. Multi-channel microstrip transceiver arrays using harmonics for high field MR imaging in humans. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2012;31:183-91.
 56. Yacoub E, Van De Moortele PF, Shmuel A, et al. Signal and noise characteristics of Hahn SE and GE BOLD fMRI at 7 T in humans. *Neuroimage* 2005;24:738-50.
 57. Zelinski AC, Angelone LM, Goyal VK, et al. Specific absorption rate studies of the parallel transmission of inner-volume excitations at 7T. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2008;28:1005-18.
 58. Yankeelov TE, DeBusk LM, Billheimer DD, et al. Repeatability of a reference region model for analysis of murine DCE-MRI data at 7T. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2006;24:1140-7.
 59. Pang Y, Wu B, Wang C, et al. Numerical Analysis of Human Sample Effect on RF Penetration and Liver MR Imaging at Ultrahigh Field. *Concepts Magn Reson Part B Magn Reson Eng* 2011;39B:206-16.
 60. Zhang X, Ugurbil K, Sainati R, et al. An inverted-microstrip resonator for human head proton MR imaging at 7 tesla. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 2005;52:495-504.
 61. Collins CM, Liu W, Swift BJ, et al. Combination of optimized transmit arrays and some receive array reconstruction methods can yield homogeneous images at very high frequencies. *Magn Reson Med* 2005;54:1327-32.
 62. Collins CM, Wang Z, Mao W, et al. Array-optimized composite pulse for excellent whole-brain homogeneity in high-field MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 2007;57:470-4.
 63. Qian Y, Zhao T, Hue YK, et al. High-resolution spiral imaging on a whole-body 7T scanner with minimized image blurring. *Magn Reson Med* 2010;63:543-52.
 64. Gilbert KM, Belliveau JG, Curtis AT, et al. A conformal transceiver array for 7 T neuroimaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2012;67:1487-96.

65. van den Bergen B, Klomp DW, Raaijmakers AJ, et al. Uniform prostate imaging and spectroscopy at 7 T: comparison between a microstrip array and an endorectal coil. *NMR Biomed* 2010. *NMR Biomed* 2011;24:358-65.
66. Vaughan JT, Snyder CJ, DelaBarre LJ, et al. Whole-body imaging at 7T: preliminary results. *Magn Reson Med* 2009;61:244-8.
67. Lee RF, Hardy CJ, Sodickson DK, et al. Lumped-element planar strip array (LPSA) for parallel MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 2004;51:172-83.
68. Adriany G, Van de Moortele PF, Wiesinger F, et al. Transmit and receive transmission line arrays for 7 Tesla parallel imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2005;53:434-45.
69. Zhang X, Zhu XH, Chen W. Higher-order harmonic transmission-line RF coil design for MR applications. *Magn Reson Med* 2005;53:1234-9.
70. Wu B, Zhang X, Wang C, et al. Flexible transceiver array for ultrahigh field human MR imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2012;68:1332-8.
71. Sodickson DK, Manning WJ. Simultaneous acquisition of spatial harmonics (SMASH): fast imaging with radiofrequency coil arrays. *Magn Reson Med* 1997;38:591-603.
72. Pruessmann KP, Weiger M, Scheidegger MB, et al. SENSE: sensitivity encoding for fast MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 1999;42:952-62.
73. Heidemann RM, Griswold MA, Haase A, et al. VD-AUTO-SMASH imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2001;45:1066-74.
74. Jakob PM, Griswold MA, Edelman RR, et al. AUTO-SMASH: a self-calibrating technique for SMASH imaging. *SiMultaneous Acquisition of Spatial Harmonics. MAGMA* 1998;7:42-54.
75. Griswold MA, Jakob PM, Heidemann RM, et al. Generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions (GRAPPA). *Magn Reson Med* 2002;47:1202-10.
76. Pang Y, Vigneron DB, Zhang X. Parallel traveling-wave MRI: a feasibility study. *Magn Reson Med* 2012;67:965-78.
77. Katscher U, Börnert P, Leussler C, et al. Transmit SENSE. *Magn Reson Med* 2003;49:144-50.
78. Zhu Y. Parallel excitation with an array of transmit coils. *Magn Reson Med* 2004;51:775-84.
79. Grissom W, Yip CY, Zhang Z, et al. Spatial domain method for the design of RF pulses in multicoil parallel excitation. *Magn Reson Med* 2006;56:620-9.
80. Ma C, Xu D, King KF, et al. Joint design of spoke trajectories and RF pulses for parallel excitation. *Magn Reson Med* 2011;65:973-85.
81. Pang Y, Zhang X. Precompensation for mutual coupling between array elements in parallel excitation. *Quant Imaging Med Surg* 2011;1:4-10.
82. Homann H, Graesslin I, Nehrke K, et al. Specific absorption rate reduction in parallel transmission by k-space adaptive radiofrequency pulse design. *Magn Reson Med* 2011;65:350-7.
83. Liu Y, Ji JX. Minimal-SAR RF pulse optimization for parallel transmission in MRI. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2008;2008:5774-7.
84. Donoho DL. Compressed sensing. *IEEE Trans Inform Theory* 2006;52:1289-1306.
85. Lustig M, Donoho D, Pauly JM. Sparse MRI: The application of compressed sensing for rapid MR imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2007;58:1182-95.
86. Doneva M, Börnert P, Eggers H, et al. Compressed sensing for chemical shift-based water-fat separation. *Magn Reson Med* 2010;64:1749-59.
87. Holland DJ, Malioutov DM, Blake A, et al. Reducing data acquisition times in phase-encoded velocity imaging using compressed sensing. *J Magn Reson* 2010;203:236-46.
88. Hong M, Yu Y, Wang H, et al. Compressed sensing MRI with singular value decomposition-based sparsity basis. *Phys Med Biol* 2011;56:6311-25.
89. Ji JX, Zhao C, Lang T. Compressed sensing parallel magnetic resonance imaging. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2008;2008:1671-4.
90. Kim D, Dyvorne HA, Otazo R, et al. Accelerated phase-contrast cine MRI using k-t SPARSE-SENSE. *Magn Reson Med* 2012;67:1054-64.
91. Li W, Griswold M, Yu X. "Fast cardiac T(1) mapping in mice using a model-based compressed sensing method," *Magn Reson Med* 2012;68:1127-34.
92. Liang D, Liu B, Wang J, et al. Accelerating SENSE using compressed sensing. *Magn Reson Med* 2009;62:1574-84.
93. Otazo R, Kim D, Axel L, et al. Combination of compressed sensing and parallel imaging for highly accelerated first-pass cardiac perfusion MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 2010;64:767-76.
94. Hu S, Lustig M, Balakrishnan A, et al. 3D compressed sensing for highly accelerated hyperpolarized (13)C MRSI with in vivo applications to transgenic mouse models of cancer. *Magn Reson Med* 2010;63:312-21.
95. Larson PE, Hu S, Lustig M, et al. Fast dynamic 3D MR spectroscopic imaging with compressed sensing and multiband excitation pulses for hyperpolarized 13C studies. *Magn Reson Med* 2011;65:610-9.
96. Cunningham CH, Chen AP, Albers MJ, et al. Double

- spin-echo sequence for rapid spectroscopic imaging of hyperpolarized ^{13}C . *J Magn Reson* 2007;187:357-62.
97. Haldar JP, Hernando D, Liang ZP. Compressed-sensing MRI with random encoding. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2011;30:893-903.
98. Pang Y, Zhang X. Interpolated Compressed Sensing MR Image Reconstruction using Neighboring Slice k-space Data. *Proceeding in International Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 2012:2275.
99. Wu B, Li W, Guidon A, et al. Whole brain susceptibility mapping using compressed sensing. *Magn Reson Med* 2012;67:137-47.

Cite this article as: Pang Y, Yu B, Zhang X. Hepatic fat assessment using advanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Quant Imaging Med Surg* 2012;2(3):213-218. DOI: 10.3978/j.issn.2223-4292.2012.08.05